Agenda for the 10:00 am Wednesday, July 17, 2024, Town of Qualicum Beach Regular Council Meeting to be held in the Council Chamber, Town Hall, 660 Primrose Street, Qualicum Beach, BC

<u>Page No.</u>

(This meeting may be recorded)

1-6	1.	ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA
		THAT Council adopts the July 17, 2024, regular Council
		meeting agenda.

2. START OF CONSENT AGENDA Staff Recommendation: THAT the recommendations listed for items 2a) to 2c) in the July 17, 2024 Consent Agenda be adopted.

- *7-8* a) THAT the June 19, 2024, 10:00 am special Council meeting minutes be approved as presented.
- 9-14 b) THAT the June 26, 2024, 10:00 am regular Council meeting minutes be approved as presented.
- c) THAT the Correspondence Log, dated for reference July 10, 2024, be approved, as presented.

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

- 3. **RECOGNITION** Nil
- 4. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES Nil
- 5. **DELEGATIONS** Nil

6. CORRESPONDENCE

17-41

 (1) Letter dated June 21, 2024 from B. Felker, Manager, CCBF Program Services | 2024-2034 Canada Community-Building Fund Agreement [Community Works Fund] Staff Recommendation THAT Council authorizes the Mayor and the Corporate Officer to execute the 2024-2034 Community Works Fund Agreement between the Town of Qualicum Beach and the Union of BC Municipalities.

7. PUBLIC AND STATUTORY HEARINGS - Nil

8. BYLAWS - Nil

9. COMMITTEE & LIAISON REPORTS

- (1) **General Government** (Mayor Teunis Westbroek)
- (2) **Public Safety** (Councillor Scott Harrison)

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024 Regular Council Meeting Agenda Page 2 of 6

- (3) **Parks & Recreation** (Councillor Anne Skipsey)
 - (a) Notice of Motions [to be made July 17th] QB Airport
 i. Whereas Qualicum Woods is located within the extended flight path of the Qualicum Beach Airport Runway 29;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council directs staff to investigate the viability, process and estimated budget for consideration during the 2025 Strategic Planning process, in order to identify if Qualicum Woods, The Evergreens, Aldous Road and Hemsworth Road areas could become a Noise Sensitive Area.

ii. THAT Council directs staff to send a letter to Transport Canada and Environment Canada expressing the Town's support for approval of an alternative to nonleaded aviation fuel.

- 42 (4) **Community Development** (Councillor Petronella Vander Valk)
 - (5) Arts & Culture (Councillor Jean Young)
 - (a) Notice of Motions [to be made July 17th]|Flowerstone Health Society

i. Whereas, Flowerstone Family Health Clinic is a community owned clinic operated by the Flowerstone Health Society that provides services to approximately 4,000 residents in the Oceanside area who find themselves without a family doctor; And Whereas, the Flowerstone Family Health Clinic has the capacity to increase the number of nurse practitioners from 5 to 9, who could provide much needed health care services to an increased number of residents if additional funding were available;

BE IT RESOLVED, THAT Council approves the funding request of the Flowerstone Health Society by providing them with a one-time financial contribution in the amount of \$10,000 with monies being funded from Council's Strategic Initiative Reserve fund for the purpose of Flowerstone Family Health Clinic Nurse Practitioner recruitment.

ii. THAT Council directs staff to inform Flowerstone Health Society of the opportunity to apply for future funding requests through the Regional District of Nanaimo Community Grants Program noting that this clinic services residents in the entire Oceanside area.

(6) **Committee of the Whole July 10, 2024 | Staff verbal update**

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024 Regular Council Meeting Agenda Page 3 of 6

10. STAFF REPORTS

- (1) Chief Administrative Officer
 - (a) **Service Review Update** The Town's consultant, P. Murray, Brentwood Advisory Group to present virtually.
- (2) **Financial Services**
- 43-61
- (a) **First & Second Quarter Organizational Update 2024** Staff Recommendations

i. THAT the Report titled "First & Second Quarter Organizational Update – 2024" dated July 17, 2024 be received for information.

ii. THAT Council directs staff to postpone the time-line for completion of the Committee/Commission Review Phase 2 Strategic Initiative included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan to the 2025 budget year.

iii. THAT Council directs staff to postpone the time-line for completion of the Comprehensive Policy Review Strategic Initiative included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan to the 2025 budget year.

iv. THAT Council directs staff as follows: that the 2024 budget provision of \$10,000 for the Corporate and Community Emissions Report Strategic Initiative and the 2024 budget provision of \$20,000 for the Corporate Emission Inventory Strategic Initiative included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan be combined and that these two Initiatives be renamed as the Corporate and Community Emissions Inventory and Report with a combined 2024 budget provision of \$30,000 with the project delivery anticipated for 2025.

v. THAT Council directs staff to reallocate the 2024 budget provision of \$100,000 for the Berwick 5 Well Connection included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan to provide for the replacement of pumps, motors and drop pipes in the Berwick Wells 1 and 2 in 2024 and that the Berwick 5 Well Connection project budget be reconsidered in the 2025-2029 Financial Planning Process.

vi. THAT Council authorizes staff to reallocate the 2024 budget provision of \$40,000 included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan for a spare standby pump for water wells to increase the 2024 budget provision for the Pump House Electronics Hardware Upgrade project thereby increasing the 2024 budget provision for this project from \$100,00 to \$140,000. Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024 Regular Council Meeting Agenda Page 4 of 6

- (3) Corporate Services
 - (a) Announcement of Closed Council Meeting Motions

Pursuant to Section 117 of the *Community Charter*, Council authorized for release to the public the following motions:

- THAT Council appoints Councillor Jean Young as the Council liaison to the Flowerstone Family Health Society.
- THAT Council appoints Luke Sales as the Deputy Corporate Administrator for the Town of Qualicum Beach for an approximate six-month term ending September 15, 2024.
- THAT Council appoints Rob Dickinson as the Deputy Director of Planning for the Town of Qualicum Beach for an approximate six-month term ending September 15, 2024.
- THAT Council appoints Linda Feil to the Accessibility Advisory Team for an approximate 9-month term ending December 31, 2024.
- THAT Council authorizes staff to approve MKM to enter a sub lease at 124 Harlech Road to permit sewing; packaging and shipment of marine clothing.
- (b) **Special Event Approval | Chartwell Day** Staff Recommendation

THAT the following special event be approved in principle, subject to event organizers working with Town staff to ensure Town requirements are met:

"Chartwell Day, Saturday, September 7, 2024 at Miraloma Park".

- (4) **Planning, Community Development & Emergency Planning**
 - (a) **Development Variance Permit | 119 Fern Road East** Staff Recommendation

THAT Council directs staff to fulfill statutory notification requirements for Council consideration of issuance of a Development Variance Permit for 119 Fern Road East at a future meeting of Council.

(b) **1085 Ravensbourne Lane** | **OCP & Zoning Amendments** Staff Recommendations

1. THAT Council directs staff to refer the OCP amendment application for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane to the 2025 Official Community Plan (OCP) Review for discussion, as detailed in the July 17, 2024 Planning report to Council.

2. THAT Council directs staff to postpone consideration of the zoning amendment application for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane following the conclusion of the 2025 Official Community Plan (OCP) Review.

62-63

72-106

64-71

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024 Regular Council Meeting Agenda Page 5 of 6

107-231 (c) **Bus Garage Final Report**

Staff Recommendations

1. THAT Council endorses the report titled "Bus Garage Final Report" dated May 1, 2024.

2. THAT Council directs staff to prepare a report outlining next steps in the implementation of the Bus Garage Conceptual Design for consideration during the 2025-2029 Budget process.

232-260 (d) **Saahtlam Park Plan**

Staff Recommendations

1. THAT Council endorses the report titled "Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan" dated April 19, 2024.

2. THAT Council directs staff to prepare a report outlining next steps in the implementation of the Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan for consideration during the 2025-2029 Budget process.

261-264 (e) **Extreme Weather Response** Staff Recommendation

> THAT Council receives, for information, the staff report attached to the July 17, 2024 agenda outlining the Town's response to extreme heat events when thresholds for temperature are exceeded.

(5) **Municipal Operations**

(a) **Bay Street Slope Stabilization** | **RFQ Award** Staff Recommendation

THAT Council approves the award of the Bay Street Slope Stabilization project to David Stalker Excavating in the amount of \$599,147.00 excluding GST.

(6) **Fire Rescue**

268-279

265-267

(a) **Fire Training Facility** | **Licence of Use Agreement** Staff Recommendation

THAT Council authorizes staff to enter into a Licence of Use Agreement for the Fire Training Facility located at 130 Rupert Road, Qualicum Beach between the Town of Qualicum Beach, the Regional District of Nanaimo and the Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department for a five-year term.

- 280-282
- (b) Fire Rescue 2024 Quarter 2 Departmental Report

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024 Regular Council Meeting Agenda Page 6 of 6

11. REVIEW OF COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

Comments for this regular Council meeting must be received no later than 12:00 pm two days following the meeting (July 19, 2024). Submissions must be regarding business discussed by Council at the meeting, be 300 words or fewer, and any submissions relating to closed public hearing topics, or unrelated to Council business discussed at the meeting, will not be distributed to Council. Submissions that meet these guidelines will be distributed to all Council members, posted on the Town's website, and a brief summary of the comments will be included in the meeting minutes. Comments must include a full name and address in order to be submitted for the record.

To submit a comment, please use one of the following options:

- Mail Box 130, Qualicum Beach, BC V9K 1S7
- Phone: 250.752.6921
- Email: <u>communications@qualicumbeach.com</u>

12. MOTION TO CLOSE – Nil

13. ADJOURNMENT

THAT Council adjourns the July 17, 2024, regular Council meeting.

NEXT SCHEDULED REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING: Wednesday, September 11, 2024, at 10:00 am

Minutes of the 10:00 am Wednesday, June 19, 2024, Town of Qualicum Beach Special Council Meeting held in the Qualicum Beach Council Chamber, Town Hall, 660 Primrose Street, Qualicum Beach, BC

PRESENT:	Council:	Acting Mayor Scott Harrison Councillor Anne Skipsey Councillor Petronella Vander Valk Councillor Jean Young
	Absent:	Mayor Teunis Westbroek
ALSO PRESEN	T: Staff:	Lou Varela, Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) Luke Sales, Director of Planning Deputy Corporate Administrator Rebecca Augustyn, Senior Planner Trudy Coates, Corporate Services Support Twyla Slonski, Corporate Services Support

Acting Mayor Harrison called the meeting to order at 10:02 am acknowledging that the meeting is being held on the traditional lands of the Coast Salish people.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Council adopted, by unanimous consent, the June 19, 2024 special Council meeting agenda.

STAFF REPORTS

(1) Appointment | RDN Fire Services Advisory Committee

Councillor Vander Valk MOVED and Councillor Skipsey SECONDED, THAT Council appoints Mayor Westbroek to serve as the Town of Qualicum Beach's representative on the Regional District of Nanaimo Fire Services Advisory Committee for a term ending December 31, 2024.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-183

MOTION TO CLOSE

Councillor Vander Valk MOVED and Councillor Skipsey SECONDED, THAT the special meeting of Council be closed to the public, pursuant to sections 90 (1)(c) & (e) and 90 (2)(b) of the *Community Charter*, for the purpose of considering:

- (c) labour relations and other employee relations;
- (e) the acquisition, disposition or expropriation of land or improvements, if Council considers the disclosure could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the municipality; and
- (b) the consideration of information received and held in confidence relating to negotiations between the municipality and a provincial government or the federal government or both and a third party.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC: 10:04 am

R. Augustyn left the meeting at 10:04 am.

Town of Qualicum Beach June 19, 2024, Special Council Meeting Minutes Page 2 of 2

MEETING REOPENED TO THE PUBLIC: 11:49 am with Acting Mayor Harrison, and Councillors Skipsey, Vander Valk and Young in attendance. Also in attendance: L. Varela, Chief Administrative Officer, L. Sales, Director of Planning/Deputy Corporate Administrator, T. Coates & T. Slonski, Corporate Administration Support.

ANNOUNCEMENT

Staff announced the Council motion from the Closed portion of the meeting to release to the public the amendment to the lease between the Town of Qualicum Beach and the Qualicum & District Curling Club [QDCC] for the lands at 644 Memorial Avenue to expand the footprint of the leased area by permitting the QDCC to construct a 14' x 14'8" addition to the north side of the building and to authorize staff to process and issue the building permit.

ADJOURNMENT

Councillor Vander Valk MOVED and Councillor Skipsey SECONDED, THAT Council adjourns the meeting. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MEETING ADJOURNED: 11:50 am

Certified Correct:

Luke Sales Deputy Corporate Administrator Scott Harrison Acting Mayor Minutes of the 10:00 am Wednesday, June 26, 2024, Town of Qualicum Beach Regular Council Meeting held in the Qualicum Beach Council Chamber, Town Hall, 660 Primrose Street, Qualicum Beach, BC

PRESENT:	Council:	Acting Mayor Scott Harrison
		Councillor Anne Skipsey
		Councillor Petronella Vander Valk
		Councillor Jean Young
ABSENT:		Mayor Teunis Westbroek
ALSO PRESENT: Staff:		Lou Varela, Chief Administrative Officer (CAO)
		Luke Sales, Director of Planning Deputy Corporate Administrator
		Raj Hayre, Director of Finance
		Peter Cornell, Fire Chief
		Rob Dickinson, Director of Infrastructure Services
		Oliver Watson, Capital Projects Manager
		Rebecca Augustyn, Senior Planner
		Jason Froats, Information Systems Analyst
		Trudy Coates, Corporate Services Support
		Twyla Slonski, Corporate Services Support
		Matthew Shields, Diamond Head Consulting, Town Consultant

Acting Mayor Harrison called the meeting to order in the Council Chambers at 10:00 am acknowledging that the meeting was being held on the traditional lands of the Coast Salish people, and in particular, the Qualicum First Nation.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Council adopted, by unanimous consent, the June 26, 2024, regular Council meeting agenda, as distributed with Item 10(3)(a) Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.

START OF CONSENT AGENDA

Councillor Skipsey MOVED, and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT the recommendations listed for items 2a) to 2b) in the June 26, 2024, Consent Agenda be adopted.

- a) THAT the May 29, 2024, 1:00 pm regular Council meeting minutes be approved as presented.
 CARRIED BY CONSENT
- b) THAT the Correspondence Log, dated for reference June 17, 2024, be approved, as presented.

CARRIED BY CONSENT CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-184 END OF CONSENT AGENDA

RECOGNITION - Nil

BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES - Nil

DELEGATIONS

1. **Rosemary Graham, Flowerstone Health Society**, spoke in support of the Society's request for funding (\$10,000) for Flowerstone Family Health Clinic nurse practitioner recruitment.

Town of Qualicum Beach June 26, 2024, Regular Council Meeting Minutes Page 2 of 6

CORRESPONDENCE – Nil

PUBLIC AND STATUTORY HEARINGS - Nil

BYLAWS

1. Bylaw No. 900

Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT the third reading of "Town of Qualicum Beach Zoning Bylaw No. 900, 2024" given May 29, 2024, be rescinded; AND FURTHER THAT "Town of Qualicum Beach Zoning Bylaw No. 900, 2024" be given third reading as amended as shown in the Town of Qualicum Beach Zoning Bylaw No. 900, 2024 Change Log in the June 26, 2024 agenda. **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-185**

Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT "Town of Qualicum Beach Zoning Bylaw No. 900, 2024" be adopted. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-186

2. Bylaw No. 901

Councillor Vander Valk MOVED and Councillor Skipsey SECONDED, THAT "Town of Qualicum Beach Advisory Planning Commission Bylaw No. 901, 2024" be adopted. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-187

3. Bylaw No. 902

Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT "Town of Qualicum Beach Board of Variance Bylaw No. 902, 2024" be adopted. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-188

COMMITTEE & LIAISON REPORTS

- 1. General Government (Mayor Teunis Westbroek) Nil
- 2. **Public Safety** (Councillor Scott Harrison) Councillor Harrison commented on his portfolio activities.
- 3. Parks & Recreation (Councillor Anne Skipsey)
 - (1) Select Committee on Environment and Sustainability April 19, 2024 Recommendations from the Committee

Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Acting Mayor Harrison SECONDED, THAT Council pause on the Bus Garage project public site and reassess the brief and renegotiate the design to include recommendations from the Sustainability Action Plan.

Opposed: Acting Mayor Harrison, Councillors Skipsey, Young and Vander Valk **DEFEATED UNANIMOUSLY**

Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT Council refer adoption and subsequent implementation of the Climate Mitigation Actions Matrix dated April 19, 2024, to its 2025 Strategic Planning process for analysis of resources and work planning.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-189

Town of Qualicum Beach June 26, 2024, Regular Council Meeting Minutes Page 3 of 6

- 4. **Community Development** (Councillor Petronella Vander Valk) Councillor Vander Valk commented on her written report included in the agenda.
- 5. **Arts & Culture** (Councillor Jean Young) Councillor Young commented on her portfolio activities.

STAFF REPORTS

1. Corporate Services

Annual Municipal Report
 Councillor Vander Valk MOVED and Councillor Skipsey SECONDED, THAT the
 2023 Annual Report be accepted.
 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-190

(2) Announcement of Closed Council Meeting Motions

The following motions previously passed by Council during a Closed meeting, were released to the public, pursuant to Section 117 of the *Community Charter*:

• THAT Council directs staff to provide a letter of support for Island Hopper Air Training (IHAT) to operate a flight school from the Qualicum Beach Airport (CAT4), subject to approval by Transport Canada.

CAO Varella gave a verbal report noting: the flight school motion is an announcement of a motion made previously; the process for motions at closed meetings; Council's lack of authority to restrict airport operations; the Crown Grant provided the airport lands for the sole purpose of operating a public airport with associated uses; flight schools are a permitted use; flight schools are regulated by Transport Canada and permitted to fly in and out of the Airport regardless of where they are based; the Town's goal to balance airport operations and neighbourhood expectations; and Council will be considering development a Master Plan as part of Council's 2025 Strategic Planning.

- THAT Council appoints Tina Rasmussen representing Sources; and Mike Garland to the Select Committee on Beach Day for a two-year term ending December 31, 2025.
- THAT Council approves the draft five-year agreement between the Parksville Qualicum Beach Tourism Association and the Town of Qualicum Beach and authorizes the Mayor and Corporate Administrator to execute the agreement on behalf of the Town; AND FURTHER THAT, Council instructs staff to request that the PQBTA prepare an annual work plan to be shared with key stakeholders that including, but not limited to the Town of Qualicum Beach and the Qualicum Beach Chamber of Commerce; AND FURTHER THAT the St. Andrews Lodge Historical & Cultural Society be added to Section 10 of the Fee for Service Agreement.

Town of Qualicum Beach June 26, 2024, Regular Council Meeting Minutes Page 4 of 6

2. Municipal Operations

(1) BC Hydro Leased Streetlights – Quote to Review Resubmitted Hydro Complaints

Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT Council awards the review of 25 BC Hydro Leased Streetlights, identified on Appendix 1: BC Hydro Lease Lights – Map of Complaints between September 1, 2023 to May 1, 2024 to E2 Engineering for the quoted price of \$14,450 plus GST; AND FURTHER THAT E2 Engineering provide a report to Council summarizing its review, including recommendations for submission to BC Hydro's SLIM System, for the Utility's consideration.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-191

(2) Quatna Road Watermain Replacement - RFQ Award

Councillor Vander Valk MOVED and Councillor Young SECONDED, THAT Council approves the award of the Quatna Road Watermain Replacement project to David Stalker Excavating in the amount of \$113,537 excluding GST. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-192

(3) Roundabout Art Proposal

Councillor Vander Valk MOVED and Councillor Young SECONDED, THAT Council authorizes staff to proceed with the production, installation and placement of the art piece by Qualicum First Nation artist Jesse Recalma in the centre of the Highway 19A roundabout; AND FURTHER THAT the additional funding required to complete the art piece project in the amount of \$16,100 exclusive of GST be reallocated from the excess remaining budgeted funds for the 2023 Highway 19A Ditch Infill/Bike Lane/Parking – Phase 1 project for the 2023 Memorial Avenue Roundabout project.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-193

3. Fire Rescue

(1) Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan – June 2024

The Town's consultant, Matthew Shields, Diamond Head Consulting gave a PowerPoint presentation on the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.

Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Young SECONDED, THAT Council adopts the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan (CWRP) 2024 completed by Diamond Head Consulting, attached to the staff report dated June 26, 2024. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-194

Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT Council endorses the Action Plan contained within the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan (CWRP) 2024 for consideration during Strategic Planning and within the 2026 Budget process.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-195

Town of Qualicum Beach June 26, 2024, Regular Council Meeting Minutes Page 5 of 6

> Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT Council directs staff to request an amendment to the grant received from the Union of BC Municipalities (UBCM) Community Resiliency Investment Program (CRI) – 2023 FireSmart Community Funding & Support Program to remove the Fuel Management Prescription for the Heritage Forest and to add a Fuel Management Prescription for the forested area behind the Qualicum Beach Fire Station.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-196

(2) Regional District of Nanaimo Fire Services Agreement

Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT Council authorizes the Mayor and the Corporate Officer to sign the Regional District of Nanaimo [RDN] Fire Services Agreement as approved by the RDN Board of Directors at its meeting held May 14, 2024 and scheduled to expire December 31, 2026; AND FURTHER THAT upon expiry of the agreement in December 2026 and prior to entering into a renewed agreement, staff are to collaborate with all parties to the agreement for the purpose of revisiting the way in which costs are calculated and apportioned, risks associated with the fire service boundary and identifying other revenue sources. **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-197**

4. Financial Services

 2023 Statement of Financial Information (SOFI) Report Councillor Skipsey MOVED and Councillor Vander Valk SECONDED, THAT the Town of Qualicum Beach 2023 Statement of Financial Information be received and approved by Council.
 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY | Resolution No. 24-198

MOTION TO CLOSE - Nil

ADJOURNMENT

Councillor Vander Valk MOVED and Councillor Young SECONDED, THAT Council adjourns the June 26, 2024, regular Council meeting. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MEETING ADJOURNED: 11:52 am

Certified Correct:

Luke Sales Deputy Corporate Administrator Teunis Westbroek Mayor Town of Qualicum Beach June 26, 2024, Regular Council Meeting Minutes Page 6 of 6

REVIEW OF COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

Comments for this regular Council meeting were received by 12:00 pm two business days following the meeting (June 28, 2024). Submissions were distributed to all Council members, and a brief summary of the comments are as follows:

- Marlys Diamond, Flowerstone Health Society delegation.
- Jeffery Toews, Town of Qualicum Beach Zoning Bylaw No. 900, 2024.
- Marie Noel, Town of Qualicum Beach Zoning Bylaw No. 900, 2024, and Flowerstone Health Society delegation.
- Tim Pritchard, Flowerstone Health Society delegation, bus garage project, and meeting Chair.
- James Noel, Roundabout art project, and announcement of Closed Council meeting motions.

Page 14

THE TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH ectangular Snip

COUNCIL CORRESPONDENCE LOG

June 18 - July 10, 2024 (for July 21, 2024 Council Agenda) *Note: All correspondence on the log is compiled and distributed to Council

DATE REC'D	ΤΟΡΙϹ	ACTION	ITEM #
June 21	Delegation Request for Development Permit for 3022	Referred to staff	273
	Island Highway W		
June 21	Airport Concerns - Resident A	Referred to staff	274
June 21	Triathlon in forest – Opposed	Distributed to Council	275
June 21	New Flight School – Opposed - Resident A	Referred to staff	276
June 22	New Flight School – Opposed	Referred to staff	278
June 22	Airport Noise Concerns	Referred to staff	279
June 21	Airport Noise Concerns	Referred to staff	280
June 23	New flight school - Opposed	Referred to staff	281
June 23	New Flight School – Opposed	Referred to staff	282
June 23	Airport Concerns	Referred to staff	283
June 24	New Flight School - Opposed	Referred to staff	284
June 24	Prostate Cancer Awareness Month	Distributed to Council	285
June 24	Flowerstone Health Society Delegation Comments	Distributed to Council	286
June 24	New Flight School - Opposed	Referred to staff	288
June 24	Flowerstone Health Society Request for Funds & Airport – Support	Distributed to Council	289
June 25	Airport COW Meeting Participation Inquiry	Referred to staff	290
June 22	Tree Protection Bylaw - Dead Tree Replacement Question	Distributed to Council	291
June 26	New Flight School - Opposed – Resident A	Referred to staff	292
June 28	Flowerstone Health Society Delegation – Comments	Distributed to Council	293
July 2	Airport Noise Concerns - Resident A	Referred to staff	296
July 2	Traffic Safety Concerns During Community Events	Referred to staff	297
July 3	Route 19A Music Festival	Distributed to Council	298
July 5	New Flight School - Opposed	Referred to staff	300
July 7	Public Safety Concerns	Referred to staff	301
July 5	Airport Noise Concerns – Resident A	Referred to staff	302
July 5	Airport Concerns	Referred to staff Page 15	303

July 8	Airplane Noise Concerns	Referred to staff	304
July 8	Airport Noise Concerns	Referred to staff	305
July 10	Airport Concerns	Referred to staff	306
July 10	New Flight School – Opposed	Referred to staff	307
July 10	New Flight School – Opposed	Referred to staff	308
July 10	New Flight School – Opposed	Referred to staff	309
July 10	New Flight School – Opposed	Referred to staff	310
July 10	Proclamation Request - National Drowning Prevention	Referred to staff	311
	Week		
July 10	District of Saanich - UBCM Resolution re BC Hydro	Referred to staff	312
July 10	Airport Concerns	Referred to staff	313



June 21, 2024

Lou Varela Chief Administrative Officer Town of Qualicum Beach Box 130 Qualicum Beach, BC V9K 1S7

SENT VIA E-MAIL: lvarela@qualicumbeach.com

Dear Lou Varela:

RE: 2024-2034 CANADA COMMUNITY-BUILDING FUND AGREEMENT

Please find enclosed the 2024-2034 Community Works Fund Agreement between the Town of Qualicum Beach and the Union of BC Municipalities. As part of the renewed Canada Community-Building Fund Agreement, the CWF will continue to provide dedicated and predictable funds to each local government in British Columbia for investments in local infrastructure and capacity-building priorities.

In order to receive your first CWF payment for the 2024/25 funding year, please review the Agreement, sign, and return to <u>ccbf@ubcm.ca</u>.

Once we have received the Agreement, we will return an executed version for your records.

Please also include a Council resolution authorizing the Mayor and Corporate Officer to sign on behalf of your local government.

We have provided a program guide, an information sheet, and estimated funding for the first five years of the program on our UBCM CCBF <u>website</u>.

If you have any questions, please emails us at <u>ccbf@ubcm.ca</u> or call us at 250-356-5134.

Sincerely,

fi

Brant Felker Manager, CCBF Program Services

525 Government Street, Victoria, BC V8V 0A8 | 250-356-5134 | ccbf@ubcm.ca | ubcm.ca

2024-2034 COMMUNITY WORKS FUND AGREEMENT

under the

ADMINISTRATIVE AGREEMENT ON THE CANADA COMMUNITY-BUILDING FUND

This Agreement made as of _____, 202__,

BETWEEN:

Town of Qualicum Beach (the Local Government)

AND

The UNION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA MUNICIPALITIES (UBCM) as continued by section 2 of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities Act RSBC 2006, c.1, as represented by the President (the "UBCM)

1. PURPOSE

> The purpose of this Community Works Fund Agreement is to set out the roles and responsibilities of the Local Government and UBCM related to any Community Works Fund funds that may be delivered to the Local Government by UBCM.

2. **SCHEDULES**

The following annexes and schedules, originating in whole or part from the Agreement, are attached to and form part of this Community Works Fund Agreement:

Schedule A:	Ultimate Recipient Requirements
Schedule B:	Eligible Project Categories
Schedule C:	Eligible and Ineligible Expenditures
Schedule D:	Program Reporting
Schedule E:	Communications Protocol
Schedule F:	Asset Management
Schedule G:	Housing Report

3. **ROLE OF UBCM**

- 3.1 UBCM has, pursuant to the Agreement, agreed with Canada and British Columbia to:
 - a) receive CCBF funding from Canada and allocate funds so received from Canada pursuant to the Agreement, including allocating Community Works Funds to the Local Government to be spent on Eligible Projects and Eligible Expenditures in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Community Works Fund Agreement;
 - b) report to Canada and British Columbia, including Annual Reports and Outcome Reports, as required by the Agreement; and

c) fulfill other roles and responsibilities as set out in the Agreement.

4. CONTRIBUTION PROVISIONS

- 4.1 Over the term of this Community Works Fund Agreement, UBCM will pay the Local Government its annual allocation within 30 days of receipt of such funds from Canada.
- 4.2 Payments under section 4.1 are subject to UBCM receiving sufficient CCBF funds from Canada, and Local Government compliance with this Community Works Fund Agreement and any other Funding Agreement under the Prior Agreement.
- 4.3 Annual allocation is based on a formula set out in section 1.1 of Annex B of the Agreement. In the first year of this Community Works Fund Agreement, the Local Government will receive \$243,507, in two equal instalments which, subject to section 4.2, are expected to be delivered in the month following July 15 and between November 15, 2024 and March 31, 2025.
- 4.4 Annual allocation to the Local Government for all subsequent years under this Community Works Fund Agreement continue to be based on the funding formula set out in the Agreement, but are subject to change by UBCM from the amount set out in section 1.1 of Annex B of the Agreement due to such circumstances as local government boundary changes and new Local Government incorporations, changes in Census populations and changes in amounts that may be received by UBCM from Canada.
- 4.5 Timing of payments in subsequent years under this Community Works Fund Agreement to the Local Government by UBCM are subject to change due to any changes in timing of payments to UBCM by Canada.

5. USE OF FUNDS BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT

- 5.1 Any CCBF funding that may be received by the Local Government and any Unspent Funds, and any interest earned thereon held by the Local Government must be used by the Local Government in accordance with this Community Works Fund Agreement, including specifically Section 6. (Commitments of the Local Government).
- 5.2 Any CCBF funding that may be received by the Local Government and any Unspent Funds, and any interest earned thereon held by the Local Government will be treated as federal funds with respect to other federal infrastructure programs.

6. COMMITMENTS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT

- 6.1 The Local Government shall:
 - a) Be responsible for the completion of each Eligible Project in accordance with Schedule B (Eligible Project Categories) and Schedule C (Eligible and Ineligible Expenditures).
 - b) Comply with all requirements outlined in Schedule D (Program Reporting), Schedule E (Communications Protocol) and Schedule G (Housing Report).

- c) Continue to strengthen the development and implementation of asset management best practices over the course of the Agreement, in accordance with Schedule F.
- d) Invest, in a distinct account, Community Works Fund funding it receives from UBCM in advance of it paying Eligible Expenditures.
- e) With respect to Contracts, award and manage all Contracts in accordance with their relevant policies and procedures and, if applicable, in accordance with the Agreement on International Trade and applicable international trade agreements, and all other applicable laws.
- f) Invest into Eligible Projects, any revenue that is generated from the sale, lease, encumbrance or other disposal of an asset resulting from an Eligible Project where such disposal takes place within (5) years of the date of completion of the Eligible Project.
- g) Allow Canada and UBCM reasonable and timely access to all of its documentation, records and accounts and those of their respective agents or Third Parties related to the use of CWF funding and Unspent Funds, and any interest earned thereon, and all other relevant information and documentation requested by Canada or its designated representatives for the purposes of audit, evaluation, and ensuring compliance with this Administrative Agreement.
- h) Keep proper and accurate accounts and records in respect of all Eligible Projects for at least six (6) years after completion of the Eligible Project and, upon reasonable notice, make them available to Canada and UBCM. Keep proper and accurate accounts and records relevant to the CWF program for a period of at least six (6) years after the termination of this Administrative Agreement.
- Ensure your actions do not establish or be deemed to establish a partnership, joint venture, principal-agent relationship or employer-employee relationship in any way or for any purpose whatsoever between Canada and the Local Government, or between Canada and a Third-Party.
- j) Ensure that the Local Government do not represent themselves, including in any agreement with a Third Party, as a partner, employee or agent of Canada.
- k) Ensure that no current or former public servant or public office holder to whom any postemployment, ethics and conflict of interest legislation, guidelines, codes or policies of Canada applies will derive direct benefit from CCBF funding, Unspent Funds, and interest earned thereon, unless the provision or receipt of such benefits is in compliance with such legislation, guidelines, policies or codes.
- I) Ensure that the Local Government will not, at any time, hold the Government of Canada, British Columbia, or UBCM, its officers, servants, employees or agents responsible for any claims or losses of any kind that the Local Government, Third Parties or any other person or entity may suffer in relation to any matter related to CCBF funding or an Eligible Project and that the Local Government will, at all times, compensate the Government of Canada, British Columbia, or UBCM, its officers, servants, employees, and agents for any claims or losses of any kind that any of the Local Government may suffer in relation to any matter related to CCBF funding or an Eligible Project.
- m) Agree that any CCBF funding received will be treated as federal funds for the purpose of other federal infrastructure programs.
- n) Agree that the above requirements which, by their nature, should extend beyond the expiration or termination of this Administrative Agreement, will extend beyond such

expiration or termination.

7. TERM

This Community Works Fund Agreement will be effective as of April 1, 2024 and will be in effect until March 31, 2034 unless the Parties agree to renew it. In the event where this Community Works Fund Agreement is not renewed, any CCBF funding and Unspent Funds, and any interest earned thereon held by the Local Government, that have not been expended on Eligible Projects or other expenditures authorized by this Community Works Fund Agreement as of March 31, 2034 will nevertheless continue to be subject to this Community Works Fund Agreement until such time as may be determined by the Parties.

8. SURVIVAL

The rights and obligations, set out in Sections 5.1, 5.2 and 6.1 will survive the expiry or early termination of this Community Works Fund Agreement and any other section which is required to give effect to the termination or to its consequences shall survive the termination or early termination of this Community Works Fund Agreement.

9. AMENDMENT

The Local Government acknowledges that the Agreement may from time to time be amended by agreement of Canada, British Columbia and UBCM and if and whenever such amendments to the Agreement are made, the Local Government agrees that UBCM may require this Community Works Fund Agreement to be amended to reflect, at the sole discretion of UBCM, the amendments made to the Agreement. Where UBCM requires this Community Works Fund Agreement to be so amended, it will provide to the Local Government notice in writing of the amendments it requires. Such amendments shall from part of this Community Works Fund Agreement and be binding on the Local Government and UBCM thirty (30) days after such notice, unless before then the Local Government to UBCM.

10. WAIVER

No provision of this Community Works Fund Agreement shall be deemed to be waived by UBCM, unless waived in writing with express reference to the waived provisions and no excusing, condoning or earlier waiver of any default by the Local Government shall be operative as a waiver, or in any way limit the rights and remedies of UBCM or Canada.

11. NO ASSIGNMENT

This Community Works Fund Agreement is not assignable by the Local Government and the Local Government shall not assign, pledge, or otherwise transfer any entitlement to allocation of funds under this Community Works Fund Agreement to any person and shall upon receipt of any allocation of funds hereunder pay and expend such funds thereafter only in accordance with the terms of this Community Works Fund Agreement.

12. NOTICE

Any notice, information or document provided for under this Community Works Fund Agreement must be in writing and will be effectively given if delivered or sent by mail, postage or other charges prepaid, or by email. Any notice that is delivered will have been received on delivery; and any notice mailed will be deemed to have been received eight (8) calendar days after being mailed.

Any notice to UBCM will be addressed to: Executive Director 525 Government Street Victoria, British Columbia V8V 0A8 Email: <u>ccbf@ubcm.ca</u>

Any notice to the Local Government will be addressed to:

The Corporate Officer at the place designated as the Local Government office.

SIGNATURES

This Community Works Fund Agreement has been executed on behalf of the Local Government by those officers indicated below and each person signing the agreement represents and warrants that they are duly authorized and have the legal capacity to execute the agreement.

Town of Qualicum Beach	UNION OF BC MUNICIPALITIES
Original signed by:	Original signed by:
Mayor	Corporate Officer
Corporate Officer	General Manager, Victoria Operations
Signed by Town of Qualicum Beach on the day of, 202	The Community Works Fund Agreement have been executed by UBCM on the day of, 202

Schedule A – Definitions

"Affordable Housing" means a dwelling unit where the cost of shelter, including rent and utilities, is a maximum of 30% of before-tax household income. The household income is defined as 80% or less of the Area Median Household Income (AMHI) for the metropolitan area or rural region of the Ultimate Recipient.

"Administrative Agreement or Agreement" means the 2024-2034 Administrative Agreement on the Canada Community-Building Fund in British Columbia and UBCM.

"Asset Management" means an integrated process, bringing together skills, expertise, and activities of people; with information about a community's physical and natural assets; and finances; so that informed decisions can be made, supporting Sustainable Service Delivery.

"Canada Community-Building Fund" (CCBF) means the program established under section 161 of the *Keeping Canada's Economy and Jobs Growing Act,* S.C. 2011, c. 24 as amended by section 233 of the *Economic Action Plan 2013 Act, No. 1,* S.C. 2013, c. 33, as the Gas Tax Fund and renamed the Canada Community-Building Fund in section 199 of *Budget Implementation Act, 2021, No. 1.*

"Chief Financial Officer" means in the case of a municipality, the officer assigned financial administration responsibility under S. 149 of the *Community Charter*, and in the case of a Regional District, the officer assigned financial administration responsibility under S. 199 of the *Local Government Act*, R.S.B.C. 1996, c.323.

"Community Works Fund" means the fund provided from the Canada Community-Building Fund to be dispersed to local governments based on a percentage of the per capita allocation for local spending priorities in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in the Agreement.

"Community Works Fund Agreement" means this Agreement made between UBCM and Local Government.

"Contract" means an agreement between an Ultimate Recipient and a Third Party whereby the latter agrees to supply a product or service to an Eligible Project in return for financial consideration.

"Core Housing Need" means a household living in an unsuitable, inadequate or unaffordable dwelling and cannot afford alternative housing in their community.

"Eligible Expenditures" means those expenditures described as eligible in Schedule C (Eligible and Ineligible Expenditures).

"Eligible Projects" means projects as described in Schedule B (Eligible Project Categories).

"Funding Agreement" means an agreement between British Columbia and UBCM and an Ultimate Recipient setting out the terms and conditions of the CCBF funding to be provided to the Ultimate Recipient, containing, at a minimum, the elements in Schedule A (Ultimate Recipient Requirements).

"Gender Based Analysis Plus" (GBA Plus or GBA+) is an analytical process that provides a rigorous method for the assessment of systemic inequalities, as well as a means to assess how diverse groups of women, men, and gender diverse people may experience policies, programs and initiatives. The "plus" in GBA Plus acknowledges that GBA Plus is not just about differences between biological (sexes) and socio-cultural (genders). GBA Plus considers many other identity factors such as race, ethnicity, religion, age, and mental or physical disability, and how the interaction between these factors influences the way we might experience government policies and initiatives. Conducting a GBA Plus analysis involves considering all intersecting identity factors as part of GBA Plus, not only sex and gender. GBA+ is a priority for the Government of Canada.

"Housing Needs Assessment" means a report informed by data and research describing the current and future housing needs of a municipality or community according to guidance provided by Canada.

"Housing Report" means the duly completed housing report to be prepared and delivered by British Columbia and UBCM to Canada annually by September 30, as described in Schedule G (Housing Report).

"Ineligible Expenditures" means those expenditures described as ineligible in Schedule C (Eligible and Ineligible Expenditures).

"Infrastructure" means municipal or regional, publicly or privately owned tangible capital assets, or natural assets, in British Columbia primarily for public use or benefit.

"Local Government" means a municipality as defined in the *Community Charter* [SBC 2003] Chapter 26, a regional district as defined in the *Local Government Act* [RSBC 1996] Chapter 323, and the City of Vancouver as continued under the *Vancouver Charter* [SBC 1953] Chapter 55.

"Oversight Committee" means the committee established to monitor the overall implementation of this Administrative Agreement as outlined in section 7 (Oversight Committee) of this Administrative Agreement.

"Party" means Canada, British Columbia or UBCM when referred to individually and collectively referred to as "Parties".

"Previous Agreements" means any agreements between Canada, British Columbia and UBCM for the purposes of administering the Gas Tax Fund or Canada Community-Building Fund (CCBF).

"Prior Community Works Fund Agreement" means the 2014-2024 Community Works Fund Agreement between this Local Government and the UBCM.

Third Party" means any person or legal entity, other than Canada, British Columbia and UBCM or an Ultimate Recipient, who participates in the implementation of an Eligible Project by means of a Contract.

"Sustainable Service Delivery" means ensuring that current community service needs, and how those services are delivered (in a socially, economically and environmentally responsible

manner), do not compromise the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Sound asset management practices support Sustainable Service Delivery by considering community priorities, informed by an understanding of the trade-offs between the available resources and the desired services.

"Ultimate Recipient" means this Local Government

- (i) a Local Government or its agent (including its wholly owned corporation);
- (ii) a non-local government entity, including Indigenous recipients, non-governmental and not-for-profit organizations, on the condition that the Local Government(s) has (have) indicated support for the project through a formal resolution of its (their) council(s) or board(s) and that the entity receiving funds delivers a service typical of local government.
- (iii) TransLink, BC Transit, and Islands Trust

"Unspent Funds" means funds that have not been spent towards an Eligible Project or eligible costs in accordance with this Agreement or the Previous Agreements prior to the effective date of this Agreement.

SCHEDULE B - Eligible Project Categories

Eligible Projects include investments in Infrastructure for its construction, renewal or material enhancement in each of the following categories (as defined in the current program terms and conditions):

- 1. Local roads and bridges roads, bridges and active transportation infrastructure
- 2. Short-sea shipping infrastructure related to the movement of cargo and passengers around the coast and on inland waterways, without directly crossing an ocean
- 3. Short-line rail railway related infrastructure for carriage of passengers or freight
- 4. Regional and local airports airport-related infrastructure (excludes the National Airport System)
- 5. Broadband connectivity infrastructure that provides internet access to residents, businesses, and/or institutions in Canadian communities
- 6. Public transit infrastructure which supports a shared passenger transport system which is

available for public use

- 7. Drinking water infrastructure that supports drinking water conservation, collection, treatment and distribution systems
- 8. Wastewater infrastructure that supports wastewater and storm water collection, treatment and management systems
- 9. Solid waste infrastructure that supports solid waste management systems including the collection, diversion and disposal of recyclables, compostable materials and garbage
- 10. Community energy systems infrastructure that generates or increases the efficient usage of energy
- 11. Brownfield Redevelopment remediation or decontamination and redevelopment of a brownfield site within municipal boundaries, where the redevelopment includes:
 - the construction of public infrastructure as identified in the context of any other category under the Canada Community-Building Fund, and/or;
 - the construction of local government public parks and publicly-owned social housing.
- 12. Sport Infrastructure amateur sport infrastructure (excludes facilities, including arenas, which would be used as the home of professional sports teams or major junior hockey teams (e.g. Western Hockey League))
- 13. Recreational Infrastructure recreational facilities or networks
- 14. Cultural Infrastructure infrastructure that supports arts, humanities, and heritage
- 15. Tourism Infrastructure infrastructure that attract travelers for recreation, leisure, business or other purposes
- Resilience Infrastructure and systems that protect and strengthen the resilience of communities and withstand and sustain service in the face of climate change, natural disasters and extreme weather events.
- 17. Fire halls fire halls and fire station infrastructure including fire trucks
- 18. Capacity building includes investments related to strengthening the ability of municipalities to develop long-term planning practices including: capital investment plans, integrated community sustainability plans, integrated regional plans, housing needs assessments and housing planning, and/or asset management plans, related to strengthening the ability of recipients to develop long-term planning practices.

Note: Investments in health infrastructure (hospitals, convalescent and senior centres) are not eligible.

10

SCHEDULE C - Eligible and Ineligible Expenditures

1. Eligible Expenditures

1.1 Eligible Expenditures of Ultimate Recipients will be limited to the following:

- a) the expenditures associated with acquiring, planning, designing, constructing or renewal and rehabilitation of infrastructure and any related debt financing charges specifically identified with that asset;
- b) for capacity building category only, the expenditures related to strengthening the ability of Local Governments to improve local and regional planning including capital investment plans, integrated community sustainability plans, integrated regional plans, housing needs assessments, and/or asset management plans. The expenditures could include developing and implementing:
 - i. studies, strategies, or systems related to asset management, which may include software acquisition and implementation;
 - ii. studies, strategies, or systems related to housing or land use, including Housing Needs Assessments;
 - iii. training directly related to asset management planning; and
 - iv. long-term infrastructure plans.
- c) the expenditures directly associated with joint federal communication activities and with federal project signage.
- 1.2 Employee and Equipment Costs: The incremental costs of the Ultimate Recipient's employees or leasing of equipment may be included as Eligible Expenditures under the following conditions:
 - a) the Ultimate Recipient is able to demonstrate that it is not economically feasible to tender a Contract;
 - b) the employee or equipment is engaged directly in respect of the work that would have been the subject of the Contract; and,
 - c) the arrangement is approved in advance and in writing by UBCM.
- 2. Ineligible Expenditures

The following are deemed Ineligible Expenditures:

- a) project expenditures incurred before April 1, 2005;
- b) project expenditures incurred before April 1, 2014 for the following investment categories:
 - i. highways;
 - ii. regional and local airports;
 - iii. short-line rail;

- iv. short-sea shipping;
- v. disaster mitigation;
- vi. broadband connectivity;
- vii. brownfield redevelopment;
- viii. cultural infrastructure;
- ix. tourism infrastructure;
- x. sport infrastructure; and
- xi. recreational infrastructure.
- c) Fire Hall project expenditures incurred before April 1, 2021;
- d) Fire Truck purchases as stand-alone expenditures and expenditures under the Resilience Infrastructure category before April 1, 2024;
- e) the cost of leasing of equipment by the Ultimate Recipient, any overhead costs, including salaries and other employment benefits of any employees of the Ultimate Recipient, its direct or indirect operating or administrative costs of Ultimate Recipients, and more specifically its costs related to planning, engineering, architecture, supervision, management and other activities normally carried out by its staff, except in accordance with Eligible Expenditures above;
- f) taxes for which the Ultimate Recipient is eligible for a tax rebate and all other costs eligible for rebates;
- g) purchase of land or any interest therein, and related costs;
- h) legal fees;
- i) routine repair or maintenance costs; and
- j) costs associated with healthcare infrastructure or assets.

SCHEDULE D - Program Reporting

Ultimate Recipient Reporting

Ultimate Recipient requirements for program reporting under the CWF consist of the submission of an Annual Expenditure Report, and an outcomes report, which will be submitted to UBCM for review and acceptance. The reporting year is from January 1 to December 31. In addition to overall program reporting, specific asset management reporting and housing reporting obligations are described in Schedule F and G.

1. Ultimate Recipient Annual Expenditure Report

The Ultimate Recipient will provide UBCM an Annual Expenditure Report by June 1 of each year for the prior calendar year reporting which will include the following elements: unique project identifier, project title, project description, investment category, project start date, project end date, geo-location, total project cost, CCBF funding spent, closing balance, output indicator, and where applicable, a housing indicator and an outcomes indicator. A reporting template will be provided by UBCM.

The Annual Expenditure Report may also include a communications and signage report, and confirmation by the Ultimate Recipient's CFO that expenditures are eligible use of funds in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

2. Audited Financial Report

The Ultimate Recipient must submit an Audited Financial Statement to British Columbia in order to receive funds in each reporting year.

2.1 Independent Audit or Audit Based Attestation:

UBCM will provide an independent audit opinion, or an attestation based on an independent audit and signed by a senior official designated in writing by UBCM, as to:

- a) the accuracy of the information submitted in the Financial Report Table; and
- b) that CCBF funding and Unspent Funds, and any interest earned thereon, were expended for the purposes intended.

2.2 <u>Ultimate Recipient audit</u>:

UBCM and Canada may perform an audit or of an Ultimate Recipient annually.

3. Housing Report

By September of 30 each year British Columbia and UBCM will provide to Canada a report on housing as outlined in Schedule G (Housing Report).

4. Outcomes Report

By March 31 of each year, British Columbia and UBCM will provide to Canada an outcomes report that will outline the following program benefits:

- a) beneficial impacts on communities of completed Eligible Projects, supported by specific outcomes examples in communities;
- b) the impact of CCBF as a predictable source of funding;
- c) progress made on improving Local Government planning and asset management, including development or update of Housing Needs Assessments; and
- d) a description of how CCBF funding has alleviated housing pressures tied to infrastructure gaps and contributed to housing supply and affordability outcomes (further details on this requirement may be found in Schedule G Housing Report).

The outcomes report will present a narrative on how each program benefit is being met. A template and guidance document will be provided by Canada.

SCHEDULE E – Communications Protocol

In support of transparency and accountability of the CCBF, the following communications protocol will apply to all communications activities undertaken regarding any CCBF funding and will apply to the Parties and Ultimate Recipients. Communicating to Canadians on the use of CCBF funding is clearly linked with our joint accountability to Canadians. Compliance with this protocol will inform the timing and flow of any CCBF funding and is critical to meeting our joint commitment to transparency.

1. Purpose

- 1.1 The Communications Protocol applies to all communications activities related to any CCBF funding, including annual allocations and the identification and communication of projects under this Administrative Agreement. Communications activities may include, but are not limited to: public or media events, news releases, reports, digital and social media products, project signs, digital signs, publications, success stories and vignettes, photo compilations, videos, advertising campaigns, awareness campaigns, editorials, awards programs, and multi-media products.
- 1.2 Through collaboration, Canada, British Columbia and UBCM agree to work to ensure consistency in the communications activities meant for the public. This will include the importance of managing the delivery of communications activities based on the principle of transparent and open discussion.
- **1.3** Failure by British Columbia, UBCM or its Ultimate Recipient to adhere to this communication protocol may affect the timing and flow of any CCBF funding that may be transferred by Canada.

2. Joint communications approach

a. British Columbia and UBCM agree to work in collaboration with Canada to develop a joint communications approach to ensure visibility for the program, the provision of upfront project information and planned communications activities throughout the year.

Canada will provide a "Communications Approach" template to be completed by British Columbia and UBCM. This approach will then be reviewed and approved by Canada as well as British Columbia and UBCM.

This joint communications approach will have the objective of ensuring that proactive communications activities are undertaken each year to communicate the annual allocations and key projects, as identified in the communications approach, located in both large and small communities by using a wide range of communications tools to ensure local visibility.

To accomplish this, Canada, British Columbia and UBCM agree to establish a communications subcommittee that will meet biannually. This committee will review and approve a communications plan at the beginning of each year.

b. Canada, British Columbia and UBCM will work together on the initial annual joint communications approach, which will be finalized and approved by Canada's Co-Chair and British Columbia and UBCM agree that achievements under the joint communications approaches will be reported to the

Oversight Committee once a year.

- c. Through the communications subcommittee, British Columbia and UBCM agree to assess, with Canada, the effectiveness of communications approaches on an annual basis and, as required, update and modify the joint communications approach, as required. Any modifications will be brought to Canada's Co-Chair, British Columbia's Co-Chair and UBCM's Co-Chair, as appropriate for approval.
- d. If informed of a communications opportunity (ex. milestone event, news release) by an Ultimate Recipient, Canada, British Columbia and UBCM agree to share information promptly and coordinate participation in alignment with section 4.3, 4.5 and 5.2 of this communications protocol.
- e. Canada, British Columbia and UBCM agree to ensure the timely sharing of information, products (ex. news releases, media advisories), and approvals in support of communications delivery.
- 3. Inform Canada on allocation and intended use of CCBF funding for communications planning purposes
- 3.1 British Columbia and UBCM to provide to Canada upfront information on planned Eligible Projects and Eligible Projects in progress on an annual basis, prior to the construction season. Canada, British Columbia and UBCM will each agree, in this joint communications approach, on the date this information will be provided. Through the creation of a sub-committee, Canada, British Columbia and UBCM will be required to enact a communications approach that will be assessed bi-annually through the sub-committee mechanism.

In this agreement the information will include, at a minimum:

 Ultimate Recipient name; Eligible Project name; Eligible Project category, a brief but meaningful Eligible Project description; expected project outcomes including housing (if applicable); federal contribution; anticipated start date; anticipated end date; and a status indicator: not started, underway, completed.

Canada will link to the UBCM's CCBF website where this information will be accessible to the general public.

- 3.2 British Columbia and UBCM agree that the above information will be delivered to Canada in an electronic format deemed acceptable by Canada.
- 3.3 Canada, British Columbia and UBCM each agree that their joint communications approach will ensure the most up-to-date Eligible Project information is available to Canada to support media events and announcements (see 4.2 for full definition) for Eligible Projects.

4. Announcements and media events for Eligible Projects

4.1 At Canada's request, Canada, British Columbia and UBCM agree to coordinate an announcement regarding annual allocations of CCBF funding.

- 4.2 Media events and announcements include, but are not limited to: news conferences, public announcements, and the issuing of news releases to communicate funding of projects or key milestones (e.g. ground breaking ceremonies, completions).
- 4.3 Key milestones events and announcements (such as ground breaking ceremonies and grand openings) may also be marked by media events and announcements, news releases, or through other communications activities. Ultimate recipients, Canada, British Columbia and UBCM will have equal visibility through quotes and will follow the <u>Table of Precedence for Canada</u>.
- 4.4 Media events and announcements related to Eligible Projects will not occur without the prior knowledge and agreement of British Columbia and UBCM, as appropriate, Canada and the Ultimate Recipient.
- 4.5 The requester of a media event or an announcement will provide at least 15 working days' notice to other parties of their intention to undertake such an event or announcement. An event will take place at a mutually agreed date and location. British Columbia and UBCM, and, as appropriate, Canada and the Ultimate Recipient will have the opportunity to participate in such events through a designated representative. If communications is proposed through the issuing of a news release (with no supporting event), Canada requires at least 15 working days' notice and 5 working days with the draft news release to secure approvals and confirm the federal representative's quote.
- 4.6 For media events, each participant will choose its own designated representative. UBCM and Ultimate Recipients are responsible for coordinating all onsite logistics.
- 4.7 British Columbia and UBCM shall not unreasonably delay the announcement of opportunities identified in annual communications plans that have been pre-approved in advance.
- 4.8 The conduct of all joint media events, announcements for project funding, and supporting communications materials (ex. News releases, media advisories) will follow the <u>Table of Precedence</u> <u>for Canada</u>.
- 4.9 All joint communications material related to media events and announcements must be approved by Canada and recognize the funding of the parties.
- 4.10 All joint communications material for funding announcements must reflect Canada's Policy on Official Languages and the Policy on Communications and Federal Identity.
- 4.11 Canada, British Columbia and UBCM and Ultimate Recipients agree to ensure equal visibility in all communications activities.

5. Program communications

4.1 Canada, British Columbia, UBCM and Ultimate Recipients may include messaging in their own communications products and activities with regard to the CCBF.

17

- 4.2 The party undertaking these activities will recognize the funding of all contributors.
- 4.3 The conduct of all joint events and delivery of supporting communications materials (ex. News releases) that support program communications (ex. Such as intake launches) will follow the <u>Table of Precedence for Canada</u>.
- 4.4 Canada, British Columbia and UBCM agree that they will not unreasonably restrict the other parties from using, for their own purposes, public communications products related to the CCBF prepared by Canada, British Columbia and UBCM or Ultimate Recipients, or, if web-based, from linking to it.
- 4.5 Notwithstanding Section 4 of Schedule E (Communications Protocol), Canada retains the right to meet its obligations to communicate to Canadians about the CCBF and the use of funding.

6. Operational communications

- 6.1 British Columbia, UBCM or the Ultimate Recipient is solely responsible for operational communications with respect to Eligible Projects, including but not limited to, calls for tender, construction, and public safety notices. Operational communications as described above are not subject to the federal official language policy.
- 6.2 Canada does not need to be informed on operational communications. However, such products should include, where appropriate, the following statement, "This project is funded in part by the Government of Canada" or "This project is funded by the Government of Canada", as applicable.
- 6.3 British Columbia, UBCM and the Ultimate Recipient will share information as available with Canada should significant emerging media or stakeholder issues relating to an Eligible Project arise. Canada, British Columbia and UBCM will advise Ultimate Recipients, when appropriate, about media inquiries received concerning an Eligible Project.

7. Communicating success stories

7.1 British Columbia and UBCM to facilitate communications between Canada and Ultimate Recipients for the purposes of collaborating on communications activities and products including, but not limited to Eligible Project success stories, including the positive impacts on housing, Eligible Project vignettes, and Eligible Project start-to-finish features.

8. Advertising campaigns

8.1 Canada, British Columbia, UBCM or an Ultimate Recipient may, at their own cost, organize an advertising or public information campaign related to the CCBF or Eligible Projects. However, such a campaign must respect the provisions of this Administrative Agreement. In the event of such a campaign, the sponsoring party or Ultimate Recipient agrees to inform the other parties of its intention, and to inform them no less than 21 working days prior to the campaign launch.

9. Digital Communications, Websites and webpages

- 9.1 Where British Columbia and UBCM produce social media content to provide visibility to CCBF programs or projects, they shall @mention the relevant Infrastructure Canada official social media account.
- 9.2 Where a website or webpage is created to promote or communicate progress on an Eligible Project or Projects, it must recognize federal funding through the use of a digital sign or through the use of the Canada wordmark and the following wording, "This project is funded in part by the Government of Canada" or "This project is funded by the Government of Canada", as applicable. The Canada wordmark or digital sign must link to Canada's website, at <u>www.infrastructure.gc.ca</u>. The guidelines for how this recognition is to appear and language requirements are published on Canada's website, at <u>http://www.infrastructure.gc.ca/pub/signage-panneaux/intro-eng.html</u>.

10. Project signage

- 10.1 Unless otherwise approved by Canada, British Columbia, UBCM or Ultimate Recipients will install a federal sign to recognize federal funding at Eligible Project site(s). Federal sign design, content, and installation guidelines will be provided by Canada and included in the joint communications approach.
- 10.2 Where British Columbia, UBCM or an Ultimate Recipient decides to install a sign, a permanent plaque or other suitable marker recognizing their contribution with respect to an Eligible Project, it must recognize the federal contribution to the Eligible Project(s) and be approved by Canada.
- 10.3 British Columbia, UBCM or the Ultimate Recipient is responsible for the production and installation of Eligible Project signage, or as otherwise agreed upon.
- 10.4 British Columbia and UBCM to inform Canada of signage installations on a basis mutually agreed upon in the joint communications approaches.

11. Communication Costs

11.1 The eligibility of costs related to communication activities that provide public information on this Administrative Agreement will be subject to Schedule C (Eligible and Ineligible Expenditures).
SCHEDULE F – Asset Management

Canada, British Columbia and UBCM agree that the measures contained in the Previous Agreements to create and foster a culture of asset management planning were effective in increasing the capacity of the diverse range of Ultimate Recipients in British Columbia and UBCM to enhance their community's sustainability.

Under the previous Agreement (2014-2024), local governments in BC demonstrated a commitment to improving asset management practices within their respective communities. As awareness and knowledge has grown, asset management practices and culture has matured. However, as noted in the *2022 Status of Asset Management in BC Report*, while moving in the right direction, there remains significant gaps and priority areas where local governments need to improve if they are to realize the full benefits of asset management.

Using the results from the 2022 Status of Asset Management in BC Report as a guide, the Oversight Committee will develop and approve Asset Management Commitments, over the duration of this Agreement for ultimate recipients, consistent with the Asset Management for Sustainable Service Delivery: A BC Framework. Asset Management BC will be asked to provide expertise and input where appropriate.

All Ultimate Recipients will be required to meet the Asset Management Commitments. Asset Management Commitments may vary depending on whether the Ultimate Recipient is; a Local Government, a non-local government entity, Translink, and/or BC Transit. Asset Management Commitments will focus on strengthening asset management capacity over the term of the Agreement while continuing to recognize the varying capacities of Ultimate Recipients and the range of ongoing asset management activities.

The Oversight Committee will consider Asset Management Commitments under the following areas;

- Reporting on continuous improvement of Asset Management practices over the duration of the Agreement, including reporting through the Ministry of Municipal Affairs Local Government Data Entry (LGDE) System,
- Development and implementation of Long-term Financial Plans
- Ongoing Asset Management education and training, and
- Implementing asset management performance measurement.

SCHEDULE G – Housing Report

1. Housing Needs Assessments

- 1.1 By March 31, 2025, or as otherwise agreed upon by Canada and British Columbia, municipalities with a 2021 Census population of 30,000 or more are required to complete and make available to Canada a Housing Needs Assessment (HNA) prepared in accordance with provincial legislation and additional details provided, as agreed to by Canada and British Columbia, which together align with the information requirements, spirit and intent of the federal Housing Needs Assessment template and the guidance document.
- 1.2 HNAs should be used by British Columbia and UBCM in preparing the Project-Level Housing Report and the Housing Narrative in the CCBF Outcomes Report in order to identify housing pressures related to infrastructure. HNAs should also be used by municipalities to prioritize infrastructure projects that support increased housing supply where it makes sense to do so.
- 1.3 HNAs must be made publicly available on the municipal website and municipalities are to provide links to the page where the HNAs are posted to Canada for all Ultimate Recipients in their jurisdiction that have a 2021 Census population of 30,000 or more.
- 1.4 A separate HNA Guidance Document has been provided by Canada.

2. Project-Level Housing Report

By September 30 of each year, starting in 2025, British Columbia and UBCM will provide Canada a Housing Report in an electronic format deemed acceptable by Canada consisting of the following:

2.1 Methodology

British Columbia and UBCM will provide a description of the process used to collect data and information presented in the Housing Report. The methodology section should include the following information:

- Scope of the report and related rationale.
- Reporting process used to collect data from Ultimate Recipients.
- Identification of baseline data and other data sets used for the purposes of the report and which data has been excluded.
- How performance indicators were assessed in British Columbia.

2.2 Municipalities Identified for Project-Level Reporting

Criteria for Project-Level Reporting

Municipalities with a population of 30,000 or more, outside of the Metro Vancouver Region, that have housing pressures that can be addressed through closing infrastructure gaps or building capacity where it makes sense to do so, must:

- be included in Table 1 (below); and,
- provide project-level data on housing requirements to British Columbia and UBCM, for inclusion in the Housing Report that will be submitted by British Columbia and UBCM to Canada.

HNA and project-level reporting requirements can also be applied to other municipalities as agreed to by Canada, British Columbia and UBCM. Municipalities that do not meet these criteria may additionally be included at the discretion of British Columbia and UBCM, but are not required by Canada to include project-level data in the annual Housing Report.

British Columbia and UBCM will be expected to summarize project-level information from the municipalities identified by the above criteria to report to Canada annually.

The following table (**Table 1**) is to be used as a template to identify municipalities required to provide project-level reporting and to identify housing pressures related to infrastructure needs. Housing pressures should be consistent with needs and pressures identified by Ultimate Recipients in their HNAs. British Columbia and UBCM will provide an aggregate of this table to Canada in their annual Housing Report.

Ultimate Recipient	Project Level Reporting Criteria	Key Infrastructure-Related Housing Pressures
Name of the municipality	Identify which criteria as noted above applies	Identify key housing gaps and needs that are related to infrastructure

Table 1: Ultimate Recipients Identified for Project Level Reporting

2.3 Project-Level Housing Outcomes

For municipalities required to provide project-level reporting, British Columbia and UBCM are required to collect project-level data on housing outcomes and to complete the table below (Table 2) on an annual basis.

Table 2 is intended to link the housing pressures identified in Table 1 and in HNAs with outcomes supported by CCBF projects that can help Ultimate Recipients to address their specific housing pressures. More specifically, Table 2 is to be completed by Ultimate Recipients outlined in Section 1.2. It will include a subset of the projects from the above project list and this subset represents projects with housing outcomes.

Project ID	Ultimate	Project Title	Project	Investment	Housing
	Recipient		Description	Category	Outcomes and
					Indicators
As provided in program reporting (Schedule D)	As provided in Table 1	As provided in program reporting (Schedule D)	Provide a brief description of the project	Indicate which CCBF category the project falls under	Identify key housing outcomes and indicators (section 2.3) that will be used to measure success.

Table 2: Project-Level Reporting on Housing Outcomes

2.3.1 Housing Outcome Indicators

For each of the projects listed in Table 2, British Columbia and UBCM shall report on the following core indicators, as relevant to each investment category.

- # of housing units supported or preserved; and
- # of affordable housing units supported or preserved.

Units enabled is a measure of increased capacity for potential housing development as a result of the infrastructure investment made and, in some cases, where CCBF funding contributed directly to housing development (e.g., building social housing as part of brownfield remediation category, may include new units directly supported by CCBF funding).

3. Housing Narrative in the CCBF Outcomes Report

By March 31st each year, starting in 2026, British Columbia and UBCM shall provide Canada with a narrative report on program-level housing outcomes. This narrative report will be aligned with and incorporated into the annual CCBF Outcomes Report.

The housing narrative should outline how CCBF has supported housing supply and affordability pressures within British Columbia and UBCM's jurisdiction, over the reporting period, and measures taken between British Columbia, UBCM and Ultimate Recipients to improve housing supply and improve housing affordability for Canadians. It should also align with identified needs within Ultimate Recipients Housing Needs Assessments once they have been developed.

Further, British Columbia and UBCM must include in their Outcomes Report a narrative assessment of measures they have taken to improve housing outcomes through CCBF funded infrastructure projects. This should include:

- How Ultimate Recipients have prioritized specific infrastructure investments, where it made sense to do so, that support an increased supply of housing (e.g., upgrading pipes to support densification rather than sprawl, or remediating a brownfield site that could then be used for affordable housing);
- How Ultimate Recipients are utilizing CCBF funding to build local capacity for sound land use and development planning (e.g., through the capacity building category).
- Any measures taken to preserve and/or increase supply and mix of affordable housing (e.g., minimizing displacement, making land available for non-market housing, minimum affordability requirements for private developers); and

This housing narrative must also include responses to the following questions:

- How many or what percentage of projects from the total CCBF project list contribute to an increase in housing supply and how many housing units were supported or preserved (as outlined in 2.3.1)?
- What percentage of total housing units supported or preserved are affordable?
- How many communities have published a new Housing Needs Assessment or an updated one within the last 5 years?

For further information and details on the housing narrative portion of the Outcomes Report please refer to the Housing Report Template and Guidance document.

4. Assessment of the Housing Reports and Compliance

4.1 Assessment of Housing Reports

Both the project-level housing report and the housing narrative on program-level housing outcomes will be assessed against the Government of Canada's Evaluation Framework as well as HNAs.

4.2 Compliance

Failure by British Columbia, UBCM or its Ultimate Recipient to adhere to this Schedule may affect the timing and flow of any CCBF funding that may be transferred by Canada. Repeated or sustained failures to comply with the terms of this Schedule could result in downward adjustment of allocations for British Columbia, UBCM or Ultimate Recipient for future Infrastructure Canada programs.

REPORT TO COUNCIL: JUNE 17TH TO JULY 8TH 2024

COUNCILLOR VANDER VALK

- 1. **21st of June**: attended the meeting of the Board of the Qualicum Beach Chamber of Commerce
- 2. **25th of June**: attended the meeting of the Board of the Chartwell Residents Association
- 3. **26th of June**: attended the meeting of the Board of the Parksville Qualicum Beach Tourism Association meeting
- 4. 1st of July: attended the Canada Day festivities, including cycling in the parade
- 5. 8th of July: attended the Public Open House. Many topics were discussed including: a mention to residents re: the completion of the golf course bridge, the Town website and its review, an organizational chart, landscaping at the Telus cell tower, service level reviews, airport finances, public safety specifically at Railway and Memorial and Bay Street, Council Corner, Qualicum Commons, and traffic flow and parking.

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH STAFF REPORT

TO: Lou Varela, Chief Administrative Officer

FOR: Regular Council Meeting

DATE: July 17, 2024

FROM: Raj Hayre, Director of Finance

SUBJECT: First & Second Quarter Organizational Update - 2024

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. THAT the Report titled "First & Second Quarter Organizational Update 2024" dated July 17, 2024, be received for information.
- THAT Council directs staff to postpone the time-line for completion of the Committee/Commission Review Phase 2 Strategic Initiative included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan to the 2025 budget year.
- THAT Council directs staff to postpone the time-line for completion of the Comprehensive Policy Review Strategic Initiative included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan to the 2025 budget year.
- 4. THAT Council directs staff as follows: that the 2024 budget provision of \$10,000 for the Corporate and Community Emissions Report Strategic Initiative and the 2024 budget provision of \$20,000 for the Corporate Emission Inventory Strategic Initiative included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan be combined and that these two Initiatives be renamed as the Corporate and Community Emissions Inventory and Report with a combined 2024 budget provision of \$30,000 with the project delivery anticipated for 2025.
- 5. THAT Council directs staff to reallocate the 2024 budget provision of \$100,000 for the Berwick 5 Well Connection included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan to provide for the replacement of pumps, motors and drop pipes in the Berwick Wells 1 and 2 in 2024 and that the Berwick 5 Well Connection project budget be reconsidered in the 2025-2029 Financial Planning Process.
- THAT Council authorizes staff to reallocate the 2024 budget provision of \$40,000 included in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan for a spare standby pump for water wells to increase the 2024 budget provision for the Pump House Electronics Hardware Upgrade project thereby increasing the 2024 budget provision for this project from \$100,00 to \$140,000.

PURPOSE

The First & Second Quarter Organizational Update - 2024 represents a critical component of the reporting process, allowing for the transparent communication of progress on Council's Strategic Initiatives, as well as an overview of the performance of the Operating Budget and Capital Programs.

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting – First & Second Quarter Organizational Update 2024

Page 2 of 4

BACKGROUND

Looking back, the strategic direction of Council for the year 2024 was established through two comprehensive public Strategic Planning sessions held in Q4 of 2023. Subsequently, Council confirmed their Strategic Initiatives and chosen approaches to governance, through adoption of the 2024-2028 Strategic Plan and the 2024-2028 Financial Plan Bylaw. Council also approved the Operating Budget and Capital Programs for the fiscal year 2024 as integral components of the 2024-2028 Financial Plan.

DISCUSSION

This report serves as a key instrument in fostering accountability and ensuring that the community remains informed about the status of Council's Strategic Initiatives and financial allocations. The First & Second Quarter Organizational Update reflects the Town's financial performance to June 30, 2024, and expenditures and revenues detailed in Attachments 1 through 4 are reflective of progress at this "point in time".

COUNCIL STRATEGIC INITIATIVES PROGRESS

The Strategic Initiatives identified by Council in late 2023 support Council's six Focus Areas:

- ✓ Good Governance: To govern for the public interest of our community while managing competing interests, ensuring availability of transparent and accessible information, fostering respectful public engagement, and demonstrating ethical values.
- ✓ Community Health & Wellbeing: To improve the health and wellbeing of people who live, work, and play in the Town.
- ✓ Housing: To ensure residents have access to housing alternatives that meet a diversity of needs, lifestyles and income levels.
- ✓ Climate Action: To reduce GHG emissions and energy consumption and promote adaptive mitigative measures to prepare for climate change impacts.
- Progressive Infrastructure: To ensure infrastructure for energy, water, wastewater & storm water, solid waste and multi-modal transportation is efficient and effective at advancing the Town's sustainability goals.
- ✓ Economic Prosperity: To pursue economic opportunities based on sustainable growth, development and investment that meets the needs of the community.

First and second quarter results have been marked by significant progress in advancing Council's 22 Strategic Initiatives approved for 2024 as part of the Strategic Plan 2024-2028 adopted by Council on December 6, 2023. Please refer to Attachment 1 for a comprehensive overview of the financial progress made on Council's Strategic Initiatives outlined in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan. In summary, of the 22 Strategic Initiatives approved by Council for 2024, 2 are complete, 16 are in progress and 4 have not been started as of the second quarter due to lack of staff capacity, timing in relation to other projects and required discretionary Council decision-making.

Staff look forward to continuing this momentum into the third and fourth quarters of 2024.

CAPITAL BUDGET PROGRESS

The Capital Program approved by Council for 2024 is essential to replace aging assets and ensure continuity of community services while also advancing investments in new projects that enhance the community.

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting – First & Second Quarter Organizational Update 2024

Page 3 of 4

Capital project categories include: Transportation; Building Facilities; Parks and Trails; Equipment & Vehicles; Airport; Water Infrastructure; and Sewer Infrastructure. Please refer to Attachment 2 to review progress on the complete Capital Program for 2024. Works for the majority of capital projects budgeted and planned for 2024 are in progress. For projects which are in progress and not completed by year end, the remaining budget will be carried forward into the 2025 fiscal year as part of the year end accounting process. The 2024 budget provision for any capital projects that are not started at year end will not be automatically carried forward to the subsequent year. Council decision-making on these projects will become part of the 2025– 2029 Financial Plan process.

GENERAL FUND OPERATING BUDGET PERFORMANCE

The Operating Budget facilitates the day-to-day operations of the Town in alignment with Council's Focus Areas and Strategic Initiatives. The financial results for the first and second quarter indicate that the Town has demonstrated effective fiscal management, with both operating revenues and expenditures aligned closely with the budgeted figures. The revenues (which include property taxes, development and building fees, property lease revenues and other sources of municipal income), are meeting expectations set in the Budget. This indicates effective revenue recognition and collection strategies.

On the expenditure side, costs associated with operations, infrastructure maintenance, and administrative expenses are being effectively managed, with all major categories of spending staying within the budgeted limits. Financial performance for the first and second quarter underscore the Municipality's commitment to fiscal responsibility and sustainability, setting a positive tone for the third and fourth quarter of the fiscal year. For a summary of the General Fund operating results for the first and second quarter of 2024 please see Attachment 3 which provides a breakdown of revenues by major category and expenditures by service area.

WATER AND SEWER OPERATING BUDGET PERFORMANCE

The Water and Sewer Utilities are stand-alone funds and the expenditures of each Utility, including the contribution to Water Infrastructure Reserve Fund and the Sewer Infrastructure Reserve Fund for infrastructure investment, renewal and maintenance, are funded through user fees and a parcel tax collected from users. A comparison of budgeted to actual results for each Utility is attached to this report as Attachment 4. Revenues and Expenditures are trending on budget and anticipated to be on budget at year end.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPECTRUM (IAP²)

Public Participation Framework developed by the International Association for Public Participation – IAP² International.

INFORM	CONSULT	INVOLVE	COLLABORATE	EMPOWER
• Provide balanced and objective data to assist in understanding issues, alternatives, opportunities, and solutions	Obtain feedback on analysis, alternatives, and/or decisions	• Work directly with stakeholders to ensure concerns and aspirations are understood and considered	• Partner with stakeholders in each aspect of the decision, development of alternatives, and identification of preferred solutions	• Final decision making in the hands of the stakeholders

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting – First & Second Quarter Organizational Update 2024

Page 4 of 4

INFORM:

 Annual and quarterly reporting to Council supports transparency, and assists Council to govern more effectively by ensuring progress at mid-year is aligned with the allocation of resources in the Financial Plan.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

 The contents of this report are aligned with the quarterly reporting required in the adopted Strategic Plan 2024-2028.

SUMMARY

This First & Second Quarter Update reflects the Town's commitment to transparency, accountability and effective financial management. Changes to the practice for budgeting of, and reporting on, capital projects support this commitment. In addition, the progress made in implementing Strategic Initiatives, and the responsible execution of the Operating Budget and Capital Programs, underscore the Town's dedication to supporting the wellbeing and prosperity of the community and reflects the goals set forth in the 2024–2028 Financial Plan.

APPROVALS

Report respectfully submitted by Raj Hayre, Director of Finance.

Raj Hayre Director of Finance Report Writer

Lou Vàrela, MCIP, RPP CAO *Concurrence*

REFERENCES

- Attachment 1 Strategic Initiatives | First & Second Quarter Organizational Update 2024
- Attachment 2 Capital Projects | First & Second Quarter Organizational Update 2024
- Attachment 3 General Fund Operating Program | First & Second Quarter Organizational Update 2024
- Attachment 4 Water & Sewer Utility Funds | First & Second Quarter Organizational Update 2024

	Strategic Initiatives 2024	First an	d Sec	puo:	Quarter I	Jpdate	ATTACHMENT 1	
FOCUS AREA/INITIATIVE	DETAILED DESCRIPTION	Target Completion	Status	% Complete	2024 Budget	2024 Spent	Q2 ACTIVITY and 2024 NEXT STEPS	
GOOD GOVERANCE								
Planner Position	A three year term planner position to address capacity to advance Council's Strategic Initiatives for the 2023 to 2027 planning period.	2023		100%	100,000	50,000	Candidate hired July 2023. Council approved converting position from 3 year term to permanent status in 2023. Position will be funded from Strategic Initiatives Reserve until mid 2026, and subsequently funded from property taxation.	
Committee/Commission Review Phase 2	 Review of the terms of reference and/or establishing bylaws; mandate; alignment with best practices and current legislation; recommendations for orientation and training; and identifying what is working well and what could be improved upon with recommendations for next steps. 	2025	<	1	15,000	009'6	Request to defer to 2025 given lack of staff capacity	
Collaboration - Qualicum First Nation and Saa'men	Placeholder for discretionary Council decision making regarding opportunities for collaboration with Qualicum First Nation and Saa'men.	Council Discretion			15,000	500	Honorarium – donation to Kidney Foundation on behalf of Chief Recalma for his gift of knowledge and his input on Town projects.	
Town Website Design	Update the Town's website by creating a new framework and design for a clear, easy to navigate website with concise content.	2024-Q4		50%	50,000	29,800	Wireframe, site map and site design have been completed with the assistance of the website design consultant. Content review and rewrite is underway. Next steps (Q3) will include populating the site pages and testing the functionality and usability. The site launch is anticipated for Q4 with an opportunity for the public to test the site and provide feedback.	
Employer of Choice	Placeholder for staff development to optimize employee and department performance.	2024-Q4		50%	20,000	10,000	Staff participated in an Insights Discovery workshop with goal of maximizing departmental and individual performance by enhancing self-awareness and improving interpersonal skills. Training focused on key areas such as effective communication, conflict resolution and decision-making.	
Comprehensive Policy Review	The Town of Qualicum Beach has a comprehensive set of policies covering numerous aspects of municipal governance. Many of these policies have been established over the past three decades, and some are outdated or	2025	4		30,000		Request to defer to 2025, given lack of staff capacity.	
7								

Complete

In Progress

▲ Not Started

Council Resolution Requested

ATTACHMENT 1	Q2 ACTIVITY and 2024 NEXT STEPS		Planning work for this initiative will commence once the website is live (2024 – Q4).		A report with recommendations for Council's consideration is being developed.	The lease agreement has expired.	
pdate	2024 Spent		r		1		
Quarter U	2024 Budget		30,000		32,000	7,500	
o puo:	% Complete		ſ	BEING	%06	100%	-
nd Sec	sutet2			ND WELL			
First ar	Target Completion		2025	НЕАLTH А	2024-Q4	2024	
Strategic Initiatives 2024	DETAILED DESCRIPTION	no longer relevant. As the Town is required to adhere to its own policies for risk management purposes, maintaining outdated or irrelevant policies that are not being followed poses potential risks. This provision is for a consultant to support staff to review these policies, identifying which ones need updating, rescinding unnecessary policies and preparing a schedule and process for policy updates.	As the Town works toward a new website as the main portal of information to the public in 2024, it raises an opportunity/necessity to align the Town's current wordmark and crest usage to create an overall visual identity. Refreshing the Town's visual identity will allow for a consistent look and feel across all Town assets (physical and digital). A strong visual identity supports a strong presence with the public and reinforces the Town's work/role in the community.	COMMUNITY	CAO led initiatives based on Council approved budget, including strategic planning, remuneration and benefits review service review and other organizational improvements. Initiative works started in 2023 with remaining budget and works carried forward to 2024.	Property leased for possibility of attracting physicians to the community.	
	FOCUS AREA/INITIATIVE		Visual Identity Refresh		Organizational Service Review including Parks & Green Space Service Level Review	Healthcare - Property Space	Page 48

K Council Resolution Requested

In Progress

Not Started

OCUS AREA/INITIATIVE	DETAILED DESCRIPTION	Target Completion	Status	% Complete	2024 Budget	2024 Spent	Q2 ACTIVITY and 2024 NEXT STEPS
OCP - Quality of Life Survey	To be conducted to support the OCP review to monitor and report on resident satisfaction with municipal services, shifting trends and provide feedback on key issues to assist with the OCP review.	2024-Q4 Or 2025-Q1		5%	20,000		Staff preparing to reissue RFP for consultant to support Quality of Life Survey, to be completed in Q4 2024 or Q1 2025.
Community Volunteerism	For Council discretionary decision making with respect to community volunteer initiatives.	Council Discretion		н	10,000	2,000	Arrowsmith Naturalists Sponsorship per Council Resolution 24-123. Future action on this initiative will be determined by Council resolution.
	C	LIMATE ACI	NOI				
Tree Canopy Update	Data collection, aerial photography and mapping of the Town's tree canopy.	2024-Q3		50%	30,000	1	The Town has received the initial analysis of tree canopy coverage for the 2022 aerial photo. Staff are currently exploring next steps. Additional consulting work may be required to provide insights on the data as well as how to reconcile the current results with the results using different technology.
Community Wildfire Resilience Plan	To increase the community's understanding of the risk of wildfires as well as developing actionable items used to decrease the severity of wildfires in the community.	2024-Q4		80%	71,900	59,300	The Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan (CWRP) is complete and was presented to Council in June with the two Fuel Management Prescriptions planned for completion by the 4 th quarter of 2024
Corporate and Community Emissions Report	Development of a corporate emissions report to provide transparency, regulatory compliance, risk management, strategic planning, and stakeholder engagement, aiding in environmental impact assessment and sustainability. The community emissions initiative is being undertaken by the RDN.	2025-Q1		70%	10,000		Community Emissions: this was coordinated by the RDN and the report is complete. Corporate Emissions Reporting underway, with most of the work done in-house. A consultant is investigating options to reduce GHG emissions with Town facilities utilizing a portion of this budget. This work is being done in conjunction with the Corporate and Community Emissions Report and staff recommend combining the budget and reporting for these two initiatives.
Dogo 40							

Council Resolution Requested

Complete

In Progress

A Not Started

Strategic Initiatives 2024 First and Second Quarter Update

ATTACHMENT 1

Q2 ACTIVITY and 2024 NEXT STEPS	RFP issued and a consultant has been selected. Work will be started soon with completion anticipated in Q1 2025.	This work is being done in conjunction with the Corporate and Community Emissions Report and staff recommend combining the budget and reporting for these two initiatives. A Council resolution is being requested.	 Several initiatives underway: 1. Staff investigating options to work with a specialized firm to prepare a climate action outreach strategy and communications materials. 2. Town is participating with the RDN on the Home Energy Navigator and Cool It programs. Costs to be finalized based on resident uptake. 		The first phase of the bylaw updates is complete. Future work includes a housekeeping amendment this fall, engineering analysis around rainwater management, boulevard design work, review of financing tools, housing needs report and other related follow-up projects.
2024 Spent	1	5,900	100		12,400
2024 Budget	27,000	20,000	15,000		55,000
təlqmoƏ %	15%	%02	20%		%02
Status					
Target Completic	2025-Q1	2024-Q3	2025-Q1		2025-Q1
DETAILED DESCRIPTION	Policy development to outline a strategic process to transition from conventional fossil fuels to electric power.	Assessment of the Town's green house gas (GHG) emissions that occur within a defined period (usually annual reporting). This will help the Town establish procedures for annual reporting and identify opportunities for GHG reductions.	Organize community events to raise awareness around climate action and conduct consultations around climate issues for ongoing and upcoming planning activities such as OCP update.		Compliance with the Province's housing initiatives introduced in November 2023 to deliver more and faster housing. Compliance includes policy review, revision of zoning and other bylaws. Future Phases to include establishment of streetscape standards, servicing requirements, tree protection, assessment of park spaces and housing needs.
FOCUS AREA/INITIATIVE	Fleet Right Sizing & Electrification Policy	Corporate Emission Inventory	Community Outreach and Support	HOUSING	Housing Announcement Implementation- November 1, 2023

Strategic Initiatives 2024 First and Second Quarter Update

Council Resolution Requested

In Progress

A Not Started

Page 50

)))	5				
FOCUS AREA/INITIATIVE	DETAILED DESCRIPTION	Target Completion	Status	% Complete	2024 Budget	2024 Spent	Q2 ACTIVITY and 2024 NEXT STEPS	
	ECON	OMIC PROS	PERITY					
Tourism & Small Business Promotion	Discretionary Council decision making as related to Tourism and Small Business Promotion.	TBD	<		10,000		Future action on this Initiative will be determined by Council Resolution.	
Pathways to Sustainable Economic Development in Qualicum Beach	Economic development strategy to outline a strategic pathway to transition from the existing economic situation to the sustainable future envisioned in the Town's Sustainability Plan.	Q1-2026		5%	32,500	1	The RFP is currently being prepared to identify a consultant to undertake this work. Staff anticipate that the project will begin in Q4 2024 with completion by Q1 2026.	
	PROGRESS	SIVE INFRAS	STRUCTI	JRE				
BC Hydro Leased Light Replacement	Remaining budget from 2023 change out of leased street lights replacement (BC Hydro)	2024		100%	31,800		On May 29, 2024 Council adopted the following resolutions: 1. THAT staff be directed to obtain a quote by a third- party illumination expert to consider further adjustments to select lights listed in Appendix 1 – BC Hydro Lease Lights – Map of Complaints between September 1, 2023 to May 1, 2024, to the staff report dated May 29, 2023, and identified as "Returning complaints after the wattage and colour change implemented (25). 2. THAT staff be directed to advise the public that the Town has concluded the luminaire change out of BC Hydro Leased Streetlights to reduce wattage a colour tequests for the installation of new BC Hydro Leased Streetlights as an operational matter. The intakes for change out of BC Hydro Leased Streetlights as an operational matter.	
Asset Replacement Plan (2023) and Asset Replacement Strategy (2024) Boo	Identification of the annual funding investment required to replace assets within the risk profile and level of service that the community desires and a strategy to reach that desired funding level over a reasonable period of time.	2024-Q4		60%	25,000		Sustainable financing strategy alternatives are under development for Council consideration. The Asset Management Plan and annual asset investment financing strategies will be presented to Council in Q4.	
51								

Council Resolution Requested

Complete

In Progress

A Not Started

Stratedic Initiatives 2024 First and Second Quarter Update

ATTACHMENT 1

Capital Projects 2024 First & Second Quarter Update

UNITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN 2024 15% 15% 100,000 29,800 Bennet at Summer and Textra at Pky. A speed in adding and the advection and first at Pky. A speed instantion 2012 2025 203 50% 250,000 118,800 and tending earmarked for Community Transportation engineering. 2024 203 2024 203 2020 118,800 and reconstruction of the Berwick Lanewary afterFRENUT HWY 19A 2023 CARRY FORWARD) 2024 203 100% 85,000 33,200 Phase 1 is complete. 2024 203 203 200 2.400 2.400 Phase 1 is complete. 2024 203 2024 203 2020 2.400 2.400 Phase 1 is complete. 2024 203 2024 203 2020 2.400 2.400 Phase 1 is complete. 2024 203 2020 2.400 2.400 Phase 1 is complete. 2024 203 2024 203 2020 2.400 2.400 Phase 1 is complete. 2024 203 2020 203 2020 2.400 2.400 Phase 1 is complete. 2024 203 2020 203 203 203 2.400 Phase 1 is complete. 2025 203 204 203 2.400 Pesign and geotechnical review in progress. This project is articonation at the project of solution Partender in Progress. This project is a placeholder of solution Partender in Progress. This project is a placeholder of solution Partender in Partender in Progress. This project is a placeholder of solution Partender in P	MUNITY TRANSPORTATION PLAN 2024 15% 100,000 29,800 Solar powered rectangular rapid flashing crosswalk beacons (RFB) OVEMENTS 2024 15% 100,000 29,800 29,800 Included on First at Rye. LED RRFB lights have been installed on Lab OVEMENTS Q4 15% 100,000 29,800 29,800 Some funding earmarked for Community Transportation Plan cost	DRIAL AVE ROUNDABOUT 2025 2025 49,000 18,900 10,100 18,900 10,100 18,900 10,100 10,	NSPORTATION	CAPITAL PROJECT Eatimated on Status Eatimated Spent 02 ACTIVITY and STATUS DETAILS % Complete Spent 02 ACTIVITY and STATUS DETAILS
RIAL AVE ROUNDABOUT 2025 2025 49,000 18,900 18,900 18,900 18,900 18,900 18,900 18,900 18,900 10,000	DRIAL AVE ROUNDABOUT 2025 49,000 18,900 18,900 18,900 Pall. Additional funding of \$16k required to complete the art piece will brace will brace broposed. CARRY FORWARD) Q1 25% 49,000 18,900 18,900 18,900 18,900 18,900			ISPORTATION

Page 52

K Council Resolution Requested

Complete

In Progress

~
L A
F
7
īīī
=
2
T
$\overline{0}$
2
4
1
A

Capital Projects 2024 First & Second Quarter Update

Q2 ACTIVITY and STATUS DETAILS		Project is underway; completion expected late September or early October 2024. The project consultant has estimated approximately 1,250m3 of additional excavation is required to ensure the geotechnical stability of the culvert and road. The will result in additional costs however the projects is still anticipated to be within the approved budget provision. \$192,400 was re-allocated to this project from the Bay Street Slope Stabilization project per Council Resolution 24-116 March 7, 2024	Design commenced and anticipated to be complete by year end.		Bus Garage Conceptual Plan on agenda for adoption on July 17, 2024. Next steps are detailed design and costing.	Works planned for 2024 include Refresh of Washrooms in Lounge, Mechanical Room Upgrades, Equipment Room Addition, Safety Railing on Roof, Ventilation System Upgrades. Architectural work for equipment room addition and ventilation system upgrades in progress.	Required and in progress facilities works include the Vactor Truck Bay rebuild., Parks HVAC and electrical upgrade, civic centre internal lighting replacement and upgrade and repair of the Town Hall Clock.	Delayed. Further preliminary site assessments for potential locations for an operations facility are needed before the town can issue an RFP for consultant work. As such no funds have been spent on this project.
2024 Spent	DRAINAGE	129,900			24,500	1,500	17,300	
2024 Budget		4,875,000 2,067,400	45,000		1,000,000	45,500	254,500	100,000
% Complete		10%	10%		5%	5%	5%	
sutat2								
Estimated Completion		2024 Q4	2024 Q4		Multiyear	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	TBD
CAPITAL PROJECT		BEACH CREEK CULVERT REPLACEMENT	GRANDON CREEK CONFLUENCE - ENGINEERING 2023 (2023 CARRY FORWARD)	BUILDINGS	BUS GARAGE SITE PLANNING, PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION (July 17 Staff Report)	CURLING CLUB CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS	FACILITIES MAINTENANCE - TOWN OWNED FACILITIES	OPERATIONS MODERNIZATION

Council Resolution Requested



In Progress

Capital Projects 2024 First & Second Quarter Update

Q2 ACTIVITY and STATUS DETAILS	The Public Works fuel system is used to provide gasoline and diesel fuel to the public works, parks, airport and firehall fleet. Replacement of the fuel system was budgeted in 2024 to include addressing capacity so that there is sufficient fuel to get through a multi-day emergency event. This project is being put on hold this year to reassess required fuel tank capacities, and will be brought forward in the 2025 budget process.	S	Sidewalk nodes and improved wheelchair let downs to be installed this fall on Primrose at Fern. Design in progress.	Paint touch ups of beams and pilings in progress. Delay of railing fabrication and delivery continue. Railings anticipated to be completed late summer with a grand opening tentatively planned for Fall.	Rock work component complete. Plantings and landscaping set to begin in August. Benches and interpretive signs for the viewing platform will be installed after the railings have been installed.	Installation issued for late summer – purchase order issued.	The Bridge is a Golf Club led Capital Project that is cost shared with the Town as the golf Course is a municipally owned property. Bridge construction is complete and the bridge is operational.	Awaiting fund-raising of 50% of the cost by the community advocacy group. Latest costing by the community group indicates that the cost may be much higher than the \$170,000 original estimate, so the \$85,000 that Council funded to contribute to the cost will likely not cover 50% of the actual cost. This will be addressed in the 2025 Financial Plan Process.
2024 Spent	1	ARKS & TRAII	1	158,000	8,600	1	615,900	1
2024 Budget	280,000	74	50,000	305,000	520,000	20,000	700,000	170,000
etelqmoD %			5%	80%	40%	5%	95%	1
sutet2								
Estimated Completion	2025 Q3		2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q2	TBD
CAPITAL PROJECT	PUBLIC WORKS YARD FUEL SYSTEM		ACCESSIBILITY IMPROVEMENTS	BEACH CREEK VIEWING PLATFORMIVVALKWAY 2023 CARRY FORWARD FROM 2023	BEACH CREEK VIEWING PLATFORMWALKWAY ESTUARY	CHARTWELL ELECTRICAL KIOSK	PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE - MEMORIAL GOLF COURSE	PICKLE BALL COURTS

Council Resolution Requested

Complete

In Progress

Capital Projects 2024 First & Second Quarter Update

CAPITAL PROJECT	Estimated Completion	Status	% Complete	2024 Budget	2024 Spent	Q2 ACTIVITY and STATUS DETAILS
SAAHTLAM PARK HITCHING POST FENCE STYLE INSTALLATION	2025 Q1		50%	20,000	12,700	Bocce ball courts removed. After receipt of the Archaeological Site Alteration Permit, staff will also reconstruct the hitching post style fence over the winter.
STREET BANNER REPLACEMENT	2024 Q4			30,000	30,000	Scheduled for Fall, 2025.
					AIRPORT	
ASPHALT OVERLAY (ACAP)	2025 Q4		100%	50,000	31,900	Engineering consulting and grant application complete. ACAP application was submitted June 1 st , ACAP program has acknowledged receipt of application. Decision on funding will be announced April 2025. The 2024-2028 Financial Plan includes a \$1,950,000 budget provision in the year 2025. The anticipated cost and grant application is for \$3.6 million. The additional budget provision and grant funding requested will be reflected in a 2024-2028 Financial Plan Amendment in Q4 of 2024. This project is dependant on 100% grant funding.
AVGAS FUEL REPLACEMENT.	2024 Q2		100%	425,000	420,800	Replacement of the AV Gas fuel system is complete and the system is fully operational. The old fuel system has be removed and decommissioned.
CCTV REPLACEMENT. & NEW CCTV GATE ENTRANCE	2024 Q2		100%	33,000	19,700	The legacy CCTV systems have been fully replaced by new CCTVs, which are now operational. The resolution of the CCTV footage has been greatly improved, enabling the Airport Manager to effectively address airport-related issues, complaints, safety/security concerns, and other operational needs.
LOADER/SNOWBLOWER/PLATES/BLADE	2025 Q4			898,000		ACAP application was submitted June 1 ^{st.} ACAP program has acknowledged receipt of application. Decision on funding is anticipated to be announced April 2025.
				EQUIP	MENT & VEH	ICLES
CCTV TOWN HALL PARKADE	2024 Q4		80%	15,000	11,400	Additional ethernet and power was wired to the Town Hall parking lot parkade and there are now 8 cameras in total for surveillance of the parking lot, supporting security and safety at the Town Hall parking lot.
A Not Started	ogress		Con	plete	↓ v	ouncil Resolution Requested

Page 55

Capital Projects 2024 First & Second Quarter Update

Q2 ACTIVITY and STATUS DETAILS	Trucks and emergency equipment were ordered with a late July 2024 delivery date. Emergency equipment installation is anticipated for August and September 2024, with an October 2024 in-service date.	Delivery of the new truck anticipated in July/August 2024.	Purchased and operational.	Materials on order.	This project will see the relocation of the server room to a space adjacent to the Committee Room. Relocation of the server equipment is necessary to ensure sufficient fire suppression and temperature control. Planning and pricing are in progress.	Equipment purchased and placed in-service in May of 2024.	Eleven wireless access points (APs) and two network switches have been replaced. The only remaining item is the Community Hall Wireless AP, which is located at the top of the roof. Replacement anticipated this summer.
2024 Spent	1	1	14,500		1	13,000	20,300
2024 Budget	95,000	700,000	15,000	10,000	50,000	15,000	40,000
% Complete	20%	1	100%	5%	25%	100%	%06
Status							
Estimated Completion	2024 OCT	2024 Q2	2024 Q2	2024 Q4	2024	2024 Q2	2024 Q3
CAPITAL PROJECT	FIRE DEPT. COMMAND TRUCK REPLACEMENT (C50)	HYDRO VAC TRUCK REPLACEMENT (2023 CARRY FORWARD)	NEW WELDING MACHINE FOR PW SHOP	OVERHEAD RAIL SYSTEM FOR PW SHOP	SERVER ROOM FIRE SUPPRESSION & COOLING SYSTEM INSTALLATION	TECHNICAL RESCUE EQUIPMENT	TOWN END OF SUPPORT NETWORK EQUIP. REPLACEMENT

K Council Resolution Requested



In Progress

Capital Projects 2024 First & Second Quarter Update

Q2 ACTIVITY and STATUS DETAILS	Completed in May and running smoothly. The Town now has sufficient storage and RAM (memory) for important information systems hosted on the Town's server infrastructure. Every server can be backed up and running with good performance until the server replacement in 2026. The expansion unit can be used until 2028.	- Being priced.	- Ordered June 2024. Delivery expected this year.	- Replacement ordered and is being fabricated.	- Options being priced and replacement anticipated to be complete in 3 rd quarter.	00 Vildland suppression unit was purchased and placed in-service in May 2024.	INCY	- For unanticipated events relating to capital works subject to Council decision-making.	PITAL
2024 Spent	33,90					5,70	CONTINGE		ATER CAP
2024 Budget	38,000	21,000	195,000	13,000	85,000	40,000		100,000	5
% Complete	100%	1	10%	10%	10%	100%			
snjejS									
Estimated Completion	2024 Q2	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q2			
CAPITAL PROJECT	TOWN SERVER CLUSTER EXPANSION	SERVICE BODY (UNIT 113)	UNIT 17 FORD F450 REPL. WITH HOOK TRUCK	TRAILER REPLACEMENT (UNIT #49)	CUBE VAN REPLACEMENT (UNIT #56)	WILDLAND FIREFIGHTING SUPPRESSION UNIT		GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY	

Page 57

Council Resolution Requested

Complete

In Progress

Capital Projects 2024 First & Second Quarter Update

2024 Spent	This provision is a placeholder for the engineering required to link the Berwick 5 well ' Town's water system as an additional production well. There is significant delay in reconcurred permits from VIHA which are required before proceeding. As a result of the date and the commend re-purposing this funding in 2024 to replace the 45-year-old pumps/r and drop pipes in Berwick Well 2 & 3 instead and to complete this work late fall after the have been shut down for the season. The Berwick 5 Well Connection project will the brought forward for approval in 2025.	- Design underway. Installation planned for fall after the irrigation is turned off.	41,800 Parts are on hand and scheduled for installation in 2024.	Anticipated to be complete by year end. Costs for this project are anticipated to be \$ Staff recommend that the \$40k 2024 budget provision for a Spare Standby Pump for 97,900 wells be reallocated to address the anticipated cost in excess of the budget provision for project.	- Invitation for Tenders in progress for distribution.	2,000 Tender awarded and watermain replacement is anticipated to be complete by Fall.	16,200 Works commenced July 2nd and anticipated to be complete by late October, 2024
2024 Budget	100,000	65,000	38,00	100,000	75,000	150,000	1,500,000
etelqmoጋ %	1	5%	10%	75%	10%	5%	5%
sutat2							
Estimated Completion	2024 Q4	2025 Q1	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q4
CAPITAL PROJECT	BERWICK 5 WELL CONNECTION	COMMUNITY SPORTS FIELD IRRIGATION BOOSTER PUMP	HYDRANT UPGRADES	PUMP HOUSE ELECTRONICS	ABANDON AC MAIN 19A EAST OF CRESCENT RD WEST	QUATNA RD WATERMAIN REPLACEMENT	RUPERT RD WATER LOOPING

Council Resolution Requested

Complete

In Progress



2
5
Ē
Ξ
AC
È
A

Capital Projects 2024 First & Second Quarter Update

Q2 ACTIVITY and STATUS DETAILS	Staff recommend that the budget provision for this project be repurposed to address the Pump House Electronics Hardware Upgrade Project for which costs are anticipated to be in excess of the budget provision.	Parts ordered, scheduled to be installed before year end.	Parts assembled offsite – staff to install later this summer.	AL32.	Scheduled to be completed by Fall, 2024.	
2024 Spent	1	1	,	VER CAPITA	5%	
2024 Budget	40,000	38,000	32,000	SEI	40,000	
etelqmoጋ %		5%	75%		,	
Status						
Estimated Completion	2024 Q4	2024 Q4	2024 Q4		2024 Q3	
CAPITAL PROJECT	SPARE STANDBY PUMP FOR WATER	STORZ FITTING ON HYDRANTS	WATER SYSTEM HARDWARE CONTROL		HIGSON LIFT STATION	



In Progress

GENERAL FUND OPERATING PROGRAM 2024 FIRST AND SECOND QUARTER UPDATE

GENERAL FUND REVENUE AND EXPENSE	2024 Budget	2024 Actual	Remaining Budget	% Remaining	Comments
Revenue					
Property Taxes	\$12,169,900	\$12,120,100	\$49,800	0%	Property Tax Due Date was July 2, 2024. Actual property taxes levied were slightly lower than the budget provision, but were consistent with the details on page 30 of the Financial Plan which indicates a 7.7% increase in muncipal property tax collected.
1% In Lieu of Property Taxes	\$390,000	\$116,400	\$273,600	70%	The receipt of 1% in lieu is from utilities such as hydro, gas and fibre located on municipal property. This revenue is anticipated to be fully received by year end.
Library Levy	715,300	715,200	\$100		The Library levy is collected on behalf of and remitted to Vancouver Island Regional Library.
Parcel Taxes - Local Area Service	88,400	35,700	\$52,700	60%	The borrowing requirement for East Village Phase 2 was lower than budgeted as some of the parties paid their contribution to the project upfront, resulting in a lower parcel tax.
Penalties and Interest	666,000	724,100	-\$58,100	-9%	Interest revenue is favourable due to higher interest rates. Interest earned is allocated to the Reserves and Surplus on which it is earned.
Sale of Service and Other Revenue	530,400	354,200	\$176,200	33%	Building Permits, Business Licenses, Cemetary Services; revenue recorded as it is received.
Rental and Lease Revenue	899,500	399,900	\$499,600	56%	Combination of annual and monthly lease payments. Annual payments are made at the begining of the year.
Airport Fuel	780,700	387,400	\$393,300	50%	Higher volume of fuel sold in the summer months. Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Solid Waste Revenue	776,700	415,000	\$361,700	47%	Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Government Grants and Contributions	5,368,600	225,800	\$5,142,800	96%	This area is under budget due to the timing of receipt of grant funds and grant opportunities that were budgeted and applied for but for which confirmation has not yet been received.
Total Revenue	\$22,385,500	\$15,493,800	\$6,891,700		
Expenses					
Council and Office of the CAO	\$723,600	\$335,700	\$387,900	54%	Slightly under budget due to timing of operating project and conventions. Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Corporate Services	1,258,200	537,300	720,900	57%	Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Community Development	1,076,400	504,400	572,000	53%	More events occur in the summer. Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Human Resources	419,200	188,400	230,800	55%	Projects and initiatives planned for Q3 & Q4, anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Fiscal Services	-1,678,000 -	852,000 -	826,000	49%	Relates to recovery of costs from water and sewer. Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Finance	664,000	250,100	413,900	62%	Staff vacancy in Q1 and Q2, anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Information Technology	938,100	454,300	483,800	. 52%	Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Police	1,574,000	730,300	843,700	54%	RCMP contract for 8 full time officers. One civic employee; Civilian dislosure clerk cost shared with City of Parksville.
Fire Rescue	1,333,000	642,800	690,200	52%	Anticipated training and operations for Q1 & Q2 have occurred and remained on budget
Emergency Planning Program	252,900	136,200	116,700	46%	Over budget due to timing of projects. Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Planning & Development Operations	854,700	351,600	503,100	59%	Under budget due to unspent grant funds related to Bill 44, grant funds anticipated to be carried forward to 2025.
Transportation Services	2,857,400	1,329,200	1,528,200	53%	Trending slightly favourable to budget at this time. Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Airport	1,125,200	458,200	667,000	59%	Lower due to timing of airport fuel sales. Higher volume of fuel sold during the summer. Anticipated to be on budget at year end.
Parks	1,601,600	605,300	996,300	62%	Under budget due to staffing shortages. Existing staff are challenged but managing to maintain service levels. Temporary staff may be cardined.
Buildings	784,500	420,500	364,000	46%	Over budget due to timing of maintenance and repair projects. Anticipated to be on budget at year end
Solid Waste and Recycling	970,700	505,800	464,900	48%	Regional waste costs paid to Regional District of Nanaimo and Solid Waste removal trending on budget.
Council Strategic Initiatives	637,700	282,600	355,100	56%	Council Strategic Initiatives - Under separate report.
Debt Servicing	1,235,200	593,700	641,500	52%	Debt for Firehall (debt retires 2025), Rescue Pumper Truck (debt retires 2027) and Ladder Truck (debt retires 2028).
Total Operating Expenses	\$16,628,400	\$7,474,400	\$9,154,000	- Allas	

GENERAL FUND OPERATING PROGRAM 2024 FIRST AND SECOND QUARTER UPDATE

ATTACHMENT 4

WATER REVENUE AND EXPENSE	2024 Budget	2024 Actual	Remaining Budget	Remainin a	Comments
Revenue					
Water User Fees	\$1,754,100	\$442,353	\$1,311,747	75%	Water user fee revenue to April 30. Next billing is October 2024.
Water Parcel Tax	1,121,000	1,127,760	(6,760)	-1%	Water parcel tax collected during property tax collection.
Debt Servicing (General Fund to Water Fund)	85,000	85,000	0	0%	Transfer in from Water Infrastructure Reserve.
Transfer in from Water Infrastructure Reserve	350,000	350,000	0	0%	Project related, transfer occurred at the beginning of the year.
Total Revenue	\$3,310,100	\$1,655,113	\$1,304,987	2. 17	
Expenses					
Water Operating	\$1,935,200	\$840,500	\$1,094,700	57%	Water system maintenance plan is on budget.
Transfer to Water Infrastructure Reserve	1,024,900	512,500	512,400	50%	Transfer to Water Infrastructure Reserve.
Transfer to General Fund (operating)	350,000	350,000	-	0%	Project related, transfer occurred at the beginning of the year.
Total Operating Expenses	\$3,310,100	\$1,703,000	\$1,607,100		

SEWER REVENUE AND EXPENSE	2024 Budget	2024 Actual	Remaining Budget	% Remaining	Comments
Revenue					
Sewer User Fees	751,700	272,800	\$478,900	64%	Sewer user fee revenue to April 30. Next billing is October 2024.
Sewer Parcel Tax	262,000	263,000	(1,000)	0%	Sewer parcel tax collected during property tax collection.
Total Revenue	\$1,013,700	\$535,800	\$477,900		
Expenses					
Sewer Operating	819,200	360,600	458,600	56%	Sewer system maintenance plan is on budget.
Transfer to Sewer Infrastructure Reserve	194,500	97,300	97,200	50%	Transfer to Sewer Infrastructure Reserve.
Total Operating Expenses	\$1,013,700	\$457,900	\$555,800	-	

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH STAFF REPORT

TO: Lou Varela, Chief Administrative Officer

FOR: Regular Council Meeting

DATE: July 17, 2024

FROM: Luke Sales, Director of Planning

SUBJECT: Special Event Approval – Chartwell Day

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. THAT the following special event be approved in principle, subject to event organizers working with Town staff to ensure Town requirements are met:
 - a. Chartwell Day, September 7, 2024 (Miraloma Park)

PURPOSE

Staff are seeking Council approval for "Chartwell Day" Special Event on September 7, 2024.

BACKGROUND

The Chartwell Residents' Association has requested authorization from the Town of Qualicum Beach to facilitate a special event:

CHARTWELL DAY (Se	ptember 7, 2024)
Public Access Closures: (e.g. roads, sidewalks, public park land, etc.)	 Non-exclusive use of Miraloma Park.
Staff Services:	 Consultation with staff to ensure Town requirements are met. Event set up and tear down (Town items only, e.g. delivery and pick up of waste bins, signs, barricades, etc.) Additional collection of waste after the event.
Use of Town Items:	 Barricades x 10 Garbage, recycling, and compost bins (quantity to be determined) Event in Progress signs x 4
	* A mobile food vendor will be onsite during the event [subject to Island Health approval].

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting – **Special Event Approval | Chartwell Day** Page **2** of **2**

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are minimal financial implications anticipated from this report.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPECRUM (IAP²)

Public Participation Framework developed by the International Association for Public Participation – IAP² International.



INFORM:

• The public will be informed of Council's decision by resolution and the Chartwell Residents' Association will be advised accordingly.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

Good Governance

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

1. Alternative direction to staff.

APPROVALS

Report respectfully submitted by Luke Sales, Director of Planning.

2h hl

Luke Sales, MCIP, RPP Director of Planning Report Writer

Lou Varela, MCIP, RPP CAO *Concurrence*

Copy: Corporate Services

File: 8100-20-CD N:\0100-0699 ADMINISTRATION\0550 COUNCIL MEETINGS\0550-20 MEETINGS\2024\07 17 RC\1. DRAFTS FOR CORP ADMIN REVIEW\memo.Chartwell Day.docx

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH STAFF REPORT

TO:	Luke Sales, Director of Planning	
FOR:	Regular Council Meeting	
DATE:	July 17, 2024	
FROM:	Rebecca Augustyn, Senior Planner	
SUBJECT:	Development Variance Permit: 119 Fern Road East	

RECOMMENDATIONS:

THAT Council directs staff to fulfill statutory notification requirements for Council's consideration of issuance of a Development Variance Permit for 119 Fern Road East at a future meeting of Council.

PURPOSE

To consider a Development Variance Permit for the property located at 119 Fern Road East that would vary the font size of a proposed fascia sign.

BACKGROUND

A Development Variance Permit has been requested for 119 Fern Road East. Development Variance Permits are often used to vary height or setback requirements, and the same process applies to signs that are not permitted by the "Town of Qualicum Beach Sign Bylaw No. 553, 1995". The applicant for 119 Fern Road East is proposing a fascia sign that has a font size of approximately 400mm, which is 200mm taller than the maximum font size of 200mm. The property is located in the light industrial portion of Qualicum Beach's uptown area.

In 2019, a Development Variance Permit application was made for 119 Fern Road East. At that time, the application was for an illuminated fascia sign that was 5.5m² with a font size of 900mm. The application, as presented to Council, was refused at that time. A revised application was made and went before Council on December 6, 2024. The application was for an illuminated fascia sign that was 3.47m² with a font size of 830mm. Council passed the following motion in response to the revised application:

• THAT staff be directed to work with the proponent for the Development Variance Permit for 119 Fern Road East to provide guidance on a more appropriate variance application.

A revised application has since been submitted by the applicant. The proposed sign is an illuminated facia sign that is 0.77m² with a font size of 400mm. The area of the sign is within the Town's Sign Bylaw; however, the font size is 200mm taller than what is permitted in the Bylaw.

Town of Qualicum Beach

July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting – Development Variance Permit - 119 Fern Road East

Page 2 of 3



Figure 1: Subject Property

DISCUSSION

The Sign Bylaw specifies that businesses with a road frontage over 16m are permitted to have a fascia sign that has a maximum sign area of 2.5m² and a maximum font size of 200mm. The Development Variance Permit application for 119 Fern Road East proposes a fascia sign that is approximately 0.77m² with a font size of 400mm.

	Permitted	Proposed	Required Variance
Maximum font size	200mm	400mm	200mm

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications anticipated from this report.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPECTRUM (IAP²)

INFORM	CONSULT	INVOLVE	COLLABORATE	EMPOWER
• Provide balanced and objective data to assist in understanding issues, alternatives, opportunities, and solutions	Obtain feedback on analysis, alternatives, and/or decisions	• Work directly with stakeholders to ensure concerns and aspirations are understood and considered	Partner with stakeholders in each aspect of the decision, development of alternatives, and identification of preferred solutions	 Final decision making in the hands of the stakeholders

Public Participation Framework developed by the International Association for Public Participation – IAP² International.

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting – **Development Variance Permit - 119 Fern Road East** Page 3 of 3

INFORM:

 Notice of the Town's intention to issue a Development Variance Permit shall be delivered at least 10 days prior to adoption of a resolution to issue the permit, to the owners and/or tenants of any parcel within 100m of the subject property of the proposed permit.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

N/A

SUMMARY

A Development Variance Permit application has been received for 119 Fern Road E. The proposed variance for 119 Fern Road E would vary the maximum font size from 200mm to 400mm [fascia sign . Staff recommend Council authorize staff to issue statutory notification for future consideration of the Development Variance Permit.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 1. Refuse the Development Variance Permit for 119 Fern Road East.
- 2. Provide alternative direction to staff.

APPROVALS

Report respectfully submitted by Rebecca Augustyn, MCIP, RPP Senior Planner.

Rebecca Augustyn, MCIP, RPP Senior Planner *Report Writer*

Luke Sales Director of Planning *Concurrence*

Les Mails

Lou Varela, MCIP, RPP CAO *Concurrence*

REFERENCES Attachment 1:

Submitted Plans for 119 Fern Road East Application

File: 3090-20-119fernrde N:\0100-0699 ADMINISTRATION\0550 COUNCIL MEETINGS\0550-20 MEETINGS\2024\07 17 RC\1. DRAFTS FOR CORP ADMIN REVIEW/memo.119FernRoadE.DVP.docx



Landmark Sign	Client Name LORDCO	Start Date: Sept. 20 / 2023 Last Revision: Dec. 8 / 2023	Corporate Approval	Sales Rep: Ryan Shepherd
Head Office: 1250 Glenshire Dr Victoria BC V9C3W7 250-478-8610 Vancouver: Unit 400-5155 Hwy 174 Ladner BC V4/558 504-518-7578	Location: 119 Fern Rd. East.,	LORDCO / Qualicum / Front Sign & Canopy R3 . cdr		Designer:
Toll Free 1-866-478-8610 Fax 250-478-8631	Qualicum Beach, B.C.	Page: 1 of 4	Client Approval	Welton Kidson

			/ 3' - 0"	
		5.64m / 18' - 6"	E 	n / 4' - 0" —
B ONE non-illumina - Awning colour ta - Awning to repla	ated fabric awnin o match LORDC ce the existing.	ng with an aluminum frame. CO blue.		Vinyl A6567-T Bright Blue
		SHETTIND	EXISTING SITE	
			Vities Plus Plus Plus Plus Plus Plus Plus Plu	
THE DESIGN SHOWN. IS THE SOLE R	PROPERTY OF LANDM	IARK SIGN, AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED IN WHOL	E OR PART WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT	FROM LANDMARK SIGN.
Landmark Sign SALES - SERVICE - LEASING Head Office: 1250 Glenshire Dr Victoria BC V9C3W7 250-478-8610 Vancouver: Unit 400-6165 Hwy 17A Ladner BC V4K5B8 604-518-7578 Toll Free 1-866-478-8610 Fax 250-478-8631	LORDCO Location: 119 Fern Rd. East., Qualicum Beach, B.C.	Last Revision: Dec. 8 / 2023 Drawing#: LORDCO / Qualicum / Front Sign & Canopy R3 . cdr Page: 2 of 4	Corporate Approval	Ryan Shepherd Designer: Weiden fidson





	Length	Width	Sign Area
Old Sign - LORDCO	3.3m	1.2m	2.74m ²
New Sign - LORDCO	1.5m	0.40m	0.60m ²
Old Sign - Auto Parts	3.3m	0.22m	0.73m ²
Old Sign - Auto Parts	1.5m	0.11m	0.17m ²
Old Signs - Total Area			3.47m ²
New Signs - Total Area			0.77m ²
	No Changes to	the Awning	

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH STAFF REPORT

Luke Sales, Director of Planning
Regular Council Meeting
July 17, 2024
Rebecca Augustyn, Senior Planner
Official Community Plan (OCP) & Zoning Amendments 1085 Ravensbourne Lane

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. THAT Council directs staff to refer the OCP amendment application for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane to the 2025 Official Community Plan (OCP) Review for discussion, as detailed in the July 17, 2024 Planning memo to Council.
- THAT Council directs staff to postpone consideration of the zoning amendment application for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane following the conclusion of the 2025 Official Community Plan (OCP) Review.

PURPOSE

To consider a zoning amendment and Official Community Plan (OCP) Amendment for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane that would facilitate the subdivision of the land into 29 parcels, with a mix of detached dwellings, duplexes and triplexes. A total of 46 units are proposed for the 29 parcels.

BACKGROUND

A zoning amendment and OCP amendment application has been made for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane. The applicant is proposing to subdivide the parcel into 29 parcels, with a total of 46 units being proposed and includes 15 single detached dwellings, 11 duplexes and three triplexes. The subject property is currently zoned Rural 1 (A1) and is designated as Rural in the OCP. The proposed residential project necessitates amendments to the Official Community Plan (OCP). Specifically, an OCP amendment is needed to:

- 1. Alter the property's designation from Rural to Single-family Residential.
- 2. Expand the Urban Containment Boundary to encompass the subject property.

The subject property is currently zoned Rural 1 (A1). The zoning amendment will amend the zoning classification from Rural 1 (A1) to Comprehensive Development Zone 22 (CD22). The CD22 zone would be a new, site-specific zone created in response to this application. No existing residential zones can accommodate the density and setbacks of the proposed development so a site-specific
Town of Qualicum Beach

July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting OCP & Zoning Amendments | 1085 Ravensbourne Lane Page 2 of 5

zone would be required. As well, the zoning amendment bylaw would change the Subdivision District from Subdivision District "A" to Subdivision District "K". Subdivision District "A" has a minimum parcel size of 20.0 ha whereas Subdivision "K" has a minimum parcel size of 400m². By changing the Subdivision District, an application to subdivide the parcel into 29 individual parcels will be able to move forward.



Figure 1: Subject Property

DISCUSSION

The following table is a comparison of the existing and proposed zoning designation, as well as the actual building proposal.

	Zoning Comparison								
Zoning Provision	Existing	Proposed Zoning							
Zoning	Rural 1 (A1)	Comprehensive Development Zone 22 (CD22)							
Density	1 detached dwelling unit and 1 ancillary dwelling unit.	29 parcels that contain 15 detached dwellings, 11 duplexes and 3 triplexes							
Height	9.0m	The maximum height identified in their preferred zone, Small Lot Residential 1 (SLR1) is 9.0m							
Front Setback	15.0m	To building face: 4.0m To garage: 6.0m							
Interior Side Setback	7.5m	1.5m							
Rear Setback	7.5m	3.0m							
Parcel Coverage	20%	Parcel coverage has not been provided. The maximum parcel coverage identified in the preferred zone of SLR1 is 45%.							
Parking (off-street)	1 space per dwelling unit	1 space per dwelling unit							

Town of Qualicum Beach

July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting OCP & Zoning Amendments | 1085 Ravensbourne Lane Page 3 of 5

2018 Official Community Plan (OCP):

The subject property is currently designated as Rural in the 2018 Official Community Plan (OCP). However, many Rural policies are not applicable to this parcel because:

- 1. It is not within the Agricultural Land Reserve
- 2. It is not specifically identified within the existing Rural policies

Of the Rural policies that do apply to the property, there are numerous conflicts and therefore an OCP amendment would be necessary to advance this proposal. The proposed amendment would change the property's designation from Rural to Single-family Residential, aligning it with the proposed development.

There has been past discussion about potential residential development adjacent to the Airport. From the perspective of addressing the ongoing availability and affordability of housing, such development could be advantageous. However, it is important to note that the Town has policies supporting the ongoing operation and long-term viability of the Qualicum Beach Airport. Developing residential housing close to the Airport is not considered a best practice for airport operations, as it will likely generate noise complaints and land use conflicts. The merits of these two competing policy interests will have to be weighed by Council in the future. There may be opportunities to mitigate the future conflict between these two land uses, such as design considerations, construction requirements, notice on title and legal agreements. Should this project proceed, staff would recommend a detailed exploration of these options as part of a zoning amendment process.

As part of the application, two different site plans have been submitted, as well as an arborist report. Additional information is required for this application to move forward, including but not limited to: existing site plan, planning analysis, floor plans, elevation drawings, perspective drawings, landscape plan, and stormwater management plan. Staff are bringing the application before Council prior to requesting additional documents to receive direction from Council given the upcoming OCP Review occurring in 2025.

Staff recommend the application be referred to the 2025 OCP Review process for discussion on the land use change, as consideration of a site-specific OCP amendment immediately prior to a more comprehensive OCP Review would be a duplication of effects and potentially confusing for residents. Housing will be a major discussion topic during the 2025 OCP Review, and this proposal could be considered at that time.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications anticipated from this report.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPECTRUM (IAP²)

INFORM	CONSULT	INVOLVE	COLLABORATE	EMPOWER
• Provide balanced and objective data to assist in understanding issues, alternatives, opportunities, and solutions	Obtain feedback on analysis, alternatives, and/or decisions	• Work directly with stakeholders to ensure concerns and aspirations are understood and considered	Partner with stakeholders in each aspect of the decision, development of alternatives, and identification of preferred solutions	• Final decision making in the hands of the stakeholders

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting OCP & Zoning Amendments | 1085 Ravensbourne Lane Page 4 of 4

Public Participation Framework developed by the International Association for Public Participation – IAP² International. CONSULT / INFORM:

- The public is informed of this proposal through the presentation of this staff report.
- Amending the Official Community Plan requires public consultation, at minimum at the "Consult" level.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

Good Governance.

SUMMARY

An application has been received for a zoning amendment and OCP amendment for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane that would facilitate the subdivision of the parcel into 29 parcels, with a mix of detached dwellings, duplexes or triplexes. A total of 46 units, 15 single-family dwellings, 11 duplexes and three triplexes, are proposed. The zoning amendment would amend the zoning from Rural 1 (A1) to Comprehensive Development Zone 22 (CD22), and the Subdivision District from Subdivision District "A" to Subdivision District "K". An OCP amendment is also required to amend the land use designation from Rural to Single-family Residential. The application is in the initial stages and additional information will be required should Council decide to move forward with the application. At this time, staff recommend the application be referred to the 2025 OCP Review for further discussion regarding the benefits and risks of residential development near the airport.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- THAT additional information be requested from the applicant for the Official Community Plan (OCP) & Zoning Amendments for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane as required for a complete application; AND FURTHER THAT, staff be directed to present a revised application for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane at a future Committee of the Whole Meeting.
- 2. Provide alternative direction to staff.

APPROVALS

Report respectfully submitted by Rebecca Augustyn, MCIP, RPP Senior Planner.

Rebecca Augustyn, MCIP, RPP Senior Planner *Report Writer*

Luke Sales Director of Planning *Concurrence*

Lou Varela, MCIP, RPP CAO *Concurrence*

REFERENCES Attachment 1:

Submitted Plans for 1085 Ravensbourne Lane

File: 3030-20-DAICG-1085 Ravensbourne

N:\0100-0699 ADMINISTRATION\0550 COUNCIL MEETINGS\0550-20 MEETINGS\2024\07 17 RC\2. DRAFTS FOR CAO REVIEW/memo.PLAN.1085RavensbourneLane.docx



¹⁰⁸⁵ Ravensbourne Lane



PROGRESS PRINT - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Arboricultural Inventory and Report

For: Kip Keylock Ocean Estates Developments

Site Location: 1085 Ravensbourne Ln Qualicum Beach, BC V9K 1N1



424 – 775 Terminal Ave N, Nanaimo BC V9S 0B4 T:604-838-8923 E:lemurtreebc@gmail.com

Submitted to: Kip Keylock Ocean Estates Developments 9422 Martin Park Drive Black Creek, BC V9N 1C3

Date: June 24, 2024

Submitted by:

Liam Robertson Owner/Operator, Lemur Tree Service ISA Certified Arborist # PN-8398A ISA Tree Risk Assessment Qualified Wildlife Dangerous Tree Assessor # P3210

Table of Contents

Contents

		ĺ								
Table o	Contents	>								
1.0 I	ntroduction	3								
1.1 L	Limits of Assignment									
1.2 F	eport Objective4	ŀ								
2.0 Ob	servations5	;								
2.1 S	te Overview	;								
2.2 T	ee Inventory	;								
2.3 T	ree Retention Table6	;								
2.4 T	ee Removal Table)								
3.0 Sur	nmary19)								
3.1 T	ree Retention Discussion)								
3.2 T	ee Removal Discussion)								
3.3 T	ree Protection Fencing)								
Append	x 1 Construction Guidelines)								
Append	x 2 Tree Protection Fencing Specifications	3								
Append	x 3 Replacement Guidelines	ł								
Append	Appendix 4 Maps									
Append	x 5 Limitations of this Report	3								

1.0 Introduction

This report has been prepared to ensure the proposed development is compliant with the Town of Qualicum Beach Tree Protection Bylaw No. 725. Trees over 30cm in diameter at breast height were assessed, including: species, diameter at breast height (dbh) measured to the nearest 1 cm at 1.4 m above natural grade (tree's base), estimated height and general health and defects. Critical root zones were calculated for each of the trees with the potential for development impacts. Tree hazards were assessed according to International Society of Arboriculture and WCB standards. Suitability for tree retention was evaluated based on the health of the trees and their location in relation to the proposed building envelopes and infrastructure. This report outlines the existing condition of the trees as well as suggested guidelines for protecting the remaining trees during the construction process.

1.1 Limits of Assignment

- Our investigation is based solely on visual inspection of the trees during our last site visit. This inspection is conducted from ground level. We do not conduct aerial inspections, soil tests or below grade root examinations to assess the condition of tree root systems unless specifically contracted to do so.
- Unless otherwise stated, tree risk assessments in this report are limited to trees with a high or extreme risk rating in their current condition, and in context of their surrounding land use at the time of assessment.
- The scope of work is primarily determined by site boundaries and local tree-related bylaws. Only trees specified in the scope of work were assessed.
- Beyond six months from the date of this report, the client must contact Lemur Tree Service to confirm its validity because site base plans and tree conditions may change beyond the original report's scope. Additional site visits and report revisions may be required after this point to ensure report accuracy for the municipality's development permit application process.

1.2 Report Objective

This report has been prepared to ensure the proposed development is compliant with the Town of Qualicum Beach Tree Protection Bylaw No. 725. The definition of a Protected Tree in Bylaw No. 725 is as follows:

a) the following Tree species 50 centimetres (20inches) or more in height, or 5 centimetres (2inches) or more in diameter when measured 15 cm (6 inches) above natural grade:

- i. Arbutus(Arbutus menziesil);
- ii. Garry Oak (Quercus garryana);
- iii. Pacific Dogwood (Cornus nuttallii);
- iv. Pacific Yew (Taxus brevifolia);
- v. Cascara (Rhamnus purshiana);
- vi. Manzanita (Arcotostaphylos columbiana);
- vii. Seaside Juniper (Juniperus maritima);
- viii. Trembling Aspen (Populus tremuloides);
- ix. Oregon Ash (Fraxinus latifolia);
- b) any Tree having a DBH that is 30 centimetres (12inches) or more;
- c) any Replacement Tree planted as a condition of a prior permit;
- d) any Tree within an Environmental or Hazard Development Permit Area as designated in "Town of Qualicum Beach Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 800";
- e) any Tree planted or retained as a requirement of a subdivision application, Development Permit or Building Permit;
- f) any Tree with evidence of a nest or use by:
 - i. raptors as defined in the Wildlife Act, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 488;
 - ii. osprey; or
 - iii. a heron colony
- iv. any cavity-nesting bird species

2.0 Observations

2.1 Site Overview

The site is a 2.01 hectare partially forested lot. There is an existing shop with concrete foundation near the Northwest corner of the lot. The lot is accessed from Ravensbourne Ln via an old driveway, which leads to the previously cleared area of the lot. The trees on this site are mostly Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) & Western Redcedar (Thuja plicata). Red Alder (Alnus rubra) and Scouler's Willow (Salix scouleriana) form a very small component of the forest on the site. There are a few trees planted in the cleared area of the property, these consist of mostly small fruit trees.

2.2 Tree Inventory

The following is an inventory of assessed trees, each of which is identified on the accompanying map. Tree species, characteristics, comments, recommendations and required Tree Protection Zones have been provided.

Overall Health and Structure Rating

Excellent = Tree of possible specimen quality, unique species or size with no discernible defects, or heritage tree.

Normal = Tree is in good condition with no significant structural weaknesses or health concerns, considering its growing environment and species.

Moderate = Tree has noted health and/or minor structural weaknesses, however, treatments may be recommended to improve the health or structural condition of the tree.

Poor = Tree is in serious decline from its typical growth habits and has multiple very definable health and/or structural weaknesses. These trees may have difficulty adapting to land use changes.

Dead/Dying = Tree was found to be dead, and/or has severe defects and is in severe decline.

2.3 Tree Retention Table

Tree	Species	Common	DBH	Height	Condition	Protected?	Comments	TPZ
#		Name	(cm)	(m)				(m)**
	Pseudotsuga							
81	menziesii	Douglas fir	36	11	Normal	Yes	City tree	5.76
	Pseudotsuga							
82	menziesii	Douglas fir	43	13	Normal	Yes		6.88
	Pseudotsuga							
83	menziesii	Douglas fir	33	11	Normal	Yes		5.28
0.1	Pseudotsuga	Davida e fia	20	10	Neuron	N		6.24
84	Decudetours	Douglas fir	39	13	Normai	Yes		6.24
05	Pseudotsuga	Douglas fir	20	12	Normal	Voc		6.24
65	Regudotsuga	Douglas III	39	12	Normai	Tes		0.24
86	menziesii	Douglas fir	40	13	Normal	Vos		61
	Populus	Black	40	15	Norma	103		0.4
87	trichocarpa	Cottonwood	36	17	Normal	Yes		5.76
	Pseudotsuga	Cottonwood			Horman	100		5.70
88	menziesii	Douglas fir	30	11	Normal	Yes		4.8
	Pseudotsuga							
89	menziesii	Douglas fir	34	14	Normal	Yes		5.44
	Pseudotsuga							
90	menziesii	Douglas fir	39	14	Normal	Yes		6.24
	Pseudotsuga							
91	menziesii	Douglas fir	39	15	Normal	Yes		6.24
	Pseudotsuga							
92	menziesii	Douglas fir	37	14	Normal	Yes		5.92
	Pseudotsuga							
93	menziesii	Douglas fir	31	14	Normal	Yes		4.96
	Pseudotsuga							
129	menziesii	Douglas fir	30	14	Normal	Yes		4.8
		Western						
130	Thuja plicata	redcedar	33	13	Moderate	Yes	Dead top	5.28
104	Pseudotsuga			4.5		N.		
131	menziesii	Douglas fir	31	15	Normal	Yes		4.96
122	Pseudotsuga	Douglas fir	12	17	Normal	Vec		6.00
132	Recudetsuga	Douglas III	43	1/	Normai	res		6.88
122	monziosii	Douglas fir	11	17	Normal	Voc		7.04
155	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III	44	17	Norman	163		7.04
134	menziesii	Douglas fir	30	15	Normal	Yes		4.8
107	Pseudotsuga	SouBias III		15				7.0
135	menziesii	Douglas fir	39	16	Normal	Yes		6.24
	Pseudotsuga							
136	menziesii	Douglas fir	36	15	Normal	Yes		5.76
	Pseudotsuga						12/52/1	
164	menziesii	Douglas fir	33	16	Normal	Yes		5.28

105	Pseudotsuga	Develoe fin	21	15	N	No.		4.95
102	Recudetaura	Douglas fir		15	Normai	Yes		4.96
166	menziesii	Douglas fir	12	17	Normal	Voc		6 72
100	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III			Normai	103		0.72
168	menziesii	Douglas fir	28	16	Normal	Vas		6.08
100	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III		10		105		0.00
169	menziesii	Douglas fir	31	15	Normal	Ves		4 96
105	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III		15	Normai	105		4.50
170	menziesii	Douglas fir	41	17	Normal	Yes		6 56
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III			Normai	105		0.50
171	menziesii	Douglas fir	53	18	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property	8.48
	Pseudotsuga							0.10
172	menziesii	Douglas fir	57	18	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property	9.12
	Pseudotsuga							5.22
173	menziesii	Douglas fir	34	17	Normal	Yes		5.44
	Pseudotsuga							
174	menziesii	Douglas fir	51	18	Normal	Yes		8.16
	Pseudotsuga	G						
175	menziesii	Douglas fir	55	18	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property	8.8
	Pseudotsuga							
176	menziesii	Douglas fir	42	17	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property	6.72
		Western						
177	Thuja plicata	redcedar	40	14	Moderate	Yes		6.4
	Pseudotsuga							
178	menziesii	Douglas fir	57	18	Normal	Yes		9.12
	Pseudotsuga							
179	menziesii	Douglas fir	30	15	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property	4.8
	Pseudotsuga							
180	menziesii	Douglas fir	40	15	Normal	Yes		6.4
	Pseudotsuga							
181	menziesii	Douglas fir	69	18	Normal	Yes		11.04
		Western						
201	Thuja plicata	redcedar	57	16	Moderate	Yes	Dead top	9.12
	Pseudotsuga							
202	menziesii	Douglas fir	49	20	Normal	Yes		7.84
		Western						
203	Thuja plicata	redcedar	48	16	Normal	Yes		7.68
	Pseudotsuga							
204	menziesii	Douglas fir	51	20	Normal	Yes		8.16
	Pseudotsuga							
205	menziesii	Douglas fir	54	21	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property	8.64
	Pseudotsuga					N		
206	menziesii	Douglas fir	56	21	Normal	res	Neighboring property	8.96
200	Pseudotsuga	Devel fin			Nome	Vaa	Natahhaving ana sauto	7 50
208	menziesii		4/	2	Normai	res	Neighboring property.	/.52
210	rseudotsuga	Douglas fin	60		Mormal	Voc	(notontially shared)	0.6
210	Beoudeteure		00	22	Normal	185	(potentially shared)	9.0
211	rseudotsuga	Douglas fir	= 1	_	Normal	Voc		0 6 4
	menziesii	Douglas fir	J 24	21	inormai	lies		0.04

		1		· · · · · -		·		
1 242		Western	22	40	Deer	N ₂		5.00
212	Inuja plicata	reaceaar	- 33	12	Poor	Yes	>%50 dead. Low risk.	5.28
213	menziesii	Douglas fir	32	18	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property.	5.12
		Western			Horman	100	Heighboring property.	5.12
215	Thuja plicata	redcedar	43	15	Moderate	Yes	~%50 dead. Low risk.	6.88
	Pseudotsuga							
216	menziesii	Douglas fir	65	23	Normal	Yes		10.4
	Pseudotsuga							
217	menziesii	Douglas fir	48	20	Normal	Yes		7.68
	Pseudotsuga							
218	menziesii	Douglas fir	47	20	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property.	7.52
	Pseudotsuga							
219	menziesii	Douglas fir	62	23	Normal	Yes	Shared tree	9.92
220	Pseudotsuga	Davida e fo	53	20	Name	N		0.40
220	Decudetours	Douglas fir		20	Normai	res		8.48
222	monziocii	Douglas fir	52	21	Normal	Voc	Sharad trac	0 1 0
222	Reudotsuga	Douglas III		21	Normai	165		0.40
223	menziesii	Douglas fir	35	20	Normal	Ves	Neighboring property	5.6
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III		20	Normai	105	Neighboring property.	5.0
224	menziesii	Douglas fir	44	19	Normal	Yes		7.04
	Pseudotsuga						Overgrown with	
225	menziesii	Douglas fir	~50	21	Normal	Yes	blackberry	0.16
	Pseudotsuga							
226	menziesii	Douglas fir	66	23	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property.	10.56
	Pseudotsuga							
227	menziesii	Douglas fir	56	22	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property.	8.96
	Pseudotsuga							
228	menziesii	Douglas fir	43		Normal	Yes	Neighboring property.	6.88
	Pseudotsuga							
229	menziesii	Douglas fir	32	19	Normal	Yes		5.12
220	Pseudotsuga	Develop fir	10	20	Mormol	Vac		7 60
230	menziesii	Mostorn	40	20	Normai	Tes		7.00
222	Thuia plicata	redcedar	15	12	Poor	Vec	Dving Low risk	72
255		reacedar	5			103	Partially uprooted	1.2
							leaning away from	
	Pseudotsuga						development.	
234	menziesii	Douglas fir	45	19	Poor	Yes	Neighboring property.	7.2
							Partially uprooted,	
							leaning away from	
	Pseudotsuga						development.	
235	menziesii	Douglas fir	33	15	Poor	Yes	Neighboring property.	5.28
	Pseudotsuga		l					
236	menziesii	Douglas fir	57	22	Normal	Yes		9.12
220	Pseudotsuga	Davida fr	-		Normal	Vac		0.00
238	menziesii Decudetowas	Douglas fir	56	22	Normai	res		8.96
220	menziocii	Douglas fir	56	21	Normal	Vec		8 06
233	111011210311	Douglas III	1 30	<u> </u>		103		

					r			
240	Pseudotsuga	Develop fin	20	10	 	Vee		
240	menziesii	Douglas fir	30	19	Normal	res		5.76
241	Pseudotsuga	Douglas fir	26	20	Normal	Voc	Noighboring property	E 76
241	Beoudotsuga	Douglas III	50	20	Normal	Tes	Neighboring property.	5.76
242	menziesii	Douglas fir	38	20	Normal	Voc	Neighboring property	6.09
274	Pseudotsuga	Douglas In		20	Norma	163	Neighboring property.	0.08
244	monziosii	Douglas fir	16	21	Normal	Voc	Noighboring property	7 26
244	Proudotsuga	Douglas In	40	21	Normal	105	Neighbornig property.	7.50
245	menziesii	Douglas fir	11	20	Normal	Voc	Neighboring property	7.04
245	menziesii	Western		20	Norman	163	Neighborning property.	7.04
246	Thuia nlicata	redcedar	33	15	Moderate	Vec	In decline Low risk	5.20
240		Western		1.5	Wouerate	163	The decime. Low TISK.	5.20
267	Thuia nlicata	redcedar	48	16	Moderate	Vec	In decline Low risk	7.68
207	Pseudotsuga	reaceau	40	10	Wouchate	103		7.08
268	menziesii	Douglas fir	46	20	Normal	Ves		7 36
200	Pseudotsuga	Douglus III			Normai	103		7.50
269	menziesii	Douglas fir	42	19	Normal	Vec		6 72
205	Psoudotsuga	Douglas III	- 72		Normal	165		0.72
270	menziesii	Douglas fir	36	19	Normal	Ves		5 76
270	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III				165	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
271	menziesii	Douglas fir	64	23	Normal	Vas		10.24
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III	- 04	25	Normai	163	·	10.24
272	menziesii	Douglas fir	41	21	Normal	Vec		656
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III				105		
273	menziesii	Douglas fir	43	20	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property	6.88
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas III	-13	20		105	Heighboring property.	0.00
274	menziesii	Douglas fir	53	21	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property	8.48
	Pseudotsuga	2008.00					itelgineering property.	0.40
275	menziesii	Douglas fir	37	19	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property.	5.92
<u> </u>		Western						
276	Thuja plicata	redcedar	31	15	Normal	Yes		4.96
		Western						
277	Thuja plicata	redcedar	33	16	Normal	Yes		5.28
	Frangula							
279	purshiana	Cascara	12	7	Normal	Yes		1.92
		Western						
280	Thuja plicata	redcedar	55	17	Normal	Yes		8.8
	Pseudotsuga							
287	menziesii	Douglas fir	50	20	Normal	Yes		8
	Pseudotsuga							
288	menziesii	Douglas fir	52	21	Normal	Yes		8.32
	Pseudotsuga							
289	menziesii	Douglas fir	43	20	Normal	Yes		6.88
	Pseudotsuga							
290	menziesii	Douglas fir	52	20	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property.	8.32
	Pseudotsuga							
291	menziesii	Douglas fir	37	20	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property.	5.92
	Pseudotsuga							
292	menziesii	Douglas fir	46	21	Normal	Yes	Neighboring property.	7.36

2.4 Tree Removal Table

Tree	Species	Common	DBH	Height	Condition	Protected?	Comments
#		Name	(cm)	(m)			
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
1	menziesii	Fir	32	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
2	menziesii	Fir	42	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas			an As		and the second
3	menziesii	Fir	45	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
4	Alnus rubra	Red alder	26	9	Moderate		Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
5	menziesii	Fir	40	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
1 - 12	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
6	menziesii	Fir	30	10	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
7	menziesii	Fir	46	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Frangula	1.50					
8	purshiana	Cascara	9	6	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Frangula						
9	purshiana	Cascara	13	7.5	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
10	Thuja plicata	redcedar	44	10	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead
		Western					
11	Thuja plicata	redcedar	51	10	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead
	Frangula						
12	purshiana	Cascara	17	6	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
13	Thuja plicata	redcedar	34	6	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead *ms*
14	Alnus rubra	Red alder	49	12	Poor	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
15	Thuja plicata	redcedar	65	10	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead
							Hazard tree, excessive decay of
16	Alnus rubra	Red alder	49	10	Poor	Yes	stem
		Western					
17	Thuja plicata	redcedar	51	12	Poor	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western	55				
18	Thuja plicata	redcedar	ms	13	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead *ms*
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
19	menziesii	Fir	35	13	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
20	menziesii	Fir	37	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
21	menziesii	Fir	52	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Salix	Scouler's	i anger				
22	Scouleriana	willow	36	10	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
23	menziesii	Fir	42	12	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint

<u>Г</u>	Pseudotsuga	Douglas				T	
24	menziesii	Fir	55	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
25	menziesii	Fir	47	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
-	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
26	menziesii	Fir	42	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
27	Thuja plicata	redcedar	48	12	Poor	Yes	<%50 dead
		Western					
28	Thuja plicata	redcedar	38	10	Poor	Yes	<%50 dead
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
29	menziesii	Fir	65	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
30	menziesii	Fir	46	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
31	menziesii	Fir	36	13	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
32	menziesii	Fir	45	19	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
33	menziesii	Fir	37	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
34	menziesii	Fir	30	12	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
35	menziesii	Fir	32	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
36	menziesii	Fir	32	14	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
1		Western	42	10	Nermal	No.	
		reacedar Davalas	42	12	Normal	res	within development footprint
20	Pseudotsuga		21	16	Normal	Vac	Within dovelopment featurint
30	Decudetauro	FII Douglas	51	15	Normai	Tes	within development rootprint
20	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	42	16	Normal	Voc	Mithin doublesment factorist
	Proudotsuga	Douglas	42	10	Normai		
40	monziosii	Fir	31	15	Normal	Vac	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas			Norman	105	
41	menziesii	Fir	38	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
42	menziesii	Fir	34	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
43	menziesii	Fir	43	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
44	menziesii	Fir	41	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
45	menziesii	Fir	51	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
46	menziesii	Fir	36	14	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
47	menziesii	Fir	39	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
48	menziesii	Fir	34	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint

	A 1 1				I	<u> </u>	
10	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	40	10	Normal	Vee	
49	Develot		40	18	Normai	res	within development footprint
50	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
	menziesii	Fir	32	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
51	menziesii	Fir	34	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
52	menziesii	Fir	31	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
53	menziesii	Fir	33	14	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
54	Thuja plicata	redcedar	35	13	Poor	Yes	Dead top
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
55	menziesii	Fir	35	15	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
56	menziesii	Fir	34	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					· · · · · ·
57	menziesii	Fir	46	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
58	menziesii	Fir	40	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas			literita		
60	menziesii	Fir	46	18	Normal	Voc	Within development footprint
	Proudoteura	Douglas			Normai	103	
61	monziosii	Douglas	42	16	Normal	Voc	Mithin doublean ant factoriat
- 01	menziesii		42	10	Normai	res	
	Thuis allocate	western		4.5		N	
62	i nuja plicata	reacedar	42	15	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
63	menziesii	Fir	38	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
64	menziesii	Fir	31	14	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
65	menziesii	Fir	46	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
66	menziesii	Fir	36	12	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
67	menziesii	Fir	53	19	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
68	menziesii	Fir	36	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
69	menziesii	Fir	34	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
70	menziesii	Fir	51	20	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
71	menziesii	Fir	34	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
72	Thuja plicata	redcedar	32	14	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
73	menziesii	Fir	40	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					,,
74	menziesii	Fir	33	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
L / 7		1				1	

						1	
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
75	menziesii	Fir	32	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
76	menziesii	Fir	34	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
77	menziesii	Fir	45	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
78	menziesii	Fir	30	13	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
79	menziesii	Fir	30	13	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Salix	Scouler's					
80	Scouleriana	willow	62	12	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
94	menziesii	Fir	40	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
95	menziesii	Fir	38	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
96	menziesii	Fir	39	12	Poor	Yes	Split in stem, extensive decay
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
97	menziesii	Fir	42	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
98	menziesii	Fir	32	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
99	menziesii	Fir	45	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
100	menziesii	Fir	38	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
101	menziesii	Fir	31	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Salix	Scouler's					
102	Scouleriana	willow	36	9	Poor	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
103	Thuja plicata	redcedar	54	12	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
104	Thuja plicata	redcedar	46	13	Moderate	Yes	Dead top
		Western					
105	Thuia plicata	redcedar	34	9	Poor	Yes	~%50 dead
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
106	menziesii	Fir	38	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
<u> </u>	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
107	menziesii	Fir	32	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					·····
108	menziesii	Fir	36	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
109	menziesii	Fir	33	14	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western	<u> </u>	····		+	
110	Thuja plicata	redcedar	39	q	Moderate	Yes	Dead top
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas				1	
111	menziesii	Fir	22	14	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
<u>⊢</u>	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
112	menziecii	Fir	25	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	111011210311	1	1 35	1 17		1.03	

	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
113	menziesii	Fir	30	13	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					·
114	Thuja plicata	redcedar	38	12	Poor	Yes	>%50 dead
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
115	menziesii	Fir	35	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
116	menziesii	Fir	37	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					· · · · ·
117	Thuja plicata	redcedar	36	11	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
118	menziesii	Fir	44	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
119	Thuja plicata	redcedar	38	12	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
120	menziesii	Fir	32	13	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
121	Thuja plicata	redcedar	69	13	Poor	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
122	Thuja plicata	redcedar	56	13	Poor	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
123	Thuja plicata	redcedar	65	13	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western	67				
124	Thuja plicata	redcedar	*ms*	14	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
125	menziesii	Fir	46	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
126	Thuja plicata	redcedar	43	15	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
127	menziesii	Fir	38	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
128	menziesii	Fir	31	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
137	menziesii	Fir	40	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
138	menziesii	Fir	38	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
139	menziesii	Fir	44	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
140	menziesii	Fir	47	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
141	menziesii	Fir	33	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
142	Thuja plicata	redcedar	57	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
143	Thuja plicata	redcedar	51	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
144	Thuja plicata	redcedar	57	15	Poor	Yes	Dead top
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
145	menziesii	Fir	59	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint

		1					
146	Thuia olicata	Western redcedar	42	14	Dead/Dving	Yes	>%50 dead
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas		_	0000,09118		
147	menziesii	Fir	31	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
148	menziesii	Fir	37	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
149	Thuja plicata	redcedar	37	9	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
150	menziesii	Fir	34	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
151	menziesii	Fir	52	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
450		Western		45	- 1/- ·		
152	Inuja plicata	reacedar	55	15	Dead/Dying	Yes	>%50 dead
153	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	- 1	10	Newsol	No.	Michin double and for the statist
155	Decudetours	FIF		18	Normai	res	within development footprint
154	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	26	16	Normal	Voc	Mithin dovelopment featurint
154	Boudotsuga	Douglas	50	10	NOTITAL	165	
155	menziecii	Douglas	37	16	Normal	Vec	Within development footprint
155	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	57	10	Normai	163	
156	menziesii	Fir	44	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
157	menziesii	Fir	32	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
158	menziesii	Fir	35	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
159	menziesii	Fir	51	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
160	menziesii	Fir	39	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
161	menziesii	Fir	41	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
4.62	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	20	40			
162	menziesii	FIF	39	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
163	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	40	17	Normal	Vac	Mithin doublenment for starint
105	Beaudateura	Douglas	40	1/	NOTITAL	165	
167	menziesii	Fir	37	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
197		Red Alder	38	20	Poor	Yes	Lost top, excessive decay
102	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	- 50	0	1.001	163	
183	menziesii	Fir	90	20	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
184	Thuja plicata	redcedar	36	9	Poor	Yes	~%50 dead
		Western					
185	Thuja plicata	redcedar	40	11	Moderate	Yes	Dead top
		Western					
186	Thuja plicata	redcedar	55	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
187	Thuja plicata	redcedar	44	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint

		Western					
188	Thuja plicata	redcedar	41	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
189	Thuja plicata	redcedar	55	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Prunus					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
190	Other	serrulata	33	4	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
191	Thuja plicata	redcedar	55	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
192	Thuja plicata	redcedar	61	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
193	Thuja plicata	redcedar	62	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
194	Thuja plicata	redcedar	74	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Lodgepole					
195	Pinus contorta	pine	50	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
			31				
196	Pyrus sp.	Pear	*ms*	10	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Prunus	Japanese					
197	serrulata	Cherry	39	9	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Aesculus	Horse					Dbh taken at 1.2m due to
198	hippocastanum	Chestnut	35	10	Normal	Yes	multistem union
	Prunus	Japanese	35	_			
199	serrulata	Cherry	*ms*	6	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
							Hazard tree. Half of stem
200	Alnus rubra	Red alder	40	12	Dead/Dying	Yes	missing, extensive decay.
							Neighboring property.
207							Extensive decay with lean
207	Alnus rubra	Red alder	38	13	Poor	Yes	toward development.
200	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	22	15	Deer	No.	Previously lost top. High
209	menziesii Decudeteure	Fir	33	15	Poor	res	likelinood of decay at union.
214	Pseudotsuga	Douglas	20	14	Madarata	Vec	liklihood of doopy at injuny site
214		F 11	59	14	Woderate	Tes	Lost top, doory procent
221	Alous rubro	Pod aldor	42	12	Dood/Duing	Voc	Pomovo duo to modorato rick
221	Allius Tubra	Neu aluei	42	15	Deady Dying	165	Heavy leap toward
221	menziecii	Sir	24	10	Moderate	Ver	development
2.51	Saliv	Scouler's		10	Woderate	103	
222	Scouleriana	willow	*mc*	12	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
232		Red alder	/13	10	Poor	Vec	Excessive decay Perceyo
23/			45	10		103	Dead top lots of decay and
242	Alnus rubra	Red alder	Δ1	12	Poor	Yes	failures. Remove due to risk
243		Red alder	27	10	Moderate	Vec	Within development footorist
24/	Frangula		<u> </u>	1.1	inicuerate	103	
248	nurshiana	Cascara	12	<u>م</u>	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
240		Pod oldor	25	15	Normal	Voc	Within development footprint
249		Mostore	33	1.2		185	
250	Thuia plicata	redcodar		16	Normal	Vec	Within development footprint
250		Mostorn	33	10		103	
751	Thuis plicata	redcedar	10	15	Moderate	Ves	Within development footprint
L_277		Lieuceuai		<u></u>	Imodelate	103	

		Western					
252	Thuja plicata	redcedar	34	14	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					· · · ·
253	Thuja plicata	redcedar	31	12	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
	Salix	Scouler's					
254	Scouleriana	willow	34	14	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
255	menziesii	Fir	41	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Salix	Scouler's	44				
256	Scouleriana	willow	*ms*	13	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
			35				
257	Alnus rubra	Red alder	*ms*	15	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
258	Thuja plicata	redcedar	50	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
259	Thuja plicata	redcedar	38	15	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
260	Thuja plicata	redcedar	34	15	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
261	Thuja plicata	redcedar	30	13	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
262	Thuja plicata	redcedar	53	15	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
263	menziesii	Fir	48	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
264	Thuja plicata	redcedar	57	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
265	Alnus rubra	Red alder	40	14	Poor	Yes	Severe decline
		Western					
266	Thuja plicata	redcedar	64	17	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
278	Thuja plicata	redcedar	39	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	_	Western					
281	Thuja plicata	redcedar	38	15	Moderate	Yes	Nurse log
282	Alnus rubra	Red alder	36	14	Moderate	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
283	Thuja plicata	redcedar	37	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western	39				
284	Thuja plicata	redcedar	*ms*	10	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
285	Alnus rubra	Red alder	31	14	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
286	menziesii	Fir	45	22	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
293	menziesii	Fir	60	24	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas		_			
294	menziesii	Fir	62	24	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
295	menziesii	Fir	33	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
296	menziesii	Fir	50	21	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint

	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
297	menziesii	Fir	40	20	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
298	menziesii	Fir	41	20	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
1	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
299	menziesii	Fir	45	20	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
300	menziesii	Fir	48	21	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
301	menziesii	Fir	48	21	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
302	menziesii	Fir	49	20	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
303	menziesii	Fir	31	18	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
	Pseudotsuga	Douglas					
304	menziesii	Fir	44	20	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
305	Thuja plicata	redcedar	52	17	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint
		Western					
306	Thuja plicata	redcedar	46	16	Normal	Yes	Within development footprint

* (MS) Refers to Multi-stemmed trees. The DBH for these trees was determined by measuring the DBH of each stem at 1.4m from the base of the tree, squaring each measurement, adding the sums and then calculating the square root of that number. Example: You have three stems that measure 5cm, 6cm and 8cm so the DBH would be: $\sqrt{(5^2+6^2+8^2)} = \sqrt{(25+36+64)} = \sqrt{125} = 11$ cm

** Tree Protection Zone or Root Protection Zone measurements indicate the *Radius* of the protected area around the tree.

3.0 Summary

3.1 Tree Retention Discussion

The opportunity for retention is limited on this site due to the proposed development footprint. All of the 95 trees chosen for retention are along the West, North & East property lines. Of those 95 trees, 65 are located on 1085 Ravensbourne Ln, 2 straddle the property line and would be considered shared ownership and the other 28 are located outside of the property but are directly adjacent to the property lines.

3.2 Tree Removal Discussion

Of the 305 Trees inventoried, 210 are to be removed for the development.

3.3 Tree Protection Fencing

The locations and sizes of Protected Root Zones can be found using the table in 2.4 & the maps in Appendix 4. Tree Protection Fencing specs can be found in Appendix 2.

The Protected Root Zone is calculated by measuring the DBH of the tree and multiplying it by 18. The resultant number is the distance required between the fencing and the trunk of the tree. Example: 60 cm DBH x 18 = 10.8 m required distance from trunk.

The size of the Protected Root Zones may be changed by the arborist so long as it is approved by the Director of Planning. This would only be done in a situation where there is a conflict with the development footprint and the Tree Protection Fencing AND where it is determined by the arborist that the bulk of the critical root system is sufficiently protected.

Appendix 1 Construction Guidelines

Tree management recommendations in this report are made under the expectation that the following guidelines for risk mitigation and proper tree protection will be adhered to during construction.

Respecting these guidelines will prevent changes to the soil and rooting conditions, contamination due to spills and waste, or physical wounding of the trees. Any plans for construction work and activities that deviate from or contradict these guidelines should be discussed with the project arborist so that mitigation measures can be implemented.

Tree Protection Zones

A Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) is determined using either dripline or a DBH multiplier to define a radius measured in all directions from the outside of a tree's trunk. It is typically determined according to local municipal bylaw specifications and may be modified based on professional judgement of the project arborist to accommodate species specific tolerances and site specific growing conditions. For retained trees, the TPZ and fencing indicated in this report are proposed as suitable in relation to the level of disturbance proposed on the site plan provided to the project arborist. Arborist consultation is required if any additional work beyond the scope of the plans provided is proposed near the tree. Work done in addition to the proposed impacts discussed in this report may cause the tree to decline and die.

Tree Protection Fencing

Tree protection zones (TPZs) will be protected by Tree Protection Fencing except where site features constrict roots (e.g., retaining walls or roads), where continual access is required (e.g., sidewalks), or when an acceptable encroachment into the TPZ is proposed, in which case the fencing will be modified.

Within a TPZ, no construction activity, including materials storage, grading or landscaping, may occur without project arborist approval. Within the TPZ, the following are tree preservation guidelines based on industry standards for best practice and local municipal requirements:

- No soil disturbance or stripping.
- Maintain the natural grade.
- No storage, dumping of materials, parking, underground utilities or fires within TPZs or tree driplines.
- Any planned construction and landscaping activities affecting trees should be reviewed and approved by a consulting arborist.
- Install specially designed foundations and paving when these structures are required within TPZs.
- Route utilities around TPZs.
- Excavation within the TPZs should be supervised by a consultant arborist.

• Surface drainage should not be altered in such a way that water is directed in or out of the TPZ.

Prior to any construction activity, Tree Protection Fencing must be constructed as shown in Appendix 2. The protection barrier or temporary fencing must be at least 1.2 m in height and constructed of 2" by 4" lumber with orange plastic mesh screening. Tree Protection Fencing must be constructed prior to tree removal, excavation or construction and remain intact for the entire duration of construction.

Tree Crown Protection and Pruning

All heavy machinery (excavators, cranes, dump trucks, etc.) working within five meters of a tree's crown should be made aware of their proximity to the tree. If there is to be a sustained period of machinery working within five meters of a tree's crown, a line of colored flags should be suspended at eye-level of the machinery operator for the length of the protected tree area. Any concerns regarding the clearance required for machinery and workers within or immediately outside tree protection zones should be referred to the project arborist so that a zone surrounding the crowns can be established or pruning measures undertaken. Any wounds incurred to protected trees during construction should be reported to the project arborist immediately.

Monitoring during construction

Ongoing monitoring by Lemur Tree Service should occur for the duration of a development project. Site visits should be more frequent during activities that are higher risk, including the first stages of construction when excavation occurs adjacent to the trees. Site visits will ensure contractors are respecting the recommended tree protection measures and will allow the arborist to identify any new concerns that may arise.

During each site visit the following measures will be assessed and reported on by Lemur Tree Service:

- Health and condition of protected trees, including damage to branches, trunks and roots that may have resulted from construction activities, as will the health of. Recommendations for remediation will follow.
- Integrity of the TPZ and fencing.
- Changes to TPZ conditions including overall maintenance, parking on roots, and storing or dumping of materials within TPZ. If failures to maintain and respect the TPZ are observed, suggestions will be made to ensure tree protection measures are remediated and upheld.
- Review and confirmation of recommended tree maintenance including root pruning, irrigation, mulching and branch pruning.
- Changes to soil moisture levels and drainage patterns; and
- Factors that may detrimentally impact the trees.

Removal of logs from sites

Private timber marks are required to transport logs from privately-owned land in BC. It is the property owner's responsibility to apply for a timber mark prior to removing any merchantable timber from the site.

Additional information can be found at: http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hth/private-timber-marks.htm

Appendix 2 Tree Protection Fencing Specifications



SCHEDULE *C* TREE PROTECTION BARRIER REQUIREMENTS

Appendix 3 Replacement Guidelines

Town of Qualicum Beach Tree Protection Bylaw No. 725, 2023

SCHEDULE "A" REPLACEMENT TREES

Where **Replacement** Trees are required to be provided pursuant to this Bylaw, such **Replacement** Trees shall be provided and planted as follows:

- 1. Minimum Replacement Tree Sizes (at time of planting):
 - 1.1 Deciduous Replacement Trees are to be a minimum of 2m in height and a minimum 4cm caliper*,
 - 1.2 Coniferous Replacement Trees are to be a minimum of 3m in height.
- 2. Every Replacement Tree shall be spaced from existing Trees and other Replacement Trees in accordance with an approved landscape plan and in all cases shall be planted in accordance with the current BCSLA (British Columbia Society of Landscape Architects) or BCLNA (British Columbia Landscape & Nursery Association) Landscape Standards.
- 3. All Replacement Trees shall meet current BCSLA or BCLNA standards.
- 4. The type, size, condition and location of Replacement Trees must be approved by the Director.

* Caliper size is the caliper width, or diameter, of a Tree at 15cm height from the ground.

Appendix 4 Maps





Appendix 5 Limitations of this Report

It is the policy of Lemur Tree Service to attach the following clauses regarding limitations. We do this to ensure that developers, owners, and approving officers are clearly aware of what is technically and professionally realistic in retaining trees.

1. The assessment of the trees presented in this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of each tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of insect attack, discoloured foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of lean (if any), the general condition of the tree(s) and the surrounding site, and the current or planned proximity of property and people. Except where specifically noted in the report, none of the trees examined were dissected, cored, probed, or climbed, and detailed root crown examinations involving excavation were not undertaken.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be realised that trees are living organisms, and their health and vigour constantly changes over time. They are not immune to changes in site conditions, or seasonal variations in the weather.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the trees recommended for retention are healthy, no guarantees are offered, or implied, that these trees, or all parts of them, will remain standing. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behaviour of any single tree -- or group of trees --, or all their component parts, in all given circumstances. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most trees have the potential for failure in the event of adverse weather conditions, and this risk can only be eliminated if the tree is removed.

Although every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate, the trees should be reassessed periodically. In accordance with standard practice, the assessment presented in this report is valid at the time it was undertaken. It is not a guarantee of safety.

2. Notwithstanding the recommendations made in this report, Lemur Tree Service accepts no responsibility for the implementation of all or any part of this plan, unless we have specifically been requested to examine said implementation activities. Approval and implementation of this plan in no way implies any inspection or supervisory role on the part of Lemur Tree Service. In the event that inspection or

supervision of all or part of the implementation of the plan is requested, said request shall be in writing and the details agreed to in writing by both parties. Any on site inspection or supervisory work undertaken by Lemur Tree Service. shall be recorded in written form and submitted to the client as a matter of record.

- 3. Although this Tree Management Plan has been prepared for Ocean Estates Developments and accepting that it may be used by other parties or agencies, Lemur Tree Service shall not be held responsible for the manner of use of the interpretations that other parties may attach to the report.
- 4. The report shall be considered a whole, no sections are severable, and the report shall be considered incomplete if any pages are missing.
- 5. This report is best viewed in colour. Any copies printed in black and white may make some details difficult to properly understand. Lemur Tree Service accepts no liability for misunderstandings due to a black and white copy of the report.

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH STAFF REPORT

TO: Luke Sales, Director of Planning

FOR: Regular Council Meeting

DATE: July 17, 2024

FROM: Liz Pollock, Planner

SUBJECT: Bus Garage Final Report

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. THAT Council endorses the report titled "Bus Garage Final Report" dated May 1, 2024.
- THAT Council directs staff to prepare a report outlining next steps in the implementation of the Bus Garage Conceptual Design for consideration during the 2025-2029 Budget process.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide the Bus Garage Final Report to Council for endorsement.

BACKGROUND

- In 2012 the Town of Qualicum Beach purchased the "Bus Garage" Property from School District 69. The land was purchased for the purpose of strategic community development. The Town conducted extensive public engagement, and several future uses were identified in the 2011 Official Community Plan (OCP) as follows:
 - o Park / Public Square
 - Neighbourhood Pub
 - Commercial Space (retail/office)
 - Artist Studios / Galleries
 - o Hotel
 - Performing Arts Centre
 - Seniors' Centre
 - o Residential Development Above Ground Floor
 - Outdoor Performance Venue
 - Parking
 - o Movie Theatre
- In 2011 and 2017 the Town solicited proposals from third parties to develop the lands in accordance with the community's vision. There were no successful proponents.

- In September 2019, the Town of Qualicum Beach and Vancouver Island University's (VIU) Master of Community Planning program undertook a process to develop a vision for how the Qualicum Commons (adjacent school property) and the "Bus Garage" Property could be better utilized for community benefit. The final report was published in April 2020.
- In January 2021, the Town of Qualicum Beach signed an agreement with Naked Naturals Whole Foods Ltd. (Naked Naturals) to sell one acre of land for the purpose of a new grocery store, residential units, and onsite parking on the former "Bus Garage" Property.
- A "Bus Garage" Working Group was formed to explore the land sale area and make recommendations to Council. The working group made the following recommendations, which were endorsed by Council in 2020:
 - The area should function as a whole (synergy) with surrounding uses, with natural flow between Naked Naturals, parking, public and private outdoor areas.
 - Maximize view of Mt Arrowsmith from key public spaces.
 - Support a rational traffic flow from Memorial Avenue and Fern Road.
 - Residential unit parking is required. Parking for Naked Naturals needs flexibility based on overall site plan that comes out of Working Group. (sic)
 - Prioritize safe and pleasant pedestrian connectivity through and around store, outdoor public square, outdoor areas and parking.
 - Creates opportunities for Naked Naturals landscaping, outdoor space, and Memorial Avenue street presence.
 - There should be a multi-purpose public gathering square at the corner of Fern Road and Memorial Avenue, in accordance with the covenant.
 - Quality of residential neighbourhood will include 8 12 upper floor units provided with own shared entrance, and parking.
 - Preserve and protect the environmental aspects of the site e.g. solar gain, views, etc.
 - This is the gateway to the Town centre and the architecture should be designed accordingly.
 - Enable practical and safe movement for tractor trailers, vehicles and pedestrians.
- At the December 8, 2021, Council meeting, Council directed staff to present a public consultation plan to develop design options for the remaining Town-owned land surrounding Naked Naturals (.63 ha). As per Council direction, staff presented a consultation overview to Council on January 12, 2022. The zoning amendment bylaw was adopted, but it was determined that the Development Permit for Naked Naturals would be considered after there was further clarity on the land use of the adjacent Town-owned land.
- On May 18, 2022, Urban Design Consultant Cal Srigley presented a character study and preliminary design options for the Town-owned "Bus Garage" Property. Council adopted the following motion: *THAT Council directs staff to proceed with public consultation for the remaining Town-owned "Bus Garage" land, as described in the May 18, 2022, Planning memo to Council.*
- On May 1, 2023, the Town put out an RFP seeking proposals for a consultant team to lead a public engagement process resulting in a conceptual design for the Town-owned Bus Garage property, as well as a related mobility study. The Town retained Partnership Landscape Architects Inc., (PWL).
DISCUSSION

Public and stakeholder engagement and the finalization of a Conceptual Design and Transportation Mobility Study for the Bus Garage property was initiated by the Town in May 2023. The feedback from these engagements resulted in the attached Bus Garage Final Report for the Town-owned Bus Garage site. This work builds off a comprehensive public engagement and visioning process that was undertaken in 2011, the previous work completed with VIU, the Bus Garage "Working Group", and design work by Cal Srigley.

Phase 1 Engagement

The Phase 1 engagement memorandum was made available to the public in December 2023. Phase 1 engagement involved a stakeholder meeting, a Pop-Up event at the Farmer's Market, a youth engagement event at Kwalikum Secondary School, and a public survey. Phase 1 also included working with two VIU students on collecting traffic data at the Bus Garage Site. Building on insights gathered from Phase 1, the next steps included crafting two concept design options, and two parkade options that moved the project into Phase 2.

Phase 2 Engagement

Phase 2 engagement took place from December 2023 to February 2024 and involved a stakeholder meeting, a public survey, and an in-person public workshop. Building off insights gathered from Phase 1, the goals of Phase 2 engagement were to share what was learned during Phase 1, demonstrate how that feedback was incorporated into the draft preliminary design options, and to gather public input on preferred options for the plaza and parkade layout. Significant refinements from Phases 1 and 2 resulted in a north/south pedestrian connection through the site and locating the parkade entrance outside of the public plaza. The Phase 2 engagement memorandum was made available to the public in March 2024. Feedback gathered throughout Phase 2 was incorporated into the draft Concept Design & Report.

The consultant, PWL, presented the refined draft Concept Design with a more detailed site plan that articulated a shared vision for the Bus Garage site, the draft preferred parkade layout, and the draft Transportation Mobility Study at the March 27, 2024 Committee of the Whole Meeting.

Following the presentation to the Committee of the Whole, PWL made minor refinements to reflect Council comments and final requests for consideration.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The 2024-2028 Financial Plan includes a budget provision of \$1.8 million, over the years 2024 to 2027. The annual budget provisions over the next 5 years are outlined below:

- 2024: \$1,000,000
- 2025: \$100,000
- 2026: \$100,000
- 2027: \$600,000

Following detailed design and costing, it is anticipated that staff will actively pursue grant opportunities to secure funding aimed at facilitating the detailed design and offsetting construction costs associated with the Bus Garage Site.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPECTRUM (IAP²)

Public Participation Framework developed by the International Association for Public Participation – IAP2

INFORM	CONSULT	INVOLVE	COLLABORATE	EMPOWER
• Provide balanced and objective data to assist in understanding issues, alternatives, opportunities, and solutions	Obtain feedback on analysis, alternatives, and/or decisions	• Work directly with stakeholders to ensure concerns and aspirations are understood and considered	• Partner with stakeholders in each aspect of the decision, development of alternatives, and identification of preferred solutions	• Final decision making in the hands of the stakeholders

International.

INFORM, CONSULT, INVOLVE

- To date, the project has included extensive public participation (consult and involve).
- The consultation process for the Bus Garage is now complete.
- The public will continue to be informed as further information is available. (inform).

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

Council's Strategic Plan Focus Area(s) supported by this initiative:

- <u>Good Governance</u>: To govern for the public interest of our community while managing competing interests, ensuring availability of transparent and accessible information, fostering respectful public engagement, and demonstrating ethical values.
- <u>Community Health & Wellbeing</u>: To improve the health and wellbeing of people who live, work, and play in the Town.

SUMMARY

PWL has completed the Bus Garage Final Report, including: a conceptual design, the preferred parkade layout, order of magnitude estimates of probable cost, and the Transportation Mobility Study. Council is now in a position to prepare for detailed design and construction works associated with the former Bus Garage Site during Council's next year's Budget discussions.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

1. THAT Council provide alternative direction to staff.

APPROVALS

Report respectfully submitted by Liz Pollock, Planner

lock

Liz Pollock Planner *Report Author*

the fit

Lou Varela Chief Administrative Officer *Concurrence*

Luke Sales Director of Planning *Concurrence*

Raj Hayre Director of Finance Concurrence

REFERENCES

Attachment 1: Attachment 2: Bus Garage Final Report Bus Garage Transportation Mobility Study

File: 6520-20-Bus Garage

N:\0100-0699 ADMINISTRATION\0550 COUNCIL MEETINGS\0550-20 MEETINGS\2024\07 17 RC\1. DRAFTS FOR CORP ADMIN REVIEW\memo.Plan.Bus Garage Final Report. July 17 2024.docx

ATTACHMENT NO. 1



heart of the community \bigcirc

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH



PWL partnership

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	. 1
Background and Introduction	<u>3</u>
Consultation Summary	<u>6</u>
Phase 1	<u>6</u>
Phase 2	8
Conceptual Design	<u>9</u>
Design Rationale	10
Program	. <u>11</u>
Site Plan	14
On Slab / On Grade Comparative Analysis	18
Opinion of Probable Cost	. <u>19</u>
Summary of Recommendations	. <u>21</u>

APPENDIX A - Transportation Mobility Study	22
APPENDIX B - Phase 1 Engagement Memo	23
APPENDIX C - Phase 2 Engagement Memo	<u>24</u>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Town of Qualicum Beach initiated the Bus Garage Revitalization Project to develop a conceptual design for the Bus Garage lands, including a public plaza at the corner of Memorial Avenue and Fern Road, parking recommendations and a Mobility Study.

The Qualicum Beach Bus Garage Revitalization Project Final Report summarizes the project process, including public engagement, and presents the final concept design for a public plaza, high-level costing, and a Summary of Recommendations. The Appendices contain the final Transportation Mobility Study, including Parking Recommendations, as well as detailed summaries of public engagement activities and findings.

Public engagement

Please refer to Appendices B and C to learn more about the public engagement activities and findings.

The feedback gathered during the engagement process shaped the conceptual design of the Bus Garage Property. Public input will also guided the study on transportation in the area, including analyzing parking and traffic operations for the site and adjacent Uptown area.

Phase One Engagement, held from September 2023 to November 2023, established how the Bus Garage site is currently used; determined the kinds of activities the Qualicum Beach community would like to see on the Bus Garage site; and collected insight into what the community currently values about Qualicum Beach. The feedback from Phase One Engagement informed the development of two draft conceptual designs for the Bus Garage site. These options were focused on the amenities and activities that will be included in the future Bus Garage Plan.

Phase Two Engagement, held from December 2023 to February 2024, shared what was learned during Phase One Engagement, demonstrated how that feedback was incorporated into the preliminary design options, and gathered public input on which plaza option and parkade layout was preferred. Feedback gathered throughout Phase Two Engagement was incorporated into the final concept design.



Photos of Uptown Qualicum Beach and the Bus Garage site.

The outcome of the public engagement process was the selection of amenities and activities for inclusion in the public plaza, and the development of the Arts Plaza concept design.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Concept Design

The Arts Plaza is performance-focused and responds to the public's request for a performance and event space. This plaza includes an event zone with a small, raised platform stage. It also has a large flexible plaza area with a lawn and moveable seating. This area can accommodate market stalls, pop-up events and other community gatherings. This concept has a strong pedestrian connection from Uptown to Qualicum Commons.

Transportation

Please refer to Appendix A to read the full Transportation Mobility Study.

The traffic model indicates that the additional traffic generated by the development is not anticipated to have a significant impact on local area traffic operations. All traffic movements remain within typical capacity thresholds. The difference in operations between the existing and post-development scenarios is considered negligible.

Parking

Considering all presented factors and estimates, Bunt concludes that the surrounding area with approximately 430 on-street and parking lot spaces will be able to absorb the displaced demand for all three options with varying degrees of supportive measures. Supportive measures may reduce the amount of displaced parking demand or redistribute the parking demand to less well-used locations in the study area.

Transportation Demand Management

Recommended study area transportation improvements for consideration include improvements to the pedestrian realm, including additional pedestrian crossings, amenities such as benches and water fountains, and cycling infrastructure.

Wayfinding Signs Key Destinations, Trails, Cycling Routes, Water Stations, Parks, etc.



Source: City of Vancouver

Water Stations Dog Fountain, Water Fountain, Misting Station, Water Fill



Universal Bike Racks "Post-and-Ring" or "Sheffield" Multiple points of contact, Can accommodate large cargo bikes



Benches Without arm rests, Comfortable recline





BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

Purpose of Project

The Bus Garage Revitalization project presents an opportunity to create a community vision for the former Bus Garage Lands. The final Concept Design for the land considers the surrounding cultural, commercial, environmental, and transportation character of the Uptown neighbourhood, and builds on the extensive public engagement and conceptual design work completed to date. Positioned as a gateway to the heart of town, the Bus Garage Concept Design will help shape the character, amenities, and experience of this area for years to come.

Scope of Work

The Town of Qualicum Beach initiated the process to develop a conceptual design for the Bus Garage lands, including a public plaza at the corner of Memorial Avenue and Fern Road, parking recommendations and a Mobility Study.

Building off of previous work done by the Town, PWL Partnership Landscape Architects led a public engagement and design process to develop a conceptual design for the public plaza, informed by the Transportation and Parking recommendations. Bunt & Associates Engineering Ltd. (Bunt) prepared a Transportation Mobility Study to meet the following objectives:

- Summarize existing transportation conditions in the study area.
- Summarize relevant Town policies and plans.
- Estimate the proposed development's impact on study area traffic operations and consider recommended mitigation.
- Review vehicle parking impacts of the proposed development and parking supply options.
- Provide a swept path analysis to confirm functionality of vehicle access, circulation, parking and loading.
- Recommend improvements to the study area multi-modal transportation network with the objective of improving the active transport mode share.

Please refer to Appendix A to read the Transportation Mobility Study and Parking Recommendations.



BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

Project Background

The 0.63 hectare Bus Garage property was purchased by the Town of Qualicum Beach in 2012 for the purpose of strategic community development. At that time, the Town conducted extensive community engagement, identifying a range of potential uses, including (but not limited to) a park or public square; commercial space; a performing arts centre; residential development; and parking.

In 2019, the Town of Qualicum Beach partnered with Vancouver Island University's Master of Community Planning program to develop a vision for how the Bus Garage property (along with the adjacent Qualicum Commons property) could provide greater benefits to the Qualicum Beach community. The process included extensive public engagement and produced two concept designs. The final report identified mixed use residential, parking, and multi-use public open space in the Bus Garage property, and can be viewed at <u>https://qualicumbeach.civicweb.net/filepro/ documents/7959/?preview=8247</u> In 2021, the Town of Qualicum Beach signed an agreement with Naked Naturals to sell one acre of land on the site for the purpose of a new grocery store, upper level residential units, and onsite parking. The proposed Naked Naturals development will proceed following the completion of the Bus Garage Revitalization Concept Design, as a separate initiative.

A Bus Garage Working Group was formed to develop a series of recommendations for the eventual development of the Bus Garage site. These recommendations can be viewed at <u>https:// qualicumbeach.civicweb.net/document/9714/</u> The recommendations were endorsed by Town Council in 2020. In 2022, Urban Design Consultant Cal Srigley presented a character study and preliminary design options for the Bus Garage property. Council adopted a motion for staff to proceed with public consultation for the remaining Town-owned Bus Garage lands, as described in the May 18, 2022 Planning Memo to Council.



Cal Srigley perspective sketch, 2022

BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

Site Analysis

The Bus Garage Lands are located at the corner of Memorial Avenue and Fern Road at the edge of the Uptown Neighbourhood. The Old School House Arts Centre, a non-profit charity providing arts programming, a gallery, and community space, anchors the northwest corner of the site. The Bus Garage property boasts impressive views of Mount Arrowsmith.

The Uptown neighbourhood is pedestrian-oriented, with a pleasant village feel. This area is home to many small businesses, including retail and restaurants, as well as cultural attractions such as local theatre and galleries. This area is frequented both by the year-round community as well as tourists and summer residents.

A pedestrian through-way, connecting the site to Second Avenue and Leigh House Park, terminates directly across Fern Road from The Old School House. The Bus Garage Lands are serviced by both north-south and east-west bus routes. There are opportunities to better connect the Uptown area to the Bus Garage site via suggested pedestrian crosswalks, sidewalk improvements, site circulation, and cycle infrastructure.

Currently, just over half of the site is dedicated to free surface parking that is well-used by visitors to the Uptown area. For more information about how the site is currently used, please refer to Appendix B - Phase 1 Public Engagement Memo.

The Qualicum Commons property that neighbours the Bus Garage lands includes a semi-wooded play area to the west of The Old School House, as well as a large area of open lawn space. The Qualicum Commons building houses several organizations and businesses.



Opportunities and Constraints Analysis

CONSULTATION SUMMARY

For a detailed account of Public Engagement activities and findings, please refer to Appendices B and C.

Public Engagement was promoted throughout the project's duration via the Town of Qualicum Beach website (<u>www.qualicumbeach.com/busrevive</u>); social media posts on the Town's Facebook page; via the Town's Constant Contact email list; via the Town Tidings newsletter; and via the Noteworthy mail-out bulletin. Project information and hard copies of the public surveys were also available at Town Hall.

Phase 1 Overview

Stakeholder Engagement

The Town engaged the following stakeholder groups throughout the project:

- The Old School House Arts Centre
- Naked Naturals
- Town of Qualicum Beach Staff
- Quality Foods

The purpose of the stakeholder group was to provide detailed insight in relation to potential programming, design, and maintenance of the site, as well as knowledge of the community's history, concerns, and needs. Stakeholder input helped to frame the questions posed during the broader public engagement process.

Pop-Up

The Pop-Up was the first public event for the Qualicum Beach Bus Garage Project. The Pop-Up took place on September 23rd at the Qualicum Beach Farmers' Market. The goals of the Pop-Up were to introduce the project to the community; promote the public survey; and encourage the public to become involved in the process. Success = welcoming part of town, green space. creative space -- community art. benches, places for people to sit and have a coffee. important to keep this vision of 'heart of the town' will help guide the nitty-gritty necessary things like parking.

make sure that site engages the rest of the town. how to create flow. easy to isolate the program here from rest of uptown. make sure that everything merges with views -mountain views.



"Many visitors are visiting the entire downtown."

bike parking. can encourage more people to come by bike



playground! hoping to attract more young families

often a dropoff point. lots of parking. green space, social spaces. public art throughout the plaza! love the plaza idea.



Photos from the Pop-up Engagement event; sticky notes from Stakeholder Meeting 1.

CONSULTATION SUMMARY

Phase 1 Overview

Public Survey

A public survey was open to the public from September 22nd to October 20th, 2023. The survey was available online via the Town's project website (<u>qualicumbeach.</u> <u>com/busrevive</u>) and in hard copy at Town Hall. The goals of the survey were to gain insight into current uses of the site; invite input on mobility and transportation concerns in relation to the site; and to gather feedback on programming and amenity options.

Youth Engagement

Youth engagement was initiated as a distinct process to address the low participation of youth in most municipal planning processes. Town of Qualicum Beach staff visited Kwalikum Secondary School's leadership class on November 2, 2023 to lead a discussion with students around the question "What do you want to see happen at the Bus Garage site?" Students also completed hard copies of the public survey.

PARK/HANG OUT PLACE COMMUNAL AREA / EVENTS WALKING / RUNNING TRACK COMMUNITY GARDEN GARDENS THE PARK LOCAL FOOD POND IN PLANT STORE TRUCKS SATURDAY MARKETS MOVIE THEATRE (DRIVE-IN) Juice BAR DollAR STORE (LARGER) TREES THRIFT STORE VAPING BIGGER BOOK STORE OPEN MARKET SPACE 1 HANG OUT PLACES BLOCK BUSTER OUTDOOR BOOSTER JUICE PERFORMANCE SPACE TIM HORTONS ARCADE STAGE/AUDOTORIUM/Multi-purpose TREES / PICNIC TABLES / SHELTER / ENERGY FNTRF BOWLING ALLEY MORE PARKING FOR VENDORS /LOADING (MARKETSPACE)

Notes taken by Town of Qualicum Beach staff during the youth engagement session.

Public Survey 1 Local Insights



CONSULTATION SUMMARY

Phase 2 Overview

Phase Two Engagement, held from December 2023 to February 2024, involved a Town staff and stakeholder meeting, a public survey, and an inperson public workshop.

The goals of Phase Two Engagement were to share what was learned during Phase One Engagement, demonstrate how that feedback was incorporated into the preliminary design options, and to gather public input on which plaza option and parkade layout is preferred. Public input gathered throughout Phase Two was incorporated into the final concept design.

Stakeholder Meeting 2

- The second Town Staff and Stakeholder Meeting was held virtually on December 15th, 2023.
- Following an overview of the two preliminary plaza concept design options, participants were asked to respond to a series of questions:
 - Which option do you think is a better fit, and why?
 - Are there any programming elements that you would like to see added to the Bus Garage Plan? Are there programming elements or adjacencies that you see that are a poor fit?
 - Is there anything else you'd like us to know?

Public Survey

A survey was open to the public from January 16th to February 14th, 2024. A total of 263 responses were received. The survey was accessible online at <u>www.qualicumbeach.com/busrevive</u>. Hard copies were also available at Town Hall.

The goals of the survey were to solicit public input on the two draft conceptual designs for the Bus Garage Site, focused on amenities and activities in the public plaza. The survey also collected input on two underground parkade options. Please refer to Appendix C to review the preliminary plaza and parkade options.

Public Workshop

An in-person public workshop was held at the Qualicum Beach Civic Centre on February 12th, 2024 from 7:00 to 9:00pm. The purpose of the Public Workshop was to summarize the feedback received during Phase One Engagement, to provide preliminary mobility study findings, and to share the two preliminary plaza concept design options along with two underground parkade options.

Participants were asked to weigh in on which parkade option was preferred; to share additional feedback on proposed programming within the plaza; and to comment on which plaza concept design option was preferred.



Photos from February 12th Public Workshop

The Arts Plaza

The Arts Plaza was one of two concept design options presented to the community during Phase 2 Engagement. Feedback gathered during Phase 2 Engagement was incorporated into the preliminary Arts Plaza option to produce the final Conceptual Design. Please refer to Appendix C for more detail on the preliminary concept design options. VIEW CONE FOR PERSPECTIVE SKETCH

TOSH



Annotated Arts Plaza perspective sketch

Design Rationale

The formal entry to the site is at the corner of Memorial Avenue and Fern Road via the 'Gateway Feature,' a landmark area with seating and a public art feature visible to passers by and signaling arrival to the Uptown neighbourhood.

Moving west through the site from the Gateway Feature, visitors are greeted by a tree-buffered open lawn space. The Old School House is visible across the plaza, anchoring the site. Towards the heart of the site are movable tables and seating with lighting, adjoining an open area of flexible plaza space. This area can accommodate market stalls, pop-up events, and other community gatherings.

To the north is the Food Truck Zone adjacent to a cozy seating and dining area with moveable furniture, feature paving, and warmly lit by string lights. A generous pedestrian mews traverses the site from north to south between Fern Road and Fourth Avenue at Memorial Avenue. Playable elements and flexible seating are distributed throughout this wide corridor. Moving towards The Old School House, trees and plantings frame an outdoor spill-out space serving TOSH, including a flexible timber platform that can be used for seating, events, and performances.

Vehicle access to the site is via Fourth Avenue and a driveway immediately west of TOSH. Surface parking is arranged south of TOSH and adjoins surface parking for proposed Naked Naturals development. An underground parkade entry is located within the surface parking area to the south of the public plaza.

For a detailed description of parking recommendations, please refer to Appendix A.



Arts Plaza perspective sketch

Program Diagram

Program refers to the site components that support and facilitate activities and events to occur within the plaza. The diagram below describes the highlevel function of different parts of the plaza. Many areas are designed with flexibility in mind, rather than limited specific uses. Flexible program elements can be adjusted to accommodate a variety of programs and diverse needs. These spaces can be repurposed or modified to meet changing requirements, fostering resilience and longevity within the urban fabric.



Program

Primary Program Elements



Secondary Program Elements



Program Snapshot

Every Day Usage - Meeting for Coffee / Food Trucks / Soaking up the Sun



Program

Program Snapshot

Market Stalls - Farmers' Markets / Seasonal Markets



Program Snapshot

Music and Theater Space - Theater / Buskers / Mini Concerts in the Plaza



Annotated Site Plan



Qualicum Beach Bus Garage | Concept Design and Report | May 1, 2024

Annotated Site Plan



- **2** Seating platform
- **3** Flexible seating and dining area
- **4** Catenary lighting

- **6** Gateway feature
- **7** Flexible plaza
- 8 Pedestrian mews
- **9** Food trucks **D** Flexible lawn **(1)** Kinetic public art 12 Stair / elevator

Parking - Above Ground

For a more detailed account of parking recommendations, please refer to Appendix A.



PROPOSED SIDEWALK

10

n

20 m

PROPOSED SIDEWALK

PROPOSED CROSSWALK

Parking - Below Ground

For a more detailed account of parking recommendations, please refer to Appendix A.

LEGEND	
	PROPOSED NAKED NATURALS DEVELOPMENT PROPERTY LINE
<u> </u>	BUS GARAGE PROPERTY LINE
	OUTLINE OF SURFACE PARKING ABOVE
-	PARKADE ENTRANCE
-	NAKED NATURALS UNDERGROUND PARAKDE ENTRANCE
-	FUTURE TOWN UNDERGROUND PARKADE ENTRANCE



COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PUBLIC PLAZA

On-Slab Versus On-Grade

The choice between placing the Qualicum Beach Bus Garage Plaza on-slab (over a parkade structure) or on-grade (no parking structure underneath) brings both economic and environmental considerations. Key factors to consider in the decision of placing a plaza on-slab or on-grade are outlined below:

Handling Rainwater:

Plazas constructed over parking structures require more elaborate drainage systems to manage rainfall as they lack capacity for passive rainwater infiltration. In contrast, on-grade plazas allow rainfall to soak into the soil, contributing to groundwater replenishment and reducing the need for more costly drainage solutions.

Maintenance Requirements:

On-slab plazas require a waterproof membrane to protect the parking structure from water damage. Membrane inspections, repairs, and eventual replacements result in higher maintenance costs compared to on-grade plazas. Manufactures suggest their products can last for up to 50 years. However, insurers typically limit warranties to around 20 to 30 years, depending on the significance of the building. The lifespan of a plaza can be restricted by the warranty period of the waterproof membrane, and the plaza planting would need to be demolished and reinstalled at this point.

On-grade plazas have lower maintenance needs, since there is no parking structure to upkeep.

Trees, Tree Canopies and landscape planting:

Soil depth is often limited on-slab due to concerns of weight on the underlying parking structure. This negatively impacts the spread of tree roots which can lead to stunted growth, a shorter life span, and a higher irrigation demand. Landscaping including shrubs, flowers and often lawn, need to be planted in raised planters in order to get adequate soil depth and drainage. As trees reach their mature height, this coincides with the need to replace the parking structure membrane, which results in loss of the mature tree canopies and their extensive ecological and social benefits. For example, the lack of mature tree canopies reduces the ability of the plaza to mitigate the urban heat island effect and adapt to climate change.

Construction Costs:

Typically, on-slab plazas have a higher construction cost due to complexities of building a plaza over a parking structure, more elaborate drainage systems, and raised concrete planter walls. Recent costing on similar projects have indicated a 3-5x factor construction cost for a comparable project on slab vs on grade. The Opinion of Probable Cost provide on the following page is for an on-slab condition.

Parking Needs and Future Planning:

Locating the parking ramp to serve the future development needs of Qualicum Commons could enhance the economic viability of the future development.

An on-slab plaza provides valuable parking infrastructure in high-demand parking areas and maximizes land use efficiency by providing public space and parking within one area. The reality is that until mobility trends change significantly, parking will be required – either under the plaza in a parkade, or on raw land somewhere else in the immediate area.

If community trends indicate a sustained need for parking and space is limited, an on-slab plaza could be an ideal option. However, consideration of the factors listed above should be given, especially with respect to the number of underground stalls that are possible to obtain relative to overall project cost and maintenance requirements. Future planning should balance current parking demand with the community's evolving needs.

OPINION OF PROBABLE COST

Public Plaza

Item	Unit	Quantity	ļ	Unit Cost	Total
HARDSCAPE			-		
Concrete Unit Pavers - Pedestrian Grade	SM	1322	\$	270.00	\$ 356,940.00
Concrete Unit Pavers - Vehicular Grade	SM	78	\$	390.00	\$ 30,420.00
Decking	SM	171	\$	1,150.00	\$ 196,650.00
CIP Curb, Around Lawn	LM	59	\$	230.00	\$ 13,570.00
CIP Planter Walls, Stone Veneer, 0.75m tall	LUMP	1	\$	285,000.00	\$ 285,000.00
CIP Concrete Furnishing Pads	SM	34	\$	190.00	\$ 6,460.00
Stairs	LUMP	1	\$	3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00
SITE FURNISHINGS					
Lounge Chairs	EA	12	\$	1,400.00	\$ 16,800.00
Bike Racks	EA	6	\$	900.00	\$ 5,400.00
Standard Benches	EA	8	\$	3,500.00	\$ 28,000.00
Cafe Tables and Chairs	EA	6	\$	5,000.00	\$ 30,000.00
Waste Receptacles	EA	4	\$	2,600.00	\$ 10,400.00
Raised Timber Stage, 0.5m ht	LUMP	1	\$	40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
Gateway Feature	LUMP	1	\$	60,000.00	\$ 60,000.00
SOFTSCAPE			_		
Deciduous trees, 10cm cal.	EA	13	\$	1,350.00	\$ 17,550.00
Shrub Planting, Assume No.2 pot size	SM	330	\$	100.00	\$ 33,000.00
Growing Medium	СМ	325	\$	95.00	\$ 30,875.00
Sod Lawn	SM	183	\$	35.00	\$ 6,405.00
Landscape Lighting - Catenary Lighting	LUMP	1	\$	50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00
Landscape Lighting - Standard Poles	EA	8	\$	20,000.00	\$ 160,000.00
Irrigation	SM	330	\$	50.00	\$ 16,500.00

PUBLIC PLAZA SUBTOTAL \$ 1,396,970.00

40% CONTINGENCY \$ 558,788.00

PUBLIC PLAZA TOTAL \$ 1,955,758.00

Unit Definitions: LM = Linear Metre SM = Square Metre CM = Cubic Metre EA = Each LS = Lump Sum

OPINION OF PROBABLE COST

Surface Parking

Item	Unit	Quantity	ι	Jnit Cost	Total
HARDSCAPE					
Surface Parking Stalls	EA	64	\$	3,000.00	\$ 192,000.00
CIP Concrete Walkway- Pedestrian Grade	SM	163	\$	190.00	\$ 30,970.00
Asphalt Drive Aisle	SM	1770	\$	90.00	\$ 159,300.00
CIP Concrete Curb	LM	450	\$	230.00	\$ 103,500.00
SOFTSCAPE					
Deciduous trees, 10cm cal.	EA	14	\$	1,350.00	\$ 18,900.00
Growing Medium	СМ	370	\$	85.00	\$ 31,450.00
Shrub Planting, Assume No.2 pot size	SM	412	\$	100.00	\$ 41,200.00
Parking Lot Lighting	Excluded				
Irrigation - Drip	SM	412	\$	50.00	\$ 20,600.00
	TOWN S	URFACE PARKING	LOT	SUBTOTAL	\$ 597,920.00

40% CONTINGENCY \$ 239,168.00

TOWN SURFACE PARKING LOT TOTAL \$ 837,088.00

NOTES:

1. This cost estimate is not intended to be a guaranteed maximum figure but rather represents an opinion of probable cost. The

2. Estimates shall have a contingency of 40%-50%, as per industry standard.

EXCLUSIONS:

1. Parking lot lighting, pedestrian crossing lights, all offsite works, and existing landscape around TOSH.

2. All site preparation, including but not limited to, excavation, demolition, subsurface drainage, tree protection, bulk regrading and reinforcement, , etc.

3. All electrical upgrades and civil improvements and connections, including but not limited to, site drainage, irrigation connection, electrical kiosks, transformers, etc.

4. All soft costs, including but not limited to, consultant fees, general conditions, contractor mark-ups, permits, rentals, etc.

5. All parkade costs, including but not limited to, waterproofing, slab build-up, connection of hardscape elements to slab, etc.
6. All soft costs (consultant fees, etc).

Underground Parkade

An underground parkade, excluding ramp, currently costs between \$50,000 and \$75,000 per stall.

A parkade with 33 stalls would total between \$1,650,000 to \$2,475,000.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Transportation

Please refer to Appendix A to read the full Transportation Mobility Study.

Mitigation recommendations for the Memorial Avenue & First Avenue intersection consist of pedestrian realm improvements.

The traffic model indicates that the additional traffic generated by the development is not anticipated to have a significant impact on local area traffic operations. All traffic movements remain within typical capacity thresholds. The difference in operations between the existing and postdevelopment scenarios is considered negligible.

Parking

If, conservatively, the peak parking demand at the existing site is assumed to be 100%, a 23-vehicle parking demand (depending on the site plan option) will be displaced off-site.

Considering all presented factors and estimates, Bunt concludes that the surrounding area with approximately 430 on-street and parking lot spaces will be able to absorb the displaced demand for all three options with varying degrees of supportive measures. Supportive measures that may reduce the amount of displaced parking demand or redistribute the parking demand to less well-used locations in the study area, include:

- The Town identified areas of underutilized inblock parking north and south of 2nd Avenue and is considering adding wayfinding signage to direct motorists towards these potential parking options.
- Mark on-street parking spaces with paint markings.
- Monitor the parking demand of the site and the underground parking spaces once the proposed development is fully occupied. If there is excess parking demand, some of the underground parking spaces may be reassigned to unrestricted public parking.

Transportation Demand Management

Recommended study area transportation improvements for consideration include:

- Pedestrian related improvements near the Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/ Fir Street and Memorial Avenue & Village Way/ Veterans Way intersections.
- A pedestrian scramble phase at the signalized Fern Road & Memorial Avenue intersections,
- A second pedestrian crossing of Fern Road adjacent to TOSH building.
- A sidewalk along Fourth Avenue's south edge, across from the development site, between Memorial Avenue and lane.
- Introduction of pedestrian amenities throughout downtown area such as benches and water fountains.
- Bicycle parking integrated into plaza design.
- Providing long-term bicycle spaces (including larger sized cargo spaces) for building residents and at minimum a 6-space short-term bicycle rack for grocery store and residential visitors.



APPENDIX A - Transportation Mobility Study

Bus Garage Qualicum Beach

heart of the community \bigcirc

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH



PWL partnership



APPENDIX B - Phase 1 Engagement Memo

Bus Garage Qualicum Beach

heart of the community \bigcirc

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH





Page 136



APPENDIX C - Phase 2 Engagement Memo

Bus Garage Qualicum Beach

heart of the community \bigcirc

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH



PWL partnership



Qualicum Bus Garage Site Transportation Mobility Study

Version 4

Prepared for Town of Qualicum Beach

Date June 6, 2024

Project No. 08-23-0012

Bunt & Associates acknowledges and respects the Traditional Territories upon which our work spans, and from which we benefit. We are grateful for the unique cultures and histories of Indigenous Peoples that enrich our understanding and connection to the lands we call home. We honour learning, listening, and truth in our journey to reconciliation.

bunt 🗞 associates

June 6, 2024 08-23-0012

Luke Sales Director of Planning and Community Development Town of Qualicum Beach Email: ISales@qualicumbeach.com

Dear Luke:

Re: Qualicum Beach Bus Garage Mobility Study Version 4

Please find attached our Mobility Report for the proposed redevelopment of the Qualicum Beach Bus Garage site. This study reviews existing and future (with and without proposed development) traffic operations in the adjacent area and provides potential mitigation options to assist Qualicum Beach increase the viability of various forms of transportation in Qualicum's downtown area.

We trust this study will be helpful in the development rezoning application. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Yours truly, Bunt & Associates

Jason Potter, M.Sc., PTP Associate | Senior Transportation Planner

Kieran Quan, EIT Transportation Analyst

CORPORATE AUTHORIZATION

Prepared By:	Kieran Quan, EIT	Bunt & Associates Engineering Lt			
	Transportation Analyst	530-645 Fort Street			
		Victoria, BC V	/8W 1G2		
		Canada			
Reviewed By:	Jason Potter, PTP	Telephone:	+1 250 592-6122		
	Senior Transportation Planner				
		Data			
		Date:	June 6, 2024		
		Project No.	08-23-0012		
Approved By:	Yulia Liem, P.Eng, PTOE	Version 4			
	Principal				

Written with respect and gratitude for the Traditional Territories upon which we work and live.

This document was prepared by Bunt & Associates for the benefit of the Client to whom it is addressed. The copyright and ownership of the report rests with Bunt & Associates. The information and data in the report reflects Bunt & Associates' best professional judgment in light of the knowledge and information available to Bunt & Associates at the time of preparation. Except as required by law, this report and the information and data contained are to be treated as confidential and may be used and relied upon only by the client, its officers and employees. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions made based on it, are the responsibilities of such third parties. Bunt & Associates a ccepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

Qualicum Bus Garage Site | Transportation Mobility Study | Version 4 | June 2024 O:\Dept BC\Projects\2023\08-23-0012 Qualicum Bus Garage Mobility Study\5.0 Deliverables\5.1 Draft Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXE	CUTI	VE SUMMARY	I
1.	INTR	ODUCTION	. 1
	1.1	Study Purpose & Objectives	1
	1.2	Study Area	2
	1.3	Organization of Report	4
	1.4	Proposed Development	. 4
2.	EXIS	FING CONDITIONS	.6
	2.1	Site Location & Land Use	6
	2.2	Existing Transportation Network	6
		2.2.1 Road Network	. 6
		2.2.2 Transit Network	. 6
		2.2.3 Cycling & Pedestrian Networks	. 6
	2.3	Current Relevant Policies & Plans	. 9
		2.3.1 Community Transportation Plan	. 9
	2.4	Existing Traffic Volumes	10
		2.4.1 Traffic Data Collection Program	10
		2.4.2 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	10
	2.5	Existing Operations	12
		2.5.1 Performance Thresholds	12
		2.5.2 Existing Conditions Analysis Assumptions	13
		2.5.3 Existing Operational Analysis Results	14
3.	FUTU	JRE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	16
	3.1	Traffic Forecasts	16
		3.1.1 Background Traffic Forecasts	16
		3.1.2 Site Traffic	16
		3.1.3 Total Traffic	17
	3.2	Total Traffic Operations	20
		3.2.1 Total Condition Analysis Assumptions	20
		3.2.2 Total Traffic Operations	21
		3.2.3 Consideration of Converting 2 nd Avenue to One-Way Configuration	22
		3.2.4 Summary of Traffic Impacts & Recommended Mitigations	23
4.	PAR	(ING	25
	4.1	Bylaw Review	27

Qualicum Bus Garage Site | Transportation Mobility Study | Version 4 | June 2024 O:\Dept BC\Projects\2023\08-23-0012 Qualicum Bus Garage Mobility Study\5.0 Deliverables\5.1 Draft Report

		4.1.1	Small Car Parking Supply	27
		4.1.2	Bicycle Parking Supply	27
		4.1.3	Loading Space Supply	
		4.1.4	Bylaw Review Summary	
	4.2	Public F	Parking Supply Review	
		4.2.1	Existing Site Parking Demand	
		4.2.2	Local Area Parking Supply	29
		4.2.3	Anticipated Future Parking Behaviour	
	4.3	Parking	Management	
		4.3.1	Site Plan Options	32
		4.3.2	Wayfinding Signage	32
		4.3.3	On-Street Parking Paint Markings	32
		4.3.4	Public Parking Visibility	33
		4.3.5	Shared-Use Underground Parking	33
	4.4	Parking	Conclusions & Recommendations	
		4.4.1	Parking Conclusions	34
		4.4.2	Parking Recommendations	34
5.	ACT	IVE MC	DES IMPROVEMENTS	
	5.1	Interse	ction Upgrades	35
		5.1.1	Memorial Avenue & Railway Street/Veterans Way	35
		5.1.2	Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street	
	5.2	Additio	nal Active Mode Measures	
		5.2.1	Mid-Block Pedestrian Crossings	
		5.2.2	Pedestrian Scramble Phase at Fern Road & Memorial Avenue Intersection	
		5.2.3	Wayfinding Signs	40
		5.2.4	Water Stations	41
		5.2.5	Bike Racks	42
		5.2.6	Benches	42
6.	SWE	PT PAT	H ANALYSIS	43
7.	CON		ONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	
	7.1	Conclu	sions	
	7.2	Recom	nendations	
AP	PEND		RAFFIC DATA	
י ח ۸				
AP		ΙΛΟΟΥ		

APPENDIX C SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1.1: Site Location & Study Area	
Exhibit 1.2: Site Plan	5
Exhibit 2.1: Transportation Context	
Exhibit 2.2: Existing Peak Hour Vehicle Traffic Volumes	
Exhibit 3.1: Site Traffic Forecasts	
Exhibit 3.2: Total Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	
Exhibit 4.1: Estimated Local Area Parking Supply	
Exhibit 5.1: Potential Active Mode Upgrades	

FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Community Transportation Plan: Proposed Dollymount Trail Extension	9
Figure 4.1: Preferred Parking Option	
Figure 5.1: Paint Markings at an Intersection with Pedestrian Scramble Signal Phase	
Figure 5.2: Wayfinding Sign Example	
Figure 5.3: Water Station Example	
Figure 5.4: Bike Rack Examples: "Post-and-Ring" (Left) and "Sheffield" (Right)	

TABLES

Table 1.1: Proposed Land Uses	4
Table 2.1: Intersection Level of Service Thresholds	12
Table 2.2: Existing Traffic Operations	14
Table 3.1: Peak Hour Vehicle Trip Rates	16
Table 3.2: Estimated Peak Hour Site Vehicle Trips	17
Table 3.3: Existing vs. Total (with Development) Vehicle Operations	21
Table 4.1: Vehicle Parking Supply Requirement & Provision (Village Neighbourhood)	27
Table 5.1: Total (with Development) Vehicle Operations – No Scramble vs. Pedestrian Scramble	40
Table 5.1: Total (with Development) Vehicle Operations – No Scramble vs. Pedestrian Scramble	40

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Study Purpose & Objectives

Bunt & Associates Engineering Ltd. (Bunt) has prepared a Transportation Mobility Study to meet the following objectives:

- Summarize existing transportation conditions in the study area.
- Summarize relevant Town policies and plans.
- Estimate the proposed development's impact on study area traffic operations and review recommended mitigation.
- Review vehicle parking impacts of the proposed development and parking supply options.
- Provide a swept path analysis to confirm functionality of vehicle access, circulation, parking and loading.
- Recommend improvements to the study area multi-modal transportation network with the objective of improving the active transport mode share.

Development

The proposed development would replace the existing 130 parking space surface lot with a pedestrian plaza adjacent to a 1,748 m² health food store ('Naked Natural Foods'), and a 506 m² restaurant, with 9 residential units above.

The preferred plaza and parking option results in a total of 164 parking spaces (64 for Naked Natural building and 100 for public parking).

Traffic

- The Synchro model traffic analysis indicates existing traffic conditions at study area intersections operate within typical threshold criteria in regard to peak period delays and volume to capacity ratios.
- The most pressurized study area traffic movement is the westbound First Avenue to northbound Memorial Avenue left turn movement. Laning and traffic control mitigation options are limited due to the close proximity of the rail line, north of the intersection, which does not allow vehicles to stop north of the intersection. As this traffic movement is shown to operate within typically acceptable thresholds, no traffic related mitigation is recommended, rather mitigation recommendations for this intersection pertain to pedestrian realm improvement considerations.
- The proposed development is anticipated to generate approximately 220 vehicle trips (total in and out) during its peak hour period when using ITE trip generation rates. These volumes were used in the traffic analysis however it is noted that actual site trips in Qualicum Beach are anticipated to

i.
be significantly lower due to its more dispersed peak hour and the applied grocery store rates likely being higher than anticipated to be generated by the more speciality Naked Natural store.

• The traffic model indicates that the additional traffic generated by the development is not anticipated to have a significant impact on local area traffic operations. All traffic movement remain within capacity thresholds with little difference between the existing and post-development scenarios.

Parking

- The proposed development will meet or exceed the vehicle, bicycle, and loading space supply requirements outlined in the bylaw.
- If, conservatively, the peak parking demand at the existing site is assumed to be 100%, an approximate 23 vehicle parking demand (depending on the site plan option) will be displaced off-site.
- Visitors arriving to the town from Highway 19 on Memorial Avenue may be more likely to bypass the site and seek parking in other areas as the proposed building will obscure some of the proposed surface parking. This may redistribute parking demand to less well-used parking in the study area.
- Bunt concludes that the surrounding area with its approximate 430 surface lot and on-street parking supply will be able to absorb the displaced demand for all three options with varying degrees of supportive measures. Supportive measures that may reduce the amount of displaced parking demand or redistribute the parking demand to less well-used locations in the study area, include:
 - The Town identify areas of underutilized in-block parking north and south of 2nd Avenue and considering adding wayfinding signage to direct motorists towards these potential parking options.
 - Mark on-street parking spaces with paint markings.
 - Monitor the parking demand of the site and the underground parking spaces once the proposed development is fully occupied. If there is excess parking demand, some of the underground parking spaces may be reassigned to unrestricted public parking.

Swept Path Analysis

The following site design updates are recommended based on the swept path analysis of the proposed Option 3 site plan:

- Provide a convex mirror on the corner of the underground parking access ramp to provide a sight line around the 90-degree corner and warn drivers to yield to oncoming vehicles.
- Remove the landscaping strip at the southeast corner of the site to accommodate inbound vehicle paths for WB-20 trucks.

Transportation Demand Management

Recommended study area transportation improvements for consideration include:

- Pedestrian related improvements near the Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street and Memorial Avenue & Railway Street/Veterans Way intersections.
- A pedestrian scramble phase at the signalized Fern Road & Memorial Avenue intersections,
- A second pedestrian crossing of Fern Road adjacent to TOSH building.
- A sidewalk along Fourth Avenue's south edge, across from the development site, between Memorial Avenue and lane.
- Introduction of pedestrian amenities throughout downtown area such as benches and water fountains.
- Bicycle parking integrated into plaza design.
- Provision of long-term bicycle spaces (including larger sized cargo spaces) for building residents and at minimum a 6-space short-term bicycle rack for grocery store and residential visitors.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Study Purpose & Objectives

PWL Partnership Landscape Architects, on behalf of the Town of Qualicum Beach, is seeking a transportation study for the proposed mixed-use grocery store/restaurant/residential development on the 'Qualicum Bus Garage' site in Qualicum Beach, BC. The 'Qualicum Bus Garage' site (the 'site') is currently an L-shaped parking lot on the southwest corner of Memorial Avenue & Fern Road; no other land uses exist on the site except for a heritage schoolhouse building (The Old School House / TOSH) which will be maintained.

The proposed development consists of a 1,748 m² health food store ('Naked Natural Foods'), a 506 m² restaurant, 4 one-bedroom units, and 5 two-bedroom units. Vehicle access is proposed via the existing access with Fern Road on the west side of the schoolhouse and the existing access with Fourth Avenue at the south edge of the site. The existing access with Fern Road on the east side of the schoolhouse is proposed to be removed.

There are currently three potential options for the proposed site plan. These options vary in their parking configuration and supply. All other aspects of the proposed development, including vehicles access and land use, are unchanged between the three options.

The developer and the Town of Qualicum Beach (the 'Town') are seeking a transportation mobility study with the following objectives:

- Summarize the existing and future land use, zoning, and transportation network connectivity of the proposed site;
- Summarize relevant Town policies and plans in the local area and their potential effect on transportation-related elements in the study area;
- Estimate the development's impact on traffic performance and provide recommended mitigations (if required);
- Review vehicle parking impacts of the proposed development;
- Provide a swept path analysis using AutoTURN software to confirm functionality of vehicle access, circulation, parking and loading for all three site plan options; and,
- Recommend improvements to the study area multi-modal network with the objective of improving the active mode split and the local pedestrian and cycling connections.

It should be noted that this study does not address the proposed parking supply, parking variance below the Town bylaw requirements, or reduction in public parking from the Downtown area. However, Bunt will undertake a separate study, to be completed at a later date, to address these items.

1

1.2 Study Area

The study area includes the following intersections:

- Memorial Avenue & Railway Street/Veterans Way
- Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street
- Memorial Avenue & Second Avenue
- Memorial Avenue & Fern Road
- Memorial Avenue & Fourth Avenue
- Fern Road & West School Access
- Fern Road & Quality Foods Access
- Fern Road & East School Access
- Fourth Avenue & South Site Access

This study will review existing and total (existing + proposed development) traffic operations at the study intersections as well as provide a high-level review of pedestrian and/or cyclist improvement opportunities at the study intersections.

Exhibit 1.1 illustrates the site location and study area.



Exhibit 1.1 Site Location & Study Area



08-23-0012

Qualicum Mobility April 2024 & a Page 149

1.3 Organization of Report

Section 1 introduces the proposed development, study objectives, and study area.

Section 2 provides background information on the existing conditions of the site and study area in terms of its land use & zoning, transportation connectivity, relevant policies and plans, and traffic operations.

Section 3 summarizes the traffic operations analysis for the estimated total (with development) traffic scenario and provides recommended mitigations, if required.

Section 4 summarizes the Town parking requirements for the development and provides an estimate of the appropriateness of both the proposed parking supply for the development and the proposed reduction to the public parking supply in the area.

Section 5 summarizes the swept path analysis completed in AutoTURN software which confirms functionality of vehicle access, circulation, parking, and loading on the proposed site.

Section 6 provides high-level active mode focused concepts of potential pedestrian and/or cyclist improvements in the study area.

Section 7 summarizes the conclusions and recommendations of the study.

1.4 Proposed Development

 Table 1.1 summarizes the proposed development land uses.

Table 1.1: Proposed Land Uses

LAND USE	DENSITY	UNITS
Health Food Store	1,748	Square Metres
Restaurant	506	Square Metres
1-bedroom unit	4	Dwelling Units
2-bedroom unit	5	Dwelling Units

Exhibit 1.2 illustrates the proposed site plan.



08-23-0012

Qualicum Mobility March 2024 Age 151

0:\Dept BC\Projects\2023\08-23-0012 Qualicum Bus Garage Mobility Study\5.0 Deliverables\5.1 Draft Report\Graphics

2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

2.1 Site Location & Land Use

The site is centrally located in the 'Village Neighbourhood' which is Qualicum Beach's main commercial area. The site is currently occupied by a public parking lot with approximately 130 spaces.

2.2 Existing Transportation Network

2.2.1 Road Network

The site is located on the southwest corner of the signalized Memorial Avenue & Fern Road intersection.

Memorial Avenue is an arterial road that serves as the gateway to/from Highway 19 and serves as the main route into the Town. Memorial Avenue features one lane in each travel direction and on-street parking on both sides.

Fern Road is an arterial road that serves as a main access route for large commercial buildings such as the Quality Foods grocery store. Fern Road features one lane in each travel direction and on-street parking on both sides.

The remaining study intersections are unsignalized, with two-way stop control. The remaining study area roads mainly serve as collector roads for the commercial area. Most of which feature on-street parking on both sides.

2.2.2 Transit Network

Three bus routes operate within the study area. These are the 91, 97, and 99. The 91 provides connections to the communities to the south such as Parksville, Nanoose, and Lantzville and operates with 30–60-minute service at peak times. The 97 is a loop that runs through the residential neighbourhoods of the town and operates with approximately 60-minute service at peak times. Finally, the 99 provides connections to the communities to the north of the town such as Dashwood, Qualicum Bay, Bowser, and Deep Bay and operates once in the morning and once in the afternoon in each travel direction.

2.2.3 Cycling & Pedestrian Networks

There is minimal dedicated cycling infrastructure in the study area. However, many of the local roads near the study area, such as the section of Fourth Avenue to the west of the site, are signed as cycling connections and are suitable for cyclists of moderate skill level.

The site is well-connected to the local pedestrian network. Most study area roads feature concrete sidewalks on both sides. The signalized Memorial Avenue & Fern Road intersection features a pedestrian crosswalk on all four legs. All the unsignalized intersections on Memorial Avenue in the study area feature at least one leg with a painted zebra pedestrian crossing. There is a painted zebra pedestrian crossing on Fern Road between the site and the Quality Foods grocery store; this crossing also features a pole-

mounted overhead pedestrian crossing sign for additional visibility. Finally, the Dollymount Multi-Use trail runs from east to west along the inactive Island Rail Corridor between Qualicum Road and the Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street intersection.

Exhibit 2.1 illustrates the transportation context as described in Sections 2.2.1 to 2.2.3 above.



Exhibit 2.1 **Transportation Context**

08-23-0012

Qualicum Mobility April 2024 Page 154 nt

Scassociates

D

2.3 Current Relevant Policies & Plans

2.3.1 Community Transportation Plan

The Town of Qualicum Beach *Community Transportation Plan* (formerly known as the *Age-Friendly Transportation Plan*) serves as a roadmap for upcoming pedestrian and cycling improvement projects. It should be noted that at the time of this study, the most recent version of the Community Transportation Plan was dated December 2019; however, there has recently been an update to the plan in 2024 This recent update is not anticipated to impact the findings and recommendations of this study. The two stated goals of the plan are to:

- Improve mobility by active transportation modes, and
- Improve safety for vulnerable road users.

The plan outlines a series of future projects that seek to achieve these goals. **Figure 2.1** illustrates one of the plan's projects relevant to the study area: an extension of the Dollymount multi-use path to run across the Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street intersection and continue onto First Avenue and Harlech Road.



Figure 2.1: Community Transportation Plan: Proposed Dollymount Trail Extension

January 8, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://qualicumbeach.civicweb.net/document/9569/

¹ Town of Qualicum Beach, "Age-Friendly Transportation Plan 2019 Update," Town of Qualicum Beach, Qualicum Beach, BC, Canada, 27 December 2019. Accessed:

2.4 Existing Traffic Volumes

2.4.1 Traffic Data Collection Program

The Town of Qualicum Beach indicated that the weekday peak hour of vehicle traffic in the town occurs between 13:00 and 15:00. Therefore, one hour of traffic data was collected at each study intersection during this period on weekdays (Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday) between November 16, 2023, and November 22, 2023.

Seasonal Adjustment

The traffic data collected in November 2023 was compared to previous traffic data collected in the summer to confirm if a seasonal adjustment factor would be required.

The Town supplied summer traffic volumes (from September 1st, 2012) at Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street. A 1.5% per year linear growth rate was applied to this September 2012 data to estimate the traffic volumes at the same intersection in September 2023 (this equates to 16.5% growth applied to the intersection volumes). A 1.5% per year linear growth rate is consistent with the standard growth rate applied by other reviewing agencies in the area, such as the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MoTI). After applying this growth rate, the estimated September 2023 volumes were found to be 1.9% higher, on average, than the collected November 2023 volumes.

Based on these high-level findings, the study area traffic volumes are estimated to be approximately 2% higher on average in the summer than in November. This difference in volume is minimal and is not anticipated to have a significant impact on traffic operations in the study area. Therefore, no seasonal adjustment factor was applied, and the traffic volumes and operations presented in this study are based on the collected November 2023 data. Furthermore, it is noted that analysis of the non-summer peak period is considered a reasonable original approach as it represents typical conditions and includes schools being in-class.

2.4.2 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

As a conservative measure, Bunt adjusted the traffic data in the study area by balancing the vehicle traffic data towards the higher volume of adjacent intersections. Bunt maintained existing turning movement distributions when balancing. **Exhibit 2.2** illustrates the existing peak hour traffic volumes in the study area, after volume balancing.



Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Qualicum Mobility April 2024



08-23-0012

2.5 Existing Operations

2.5.1 Performance Thresholds

The existing operations of study area intersections and access points were assessed using the methods outlined in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), using the Synchro 11 analysis software. The traffic operations were assessed using the performance measures of Level of Service (LOS) and volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio.

The LOS rating is based on average vehicle delay and ranges from "A" to "F" based on the quality of operation at the intersection. LOS "A" represents optimal, minimal delay conditions while a LOS "F" represents an over-capacity condition with considerable congestion and/or delay. Delay is calculated in seconds and is based on the average intersection delay per vehicle.

 Table 2.1 below summarizes the LOS thresholds for the six Levels of Service, for both signalized and unsignalized intersections.

LEVEL OF SERVICE	AVERAGE CONTROL DELAY PER VEHICLE (SECONDS)				
	SIGNALIZED	UNSIGNALIZED			
A	≤10	≤10			
В	>10 and ≤20	>10 and ≤15			
С	>20 and ≤35	>15 and ≤25			
D	>35 and ≤55	>25 and ≤35			
E	>55 and ≤80	>35 and ≤50			
F	>80	>50			

Table 2.1: Intersection Level of Service Thresholds

Source: Highway Capacity Manual

The volume to capacity (V/C) ratio of an intersection represents ratio between the demand volume and the available capacity. A V/C ratio less than 0.85 indicates that there is sufficient capacity to accommodate demands and generally represents reasonable traffic conditions in suburban settings. A V/C value between 0.85 and 0.95 indicates an intersection is approaching practical capacity; a V/C ratio over 0.95 indicates that traffic demands are close to exceeding the available capacity, resulting in saturated conditions. A V/C ratio over 1.0 indicates a very congested intersection where drivers may have to wait through several signal cycles. In downtown and Town Centre contexts, during peak demand periods, V/C ratios over 0.90 and even 1.0 are common.

The performance thresholds that were used to trigger consideration of roadway or traffic control improvements to support roadway or traffic control improvements employed in this study are listed below:

Signalized Intersections:

- Overall intersection Level of Service = LOS D or better;
- Overall intersection V/C ratio = 0.85 or less;

- Individual movement Level of Service = LOS E or better; and,
- Individual movement V/C ratio = 0.90 or less.

Unsignalized Intersections and Roundabouts:

• Individual movement Level of Service = LOS E or better, unless the volume is very low in which case LOS F is acceptable.

The performance reporting thresholds noted above have been used throughout this document and the detailed outputs are provided in **Appendix B**.

2.5.2 Existing Conditions Analysis Assumptions

Signal Timing

Signal timing for the signalized Memorial Avenue & Fern Road intersection was provided by the Town of Qualicum Beach and input into Synchro software.

Synchro Parameters

Default Synchro parameters were used, except:

- Observed heavy vehicle percentages were used for each traffic movement, except where it was less than 2%, in which case the heavy vehicle percentage was set to a minimum of 2%; and,
- Overall intersection Peak Hour Factor (PHF) was applied to each traffic movement.

2.5.3 Existing Operational Analysis Results

Table 2.2 summarizes the existing peak hour traffic operations at the study intersections.

Table 2.2: Existing	Traffic O	perations
---------------------	-----------	-----------

INTERSECTION /		EXISTING (PM)			
TRAFFIC CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS	V/C	95TH Q (M)	
	EBR	В	0.02	5	
Memorial Ave &	WBLTR	С	0.12	5	
Railway St/Veterans Way (unsignalized)	NBLTR	A	0.00	5	
(4.10.5.14.1204)	SBLTR	А	0.00	5	
	EBL	D	0.36	10	
Memorial Ave &	EBTR	В	0.21	5	
First Ave/Fir St	WBLTR	В	0.12	5	
(unsignalized)	NBLTR	А	0.04	5	
	SBLTR	А	0.01	5	
Memorial Ave &	EBLR	С	0.32	10	
Second Ave	NBTL	А	0.07	5	
(unsignalized)	SBTR	А	0.26	5	
	OVERALL	А	0.43	5	
Memorial Ave &	EBLTR	В	0.26	10	
Fern Rd	WBLTR	В	0.32	20	
(signalized)	NBLTR	A	0.37	35	
	SBLTR	Α	0.49	45	
	EBLTR	В	0.07	5	
Memorial Ave &	WBLTR	В	0.10	5	
(unsignalized)	NBLTR	Α	0.02	5	
	SBLTR	A	0.01	5	
West School Access &	EBTR	A	0.13	5	
Fern Road	WBTL	A	0.00	5	
(unsignalized)	NBLR	A	0.01	5	
Fern Road &	EBTL	A	0.04	5	
Quality Foods Access	WBTR	A	0.11	5	
(unsignalized)	SBLR	В	0.19	5	
East School Access &	EBTR	A	0.13	5	
Fern Road	WBTL	A	0.02	5	
(unsignalized)	NBLR	В	0.05	5	
South Site Access &	EBTL	A	0.00	5	
Fourth Ave	WBTR	A	0.02	5	
(unsignalized)	SBLR	A	0.04	5	

Qualicum Bus Garage Site | Transportation Mobility Study | Version 4 | June 2024 O:\Dept BC\Projects\2023\08-23-0012 Qualicum Bus Garage Mobility Study\5.0 Deliverables\5.1 Draft Report Page 160

As shown above, the Synchro analysis indicates there are no traffic movements in the study area that exceed performance thresholds in the existing condition.

3. FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

3.1 Traffic Forecasts

3.1.1 Background Traffic Forecasts

Background traffic is traffic that would be present on the road network if the site did not redevelop. Bunt did not forecast a background traffic scenario due to the anticipated low growth in local traffic volumes in the near term. Instead, the total (with development) traffic will be compared to the existing traffic condition in this section.

3.1.2 Site Traffic

Site traffic is the estimated future peak hour vehicle volumes in the study area with an origin/destination of the proposed development. The following subsections summarize the methods used to estimate site traffic.

Trip Generation

Future vehicle trips from the proposed development are layered onto the existing conditions and its existing site trips. This analysis therefore assumes that the existing volume of parking lot (including TOSH) generated trips will remain consistent into the future condition despite an anticipated reduction in parking spaces. This assumption is considered a conservative approach which can account for potential additional trips generated by the proposed public plaza area of the site.

Bunt estimated the vehicle trip generation of the proposed development using the rates provided in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition. **Tables 3.1** and **3.2** summarize the peak hour vehicle trip rates and estimated vehicle trips, respectively, for each land use. It should be noted that ITE does not provide trip rates for the specific peak hour of the study area (one hour between 13:00 and 15:00); therefore, Bunt applied the ITE trip rates for the PM peak hour between 16:00 and 18:00.

		PM PEAK HOUR (4 – 6 PM)			
LAND USE	UNITS	IN (%)	OUT (%)	TOTAL	
ITE 850 – Supermarket	1000 SF	50%	50%	8.95	
ITE 932 - High-Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant	1000 SF	61%	39%	9.05	
ITE 220 - Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)	Dwelling Units	63%	37%	0.51	

Table 3.1: Peak Hour Vehicle Trip Rates

	PM PEAK HOUR (4 - 6 PM)			
LAND USE	IN	OUT	TOTAL	
Health Food Store	84 84		168	
Restaurant	30	19	49	
Residential	3	2	5	
TOTAL	117	105	222	

Table 3.2: Estimated Peak Hour Site Vehicle Trips

Based on ITE rates, the proposed development is anticipated to generate approximately 220 (115 in, 105 out) vehicle trips in the PM peak hour. Substantially lower trip generation is anticipated from the site due to the following reasons:

- The rate for 'ITE 850 Supermarket' was considered the most appropriate rate available to represent the proposed health food store. However, based on the ITE description of this land use category, the data used to inform this rate was collected at large grocery stores (e.g., Walmart, Superstore, Save-on-Foods, etc.). The proposed health food store will offer specialty food items as opposed to weekly groceries and is therefore anticipated to see less frequent activity and a reduced trip rate than ITE 850.
- The restaurant is anticipated to be more of ancillary land use to the grocery store rather than the rate applied which insinuates an approximate full 50-seat restaurant (average 25 vehicles entering per peak hour applied to a 2-person vehicle occupancy).
- The peak hour of the study area (one hour between 13:00 15:00) is anticipated to be outside of the peak hour of the proposed restaurant.

Due to these reasons the actual increase in peak hour trip generation of the proposed building site is anticipated to be in the magnitude of 100 total two-way trips in a peak hour period. This is the volume of traffic that would be added onto the existing volumes that are generated by the current public parking on the site, that will continue to do so on proposed public parking on the site.

Trip Distribution & Assignment

Bunt distributed the estimated site traffic throughout the study area using a combination of existing traffic patterns and engineering judgement. **Exhibit 3.1** illustrates site traffic forecasts.

3.1.3 Total Traffic

Bunt estimated the total (with development) traffic volumes by adding the estimated site traffic to the existing traffic volumes. **Exhibit 3.2** illustrates the total peak hour traffic volumes in the study area.



Exhibit 3.1 Site Traffic Forecasts



08-23-0012

Qualicum Mobility April 2024 & a Page 164



Total Peak Hour Traffic Forecasts



08-23-0012

Qualicum Mobility April 2024 & a Page 165

3.2 Total Traffic Operations

3.2.1 Total Condition Analysis Assumptions

The signal timing and Synchro parameters applied to total condition are unchanged from the existing condition.

3.2.2 Total Traffic Operations

Table 3.3 compares the existing and total (with development) traffic operations in the study area.

INTERSECTION /		EXISTING			TOTAL (WITH SITE)		
TRAFFIC CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS	V/C	95TH Q (M)	LOS	V/C	95TH Q (M)
	EBR	В	0.02	5	С	0.02	5
Memorial Ave &	WBLTR	С	0.12	5	С	0.14	5
Railway St/Veterans Way (unsignalized)	NBLTR	А	0.00	5	А	0.00	5
(unsignalized)	SBLTR	А	0.00	5	А	0.00	5
	EBL	D	0.36	10	E	0.42	15
Memorial Ave &	EBTR	В	0.21	5	С	0.25	10
First Ave/Fir St	WBLTR	В	0.12	5	С	0.19	5
(unsignalized)	NBLTR	Α	0.04	5	А	0.05	5
	SBLTR	А	0.01	5	Α	0.01	5
Memorial Ave &	EBLR	С	0.32	10	D	0.39	15
Second Ave	NBTL	А	0.07	5	А	0.09	5
(unsignalized)	SBTR	А	0.26	5	А	0.30	5
	OVERALL	А	0.43	-	А	0.50	-
Memorial Ave &	EBLTR	В	0.26	10	В	0.33	15
Fern Rd	WBLTR	В	0.32	20	В	0.38	20
(signalized)	NBLTR	А	0.37	35	А	0.47	45
	SBLTR	А	0.49	45	А	0.57	55
	EBLTR	В	0.07	5	С	0.28	10
Memorial Ave &	WBLTR	В	0.10	5	В	0.11	5
(unsignalized)	NBLTR	А	0.02	5	А	0.03	5
	SBLTR	А	0.01	5	А	0.01	5
West School Access &	EBTR	А	0.13	5	А	0.15	5
Fern Road	WBTL	А	0.00	5	A	0.07	5
(unsignalized)	NBLR	А	0.01	5	В	0.14	5
Fern Road &	EBTL	А	0.04	5	A	0.04	5
Quality Foods Access	WBTR	А	0.11	5	A	0.16	5
(unsignalized)	SBLR	В	0.19	5	В	0.22	5
East School Access &	EBTR	Α	0.13	5	Α	0.17	5
Fern Road	WBTL	Α	0.02	5	Α	0.00	5
(unsignalized)	NBLR	В	0.05	5	A	0.05	5
South Site Access &	EBTL	А	0.00	5	A	0.00	5
Fourth Ave	WBTR	А	0.02	5	A	0.06	5
(unsignalized)	SBLR	А	0.04	5	A	0.10	5

Table 3.3: Existing vs. Total (with Development) Vehicle Operations

As shown, the estimated site traffic is not anticipated to cause any movement to operate above performance thresholds. All traffic movements operate well within capacity and queuing thresholds.

All traffic movements operate at LOS C or better except for the eastbound left turn at the Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street intersection, which operates at LOS D in the existing condition and at LOS E in the total condition. This is due to the high volume of north/south through traffic which may create difficulties for vehicles seeking a safe gap to turn left. However, the 95th percentile queues for this movement are minimal (approx. 2 vehicles in the existing condition and 3 vehicles in the total condition).

3.2.3 Consideration of Converting 2nd Avenue to One-Way Configuration

Bunt was asked to consider the opportunities and constraints related to the potential conversion of 2nd Avenue to a one-way configuration.

2nd Avenue currently operates with two-way travel with one travel lane in each direction with parallel curbside parking along both road edges as well as two areas of angled parking. In the 3 blocks from Memorial Avenue to Jones Street, 2nd Avenue has approximately 98 curbside parking spaces (15 angled and 83 parallel).

Conversion to a one-way condition would allow for significantly reduced road width from the current 7metre roadway to approximately 4-metres. This would allow for increased portions of angled parking.

Angled parking spaces have a typical depth (road to sidewalk) of 5.6m and a width of 4.5m. Parallel spaces have a typical width (road to sidewalk) of 2.5m and a length of 6.5m. With these measurements approximately parking supply can be increased by approximately 40% by converting areas of parallel to angled parking. In the context of 2nd Avenue this would equate to an approximate increase in parking spaces along the three-block section of 2nd Avenue from its current 98 spaces up to 135 spaces or an increase of approximately 35 spaces. Despite potentially reduced drive aisle width, pedestrian / sidewalk area would still need to be reduced in various locations along 2nd Avenue to allow width required for angled parking along both road edges.

Increase in parking supply is viewed as the main positive outcome of converting 2nd Avenue to one-way travel. Other positive impacts are shorter pedestrian crossing distances and reduced conflict points as pedestrians will only need to look one direction when crossing the roadway.

The described positive impacts are countered by the following factors:

- A one-way condition would reduce turning opportunities and put pressure on the surrounding minor street capacities resulting in potentially increased vehicle queues and delays the intersections.
- One-way streets tend to have higher vehicle operating speeds, this can also cause issues with angled parking vehicles backing out into the roadway.
- The angled parking can cause increased conflicts with cyclists due to reduced sightlines as well as the possibility of cyclists travelling counter to the one-way direction.

- One-way roads can be confusing for drivers, especially for non-residents and may increase travel distances for motorists which equates to higher levels of green house gas emissions.
- Converting to a one-way condition can lead to less direct access and reduced retail visibility for commercial development which is the prominent land use along 2nd Avenue.
- Converting to one-way travel is often controversial with the adjacent businesses due to potentially reduced access to their business.
- Costs of conversion to one-way are considerable.
- Parallel parking spaces have more favourable loading ability than angled parking as passengers can load/unload to a sidewalk rather than into an adjacent parking space.
- Further inputs from the Town indicate that the curb-to-curb width of 2nd Avenue (13m) is insufficient to accommodate the required width for one-way angled parking (15.2m) and as such the adjacent sidewalks would need to be reduced which would negatively impacting the pedestrian realm along 2nd Avenue.

Considering these factors, the positive outcomes of one-way conversion (increased parking spaces) are not considered to outweigh the negative impacts. If parking supply increases are desired it is recommended that the Town first look to better utilize parking supplies that currently exist behind many 2nd Avenue businesses through increase signage. If further parking is still desired, then it is recommended that the Town pursue opportunities for consolidated parking pools which allow motorists to find a parking space more easily with less travel distances.

3.2.4 Summary of Traffic Impacts & Recommended Mitigations

All traffic movements are within performance thresholds in both the existing and total scenario.

The Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street eastbound left turn is approaching the threshold for vehicle delay (LOS D in the existing condition and LOS E in the total condition). Bunt tested the potential mitigation of converting the intersection to a 4-way stop. This is indicated to successfully reduce the delays for the movement to LOS C in the total condition. However, this mitigation is not recommended for the following reasons:

- There is a rail crossing approximately 15 metres north of where a stop bar for the north approach would be located. A 4-way stop may introduce queues on the north leg of the intersection, which creates a risk that vehicles would queue on the rail line. It should be noted that the rail line is not currently active; in the future, should it be confirmed that the rail line will be permanently closed, the Town intends to consider improvements at the intersection. Timing potential improvements with this process is advisable to avoid costly rework.
- Although vehicle delays are approaching the threshold, the Synchro analysis indicates that there are no significant capacity or queuing issues for the movement (V/C = 0.42, 95th percentile queue length = 15m or approx. 3 vehicles).
- As noted in Section 3.1.2, the estimated site vehicle trips are anticipated to be conservative. As a result, the Synchro analysis may be overstating the delays for the movement.

Due to the proximity of the rail crossing and the anticipated minimal impact to traffic performance, Bunt does not recommend any traffic-specific mitigations in the study area. However, the performance of the intersection should be monitored if further development is introduced in the local area.

An alternative mitigation option is to augment pedestrian crossings at the intersection which will provide more gaps in Memorial Avenue traffic, this can allow for reduced delays from First Avenue as northbound traffic on Memorial Avenue is stopped to allow for the pedestrians to cross. This mitigation option is discussed further in Section 5.

4. PARKING

Three parking configurations were originally considered. All options had the same vehicle access points from the external road network. Each option includes 64 parking spaces (including 3 accessible) provided for the site land uses. In addition, depending on the site plan option, 73-118 parking spaces were provided for public parking. **Figure 4.1** illustrates the currently preferred option which has 107 public spaces, illustrating both surface and underground parking.

The preferred option has 64 spaces for the private development and 107 for public for a site total parking supply of 171 vehicle spaces.

Due to all options resulting in public parking reductions, Bunt reviewed the viability of proposed parking supplies. The parking analysis:

- Summarizes the Town of Qualicum Beach parking and loading supply requirements for the proposed development and compare them to the proposed supply;
- Estimates the existing public parking demand of the site;
- Estimates the existing public parking supply in the local area;
- Estimates feasibility of the local area to absorb the displaced public parking demand;
- Identifies the preferred site plan option from a parking supply perspective;
- Recommends potential parking demand management strategies for the local area; and,
- Summarizes conclusions & recommendations.



Figure 4.1: Preferred Parking Option

PARKADE OPTION 3: BELOW GROUND



4.1 Bylaw Review

Vehicle parking supply requirements are outlined in the Town *Land Use and Subdivision Bylaw No. 580, 1999*² ('the bylaw'). Based on the Town *Official Community Plan Bylaw 800, 2018* ('OCP'), the site is in the "Village Neighbourhood" zone. Land uses in the "Village Neighbourhood" zone are subject to Schedule 6B, Section 2 of the bylaw. **Table 4.1** summarizes the vehicle parking supply requirement and provision for the proposed development (Naked Naturals building). The public plaza has no parking bylaw requirements.

LAND USE	DENSITY	BYLAW RATE	BYLAW SUPPLY REQUIREMENT	PROVIDED	DIFFERENCE
Ground Floor Commercial (Naked Naturals)	1,748 m ²	1 / 40 m²	44		
Above-Ground Floor Commercial (Restaurant)	506 m²	1 / 60 m²	8	61	-
Multi-Dwelling Unit	9 units	1 / unit	9		
Accessible	66 spaces	1 for first 20, then 1 / 50	2	3	+1
		TOTAL	63	64	+1 ACCESSIBLE

Table 4.1: Vehicle Parking Supply Requirement & Provision (Village Neighbourhood)

The proposed development will exceed the parking supply requirement for its proposed land uses by 1 accessible parking space.

4.1.1 Small Car Parking Supply

Per the bylaw, up to 20% of the proposed parking spaces can be designed and designated as "small car" parking. The proposed small car parking supply is within the allowable maximum.

4.1.2 Bicycle Parking Supply

No bicycle parking spaces are required per the bylaw.

However, Bunt recommends that the Naked Naturals building consider bicycle parking for both residents (long-term) and visitors (short-term). Bunt recommends:

² Town of Qualicum Beach, "Land Use and Subdivision Bylaw No. 580, 1999", Town of Qualicum Beach, Qualicum Beach, BC, Canada, Consolidated January 2021. Accessed: January 23, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://qualicumbeach.civicweb.net/filepro/documents/5637/

- Two (2) horizontal bicycle parking spaces, including one (1) oversized cargo bicycle parking space, per residential unit, for a total of eighteen (18) spaces (including 9 cargo). The long-term bicycle parking for residents should be in a secure room within the development.
- A covered, 6-space bicycle rack at the main entrance to the building.

4.1.3 Loading Space Supply

For commercial land uses, one (1) loading space for each 2,000 m² of floor area or part thereof is required. The proposed development will provide two (2) loading spaces, which meets the requirement.

4.1.4 Bylaw Review Summary

The proposed Naked Naturals building will meet or exceed the vehicle, bicycle, and loading space supply requirements outlined in the bylaw. The public plaza has no parking requirements.

4.2 Public Parking Supply Review

The site is currently occupied by a public parking lot with approximately 130 spaces. The proposed development will provide 107 public parking spaces. This equates to a loss of 23 public parking spaces from the local area.

The following subsections review the existing parking demand at the site, and the parking supply in the surrounding area to determine the suitability of the proposed public parking supply reduction.

4.2.1 Existing Site Parking Demand

Parking Demand

Bunt counted the number of parked vehicles at the existing site parking lot on Thursday, January 11th, 2024, at 3:00 PM. The observed parking demand was 83 vehicles out of 130 spaces (64% parked).

It is noted that the peak parking demand is anticipated to be higher as data was collected at only one time and in January, which is assumed to be outside of the peak month. This said, the observed parking demand at the site compares well with the proposed public parking supply of 107 spaces.

At the same time, Bunt counted the number of parked vehicles at the Quality Foods and the Pharmasave. The observed parking demand was 71 vehicles out of 88 spaces (81% parked), and 14 vehicles out of 31 spaces (45% parked), respectively.

Parking Survey Data

Bunt circulated an intercept survey to drivers parked at the existing site in November 2023. The survey sought information on destination, origin, and duration. The following information was compiled from 55 responses:

- Destination: Quality Foods Grocery Store (62%), Employment (6%), Other (33%).
- Origin: Within Qualicum Beach (56%), Out of Town (44%).

• Duration: Less than 1 hour (80%), 2-4 hours (12%), over 4 hours (8%).

The surveys indicated that most of the parking demand of the existing site is generated by the Quality Foods Grocery Store. There is a near even number of local and out-of-town trips to the site. And the parking behaviour of the site is largely high turnover, with most visits lasting less than 1 hour.

It should be noted that most respondents who selected 'Other' as their destination specified that they were visiting the Pharmasave.

4.2.2 Local Area Parking Supply

Bunt completed a desktop review of the local area parking supply by estimating the number of spaces in the study area at key locations and on-street using aerial imagery and Google Street View. **Exhibit 4.1** summarizes the estimated parking supplies in the local area.



Exhibit 4.1 Estimated Local Area Parking Supply



Qualicum Bus Garage Site 08-23-0012 February 2024 Based on the desktop review, Bunt estimated the local public parking supply as follows:

- 165 spaces available at commercial surface lots, and,
- 264 spaces available on-street.

This results in a total of 429 off-site public parking spaces.

4.2.3 Anticipated Future Parking Behaviour

Based on the observed parking demand of 83 vehicles at the existing site, the proposed public parking supply of 107 vehicles may be able to accommodate most of the existing demand. However, as noted, the peak parking demand of the site is anticipated to be higher than observed due to the limited amount of data collected and the off-season collection period. Therefore, as a conservative measure, it is assumed that the peak parking demand of the site is 100%, or 130 vehicles.

As a result, the proposed supply of 107 public parking spaces is anticipated to displace 23 vehicles from the site to elsewhere in the study area.

Bunt assigned these 23 displaced trips using the destination data compiled from the circulated surveys:

- Quality Foods (62%): 14 displaced vehicles.
- Employees (6%): 1 displaced vehicle.
- Other/Pharmasave (33%): 8 displaced vehicles.

Bunt observed that the parking demand of the Pharmasave was low at 14 vehicles out of 31 spaces (41% parked). As a result, the Pharmasave may have sufficient capacity to accommodate its additional parking demand due to displaced vehicles.

The Quality Foods appears to have a parking demand that is greater than its supply (observed 81% parked). Therefore, it is assumed the remaining approximate 15 displaced vehicles assigned to the Quality Foods and Employment destinations would need to be accommodated by the public surface parking lots or on-street in the study area. The distribution of the 15 displaced demand equates to approximately 3% of the estimated study area supply of 429 spaces. Section 4.3 provides potential management strategies, including strategies to redistribute displaced parking demand throughout the study area by guiding drivers to less well-used parking areas.

4.3 Parking Management

As noted prior, approximately 23 vehicles are anticipated to be displaced from the existing site to elsewhere in the study area based on our described estimates and propagation of demand factors. The following subsections describe potential measures to manage this displaced demand.

4.3.1 Site Plan Options

From a purely parking demand perspective, the site plan option with the highest public parking supply will present the least risk. The number of proposed surface and underground public parking spaces in each of the most recent site plan options were as follows:

- Option 1: 73 Public Spaces (73 surface, 0 underground)
- Option 2: 118 Public Spaces (84 surface, 34 underground)
- Option 3: 107 Public Spaces (64 surface, 43 underground)

Therefore, the option that presents the least risk of introducing unaccommodated parking demand to the study area is Option 2, followed by Option 3, then Option 1.

It is however importantly noted that Option 3 is also considered viable with supporting measures. Option 1 is also considered viable but with a higher degree of supporting measures.

4.3.2 Wayfinding Signage

As noted prior, based on the survey results, most of the existing site parking demand is bound for the Quality Foods. Bunt observed the Quality Foods underground parking to be underused compared to the surface parking lot. Bunt also observed the entrance to the underground parking to be difficult to find as it is located at the back of the building without any clear signage. If surface spaces are not available, then more vehicles may use the Quality Foods parkade. However, the underground parkade has a limited parking supply; therefore, adding wayfinding signage to guide drivers to the underground parking area is not recommended as it may quickly reach capacity in the future.

It was noted through various site visits that parking areas behind businesses on 2nd Avenue (to both the north and south of 2nd Avenue) have substantially lower occupancy than the on-street parking spaces. It is recommended that the Town identify areas of underutilized in-block parking and add wayfinding signage to direct motorists towards these potential parking options. Currently these areas are largely accessed through one-way lanes that extend from 2nd Avenue, therefore signage may be placed on 2nd Avenue at selected locations based on further assessment of underutilized parking areas.

4.3.3 On-Street Parking Paint Markings

Most streets in the study area feature unrestricted parking on both sides. Bunt observed available parking spaces on most streets during the January 2024 site visit. Paint markings to outline on-street parking spaces may help drivers identify available on-street parking.

4.3.4 Public Parking Visibility

The site, in its existing condition, is clearly visible as the first available public parking area when entering the Town via Memorial Avenue.

The public parking will become less visible to visitors after the proposed development is completed, as most of the surface parking will be obscured by the proposed building. This may result in visitors driving further into town to find parking, which would better distribute the parking demand across the study area.

4.3.5 Shared-Use Underground Parking

The proposed development will exceed the bylaw requirement for the proposed Naked Natural Foods store. As a result, it is anticipated that the proposed parking supply for the Naked Natural Foods may exceed its parking demand. Bunt recommends that the actual demand of the underground parking is monitored after site occupation. If the Naked Natural Foods parking demand is less than the supply, the development may consider converting some of the Naked Natural Foods parking to unrestricted public parking. This additional public parking could accommodate some potential displaced demand.

4.4 Parking Conclusions & Recommendations

4.4.1 Parking Conclusions

Development Parking Supply

• The proposed Naked Natural building will meet or exceed the vehicle, bicycle, and loading space supply requirements outlined in the bylaw.

Public Parking Supply

- If, conservatively, the peak parking demand at the existing site is assumed to be 100%, a 30-vehicle parking demand (with preferred option 3) will be displaced off-site.
- Bunt completed a high-level estimate of future parking behaviour:
 - Based on observed demand, the Pharmasave may be able to accommodate some of the displaced demand.
 - The remaining approximately 20 vehicles are anticipated to be able to be absorbed into the adjacent area surface lot or on-street parking supplies, of which the total supply is approximately 429 spaces.
- Visitors arriving to the town via Memorial Avenue may be more likely to bypass the site and seek parking in other areas as the proposed building will obscure some of the proposed surface parking. This may redistribute parking demand to less well-used parking in the study area.

4.4.2 Parking Recommendations

- All three development plan options are considered viable from a parking perspective with anticipation that the lower parking supply options may require supportive measures to manage the parking demand, these may include:
 - It is recommended that the Town identify areas of underutilized in-block parking north and south of 2nd Avenue and considering adding wayfinding signage to direct motorists towards these potential parking options.
 - Highlight on-street parking with paint markings.
 - Monitor the parking demand of the site including the underground parking spaces once the proposed development is fully occupied. If there is excess parking demand, some of the underground parking spaces may be reassigned to unrestricted public parking.
- While no bicycle parking is required per the bylaw, Bunt recommends Naked Naturals consider longterm bicycle spaces (including larger sized cargo spaces) for residents and a 6-space short-term bicycle rack for visitors.
5. ACTIVE MODES IMPROVEMENTS

5.1 Intersection Upgrades

Bunt conducted a site visit and review of existing active mode infrastructure in the study area to identify opportunities for improvements. Bunt identified two study intersections as candidates for active mode improvements based on the site visit, discussions with the Town, and through consulting the *Age-Friendly Transportation Plan* (discussed in Section 2.3.1):

- Memorial Avenue & Railway Street/Veterans Way; and,
- Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street.

Bunt identified these study intersections as both seeing significant pedestrian traffic and having an important role in connecting existing and proposed active mode infrastructure. The following subsections provide a high-level description of potential active modes improvements at these study intersections.

5.1.1 Memorial Avenue & Railway Street/Veterans Way

Northwest Corner: Bollards and Painted Pedestrian Refuge Area

The Town has noted that vehicles park on top of paint markings at the northwest corner of the intersection. In addition, vehicles have been observed taking the southbound right turn too sharply and driving through the white paint markings that outline the corner. This behavior presents a safety hazard as parked vehicles may encroach into the road width, increasing the likelihood of conflicts and potentially impacting the sight line between the southbound vehicle and any pedestrians on the west leg. Vehicles overlapping with the paint markings while turning have an increased likelihood of collision with pedestrians or cyclists on the corner.

If this area is not required for large vehicle turning movements, Bunt recommends the existing white paint markings that define the corner be refreshed. In addition, Bunt recommends flexible bollards be installed over the paint markings to further outline the corner and prevent vehicles from parking or overlapping. This creates an opportunity to add street art to the newly defined pedestrian refuge area within the corner. This potential measure has the added benefit of reducing the crossing distance on the west leg of the intersection; this reduces exposure time.

North Leg: Provide a Painted Zebra Crossing with a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB)

The Town has noted that there is a significant pedestrian volume on the north leg of the intersection, especially on Saturdays when the Qualicum Beach Farmers' Market is open. There is no marked pedestrian crossing here. This presents a safety issue as drivers may not be aware of the unmarked crossing, which limits their ability to see and react to pedestrians. This is especially prominent for southbound vehicles exiting a horizontal curve which, combined with the lack of markings/signage for the crossing, provides a short reaction window.

Bunt recommends providing a painted zebra crossing with pole-mounted pedestrian crossing signs on both sides and facing both travel directions. As an additional measure, the visibility of the crossing could be further improved with push-activated Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB).

Southbound Approach: Provide a Pole-Mounted Sign for Crosswalk

As noted above, the horizontal curve of the road for southbound vehicles limits the sight line to the currently unmarked pedestrian crossing on the north leg.

Bunt recommends a pole-mounted advanced warning sign for the crosswalk (yellow diamond sign with pedestrian symbol) be installed on the southbound approach ahead of the intersection north leg. This measure would be paired with the recommended painted zebra crossing and RRFB described above. This aims to warn southbound drivers of the upcoming crossing and prepare them to stop for pedestrians.

5.1.2 Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street

Northwest Corner: Extend Curb Bulge

The pedestrian crossing on the west leg of the intersection is long (approx. 20m). This presents a safety issue as longer crossing distances have longer pedestrian exposure times.

Bunt recommends the hardscaping on the northwest corner be extended into the intersection to reduce the west leg crossing distance. This measure also aims to force southbound vehicles to take a wider right turn, which will require vehicles to enter the turn at slower speeds. Note that the extend of the bulge will need to be confirmed through the turning movement of the intended vehicles expected to use the intersection.

Southwest Corner: Provide a Double-Sided, Pole-Mounted Pedestrian Crossing Sign

The existing zebra pedestrian crossing on the south leg of the intersection is missing a double-sided pedestrian crossing sign (white rectangles with pedestrian symbol).

Bunt recommends installing a double-sided pedestrian crossing sign to improve visibility of the crossing.

South Leg: Upgrade Existing Crossing with a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB)

The existing south leg zebra crossing is an important connection between the existing Dollymount Multi-Use trail to the east and the future extension of the Dollymount Mult-Use trail to the west. As a result, it is anticipated that the crossing will see significant pedestrian and cyclist traffic in the future.

Bunt recommends that the crossing be upgraded with a push-activated Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) to improve the visibility of this important crossing.

Wayfinding Signage

The ideal location for a crosswalk would be the north leg of the intersection, as it would directly connect the existing and future sections of the Dollymount Trail. However, this is not possible due to its proximity to the E&N rail line. Therefore, pedestrians and cyclists must instead cross three legs of the intersection to stay on the Dollymount Trail. This is potentially confusing and may reduce the number of users on the trail.

Bunt recommends wayfinding signage be installed on the trail just before the intersection, or on the corners of the intersection, in both travel directions. The signs could feature arrows and symbols directing pedestrians and cyclists to use the marked crossings and continue onto the trail.

Exhibit 5.1 summarizes the potential active mode upgrades described above.

5.2 Additional Active Mode Measures

The developer and the Town may consider other, more general measures that aim to increase the mode split for pedestrians and cyclists. These potential measures are outlined below.

5.2.1 Mid-Block Pedestrian Crossings

There is currently one mid-block pedestrian crossing of Fern Road along the site's north frontage. This is aligned with the east leg of the existing site's East Fern Road Access. In addition to this crossing, it is also recommended that the Town consider introducing a second mid-block crossing approximately 33 meters to the west which would align with the existing pedestrian path that extends north through the Qualicum Foods - Quality Foods parking lot to 2nd Avenue.

5.2.2 Pedestrian Scramble Phase at Fern Road & Memorial Avenue Intersection

The Fern Road & Memorial Avenue intersection is immediately adjacent to the public plaza. The future proposed development includes public parking therefore pedestrian connectivity and safety is a critical consideration. The intersection is the only signalized intersection in Qualicum Beach and represents a focal point for the community which will be augmented with the adjacent proposed development. The intersection is shown to operate well from a vehicle operations perspective, however opportunities to improve the intersection from a pedestrian perspective were evaluated to acknowledge its importance to the pedestrian realm.

It is recommended to consider implementing a pedestrian scramble signal phase where pedestrians are permitted to cross all legs of the intersection including diagonal crossings during a single, all pedestrian crossing signal phase. Adding a pedestrian scramble phase should include new pavement paint markings and infrastructure improvements to include audible instructions in coordination with push-button activation (i.e. "Walk sign is on for all crossings").

Importantly, this would allow fully protected pedestrian crossings in all directions (all vehicle movements would be under red light stop control during the one pedestrian phase), thus removing pedestrian and motorist conflict points and improving safety for all modes.

An image of paint markings at an intersection with a pedestrian scramble phase is shown in Figure 5.1.



Potential Active Mode Upgrades



08-23-0012

April 2024 & & Za Page 184

Oualicum Mobility



Figure 5.1: Paint Markings at an Intersection with Pedestrian Scramble Signal Phase

Source: Google Maps image of Buffalo Street & Banff Avenue Intersection

Total Traffic Operations – Pedestrian Scramble

Synchro 11 software was used to estimate the future traffic operations at the Fern Road & Memorial Avenue intersection if it were to have a pedestrian scramble phase. The intersection was given a separate pedestrian-only phase which would give a red signal to all vehicle movements. All existing pedestrian phases that operate concurrently with vehicle movements were removed from the model. The following assumptions were input for the pedestrian phase:

- A "Walk" time of 2 seconds (i.e., the time where the pedestrian signal heads show the symbol for a walking person).
- A "Flash, Don't Walk" (FDW) time of 22 seconds (i.e., the time where the pedestrian signal heads show a flashing orange hand and a countdown timer) based on an estimated diagonal crossing distance of 22 metres and a walking speed of 1.0 m/s.
- The Synchro "Optimize Cycle Length" tool was applied, which attempts to recalculate the cycle length and individual split times for optimal traffic operations. This resulted in a 90 second cycle length. This is within the typical range for a traffic signal cycle length in an urban environment.

Table 5.1 summarizes the total (with development) traffic operations at the Fern Road & Memorial Avenue intersection with a 24 second pedestrian scramble phase modeled in Synchro 11 software. Detailed outputs are provided in Appendix B.

INTERSECTION/	MOVEMENT	TOTAL (WITH SITE) - TIMING	EXISTING	TOTAL (W	ITH SITE) – P SCRAMBLE	EDESTRIAN
TRAFFIC CONTROL	MOVEMENT	LOS	V/C	95TH Q (M)	LOS	V/C	95TH Q (M)
	OVERALL	А	0.50	-	С	0.49	-
Memorial Ave &	EBLTR	В	0.33	15	С	0.63	35
Fern Rd	WBLTR	В	0.38	20	С	0.64	50
(signalized)	NBLTR	А	0.47	45	С	0.67	85
	SBLTR	А	0.57	55	D	0.86	115

Table 5.1: Total (with Development) Vehicle Operations - No Scramble vs. Pedestrian Scramble

As expected, the intersection is anticipated to be more delayed if a pedestrian scramble is implemented as vehicles must wait longer on average for their phase. However, results indicate that all movements will continue to operate within performance thresholds for level of service, V/C ratio, and queuing. Therefore, a pedestrian scramble is anticipated to be viable from a traffic operations perspective.

5.2.3 Wayfinding Signs

Wayfinding signs can be installed in high-pedestrian-traffic areas. These signs can provide directions and information on points of interest such as key destinations (restaurants, tourist attractions, etc.), parks and beaches, trails, cycling routes, water stations, bike racks, etc. This measure may provide active mode users with destinations they would have otherwise missed and provide information which will enhance their experience such as water stations and bike racks. **Figure 5.2** provides an example of a wayfinding sign.

Figure 5.2: Wayfinding Sign Example



Source: City of Vancouver

5.2.4 Water Stations

Water stations can be installed in high-traffic areas. These stations can be equipped with water fountains at different heights, and a ground-height fountain for dogs. In addition, stations can be equipped with water fill stations and misting stations. With the increasing frequency of record-breaking summer temperatures, these stations can improve active mode safety. **Figure 5.3** provides an example of a water station with all the described features.

Figure 5.3: Water Station Example



5.2.5 Bike Racks

Access to bike racks near key destinations is an important measure to encourage cycling for daily errands and day trips. Bike racks should be able to accommodate most types of bicycles (road bikes, children's bikes, cargo bikes, etc.) and be in visible areas to decrease the likelihood of theft. **Figure 5.4** provides two examples of bike racks that can accommodate most bikes.



Figure 5.4: Bike Rack Examples: "Post-and-Ring" (Left) and "Sheffield" (Right)

5.2.6 Benches

Benches encourage activities outdoors and provide a rest point for active mode users.

6. SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS

Bunt completed a swept path analysis of proposed site plan option 3 (currently preferred by the design team) to confirm functionality of passenger vehicle access, circulation, and parking as well as loading vehicle maneuvers. The swept path analysis is attached in **Appendix C**. The following summarizes the exhibit package:

- Exhibit 1 illustrates passenger vehicle circulation on the ground level and on the access ramp to the underground parking. Two-way concurrent circulation is achievable at all corners between at least a 4.6m small car ("Honda Civic 2017") and a 5.6m standard vehicle ("P-TAC" Passenger Transportation Association of Canada), which is the minimum standard per Bunt internal guidelines. It is recommended that a convex mirror be installed on the corner of the ramp to the underground parking, as indicated in the Exhibit, to provide a sight line around the 90-degree corner and warn drivers to yield to oncoming traffic.
- Exhibit 2 illustrates successful parking maneuvers in the one-way angled parking section of the surface parking lot.
- Exhibits 3 and 4 illustrates inbound and outbound loading maneuvers into both on-site loading spaces with a 20-metre WB-20 semi-tractor trailer truck. As indicated, the inbound vehicle paths into both loading spaces overlap the landscaping strip on the southeast corner of the site; this landscaping strip will need to be removed to accommodate WB-20 trucks. Note that loading vehicles will not be permitted to use the north-south laneway that intersects with Fourth Avenue.
- Exhibits 5 and 6 illustrates successful loading maneuvers in and out of the on-site loading spaces with a 15-metre WB-15 truck. Note that loading vehicles will not be permitted to use the north-south laneway that intersects with Fourth Avenue.

7. CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Conclusions

The proposed development would replace the existing 130 parking space surface lot with a pedestrian plaza adjacent to a 1,748 m² health food store ('Naked Natural Foods'), and a 506 m² restaurant, with 9 residential units above.

The preferred plaza and parking option results in a total of 171 parking spaces (64 for Naked Natural building and 107 for public parking).

Traffic

- 1. The Synchro model traffic analysis indicates existing traffic conditions at study area intersections operate within typical threshold criteria in regard to peak period delays and volume to capacity ratios.
- 2. The most pressurized study area traffic movement is the westbound First Avenue to northbound Memorial Avenue left turn movement. Laning and traffic control mitigation options are limited due to the close proximity of the rail line, north of the intersection, which does not allow vehicles to stop north of the intersection. As this traffic movement is shown to operate within common thresholds, no traffic related mitigation is recommended, rather mitigation recommendations for this intersection pertain to pedestrian realm improvement considerations.
- 3. The proposed development is anticipated to generate approximately 220 vehicle trips (total in and out) during its peak hour period when using ITE trip generation rates. These volumes were used in the traffic analysis however it is noted that actual site trips in Qualicum Beach are anticipated to be significantly lower due to its more dispersed peak hour and the applied grocery store rates likely being higher than anticipated to be generated by the more speciality Nake Natural store and the restaurant being more of an ancillary land use.
- 4. The traffic model indicates that the additional traffic generated by the development is not anticipated to have a significant impact on local area traffic operations. All traffic movement remain within capacity thresholds with little difference between the existing and post-development scenarios.

Parking

- 5. The proposed Naked Natural building will meet or exceed the vehicle, bicycle, and loading space supply requirements outlined in the bylaw.
- 6. If, conservatively, the peak parking demand at the existing site is assumed to be 100%, a 30-vehicle parking demand (with preferred option 3) will be displaced off-site.
- 7. Bunt completed a high-level estimate of future parking behaviour:

- Based on observed demand, the Pharmasave may be able to accommodate some of the displaced demand.
- The remaining approximately 20 vehicles are anticipated to be able to be absorbed into the adjacent area surface lot or on-street parking supplies, of which the total supply is approximately 429 spaces.
- Visitors arriving to the town via Memorial Avenue may be more likely to bypass the site and seek parking in other areas as the proposed building will obscure some of the proposed surface parking. This may redistribute parking demand to less well-used parking in the study area.

7.2 Recommendations

Swept Path Analysis

- 8. The following site design updates are recommended based on the swept path analysis of the proposed Option 3 site plan:
 - Provide a convex mirror on the corner of the underground parking access ramp to provide a sight line around the 90-degree corner and warn drivers to yield to oncoming vehicles.
 - Remove the landscaping strip at the southeast corner of the site to accommodate inbound vehicle paths for WB-20 trucks.

Traffic and Transportation Demand Management

- 9. Recommended study area transportation improvements for consideration include:
 - Pedestrian related improvements near the Memorial Avenue & First Avenue/Fir Street and Memorial Avenue & Railway Street/Veterans Way intersections.
 - A pedestrian scramble phase at the signalized Fern Road & Memorial Avenue intersections.
 - A second pedestrian crossing of Fern Road adjacent to TOSH building.
 - A sidewalk along Fourth Avenue's south edge, across from the development site, between Memorial Avenue and lane.
 - Introduction of pedestrian amenities throughout downtown area such as benches and water fountains.
 - Bicycle parking integrated into plaza design.
 - Provision of long-term bicycle spaces (including larger sized cargo spaces) for building residents and at minimum a 6-space short-term bicycle rack for grocery store and residential visitors.

Parking Recommendations

- 10. All three development plan options are considered viable from a parking perspective with anticipation that the lower parking supply options may require supportive measures to manage the parking demand, these may include:
 - It is recommended that the Town identify areas of underutilized in-block parking north and south of 2nd Avenue and considering adding wayfinding signage to direct motorists towards these potential parking options.
 - Highlight on-street parking with paint markings.
 - Monitor the parking demand of the site including the underground parking spaces once the proposed development is fully occupied. If there is excess parking demand, some of the underground parking spaces may be reassigned to unrestricted public parking.
- 11. While no bicycle parking is required per the bylaw, Bunt recommends Naked Naturals consider longterm bicycle spaces (including larger sized cargo spaces) for residents and a 6-space short-term bicycle rack for visitors.



Page 193

Memorial Ave @ Veterans Way - Qualicum Beach, BC

Project#: Date: Notes:

08-23-0012 Weather: 11-22-2023

Sun Road Cond: Dry

Analysis Period: 15:00 - 16:00 Intersection Peak: 15:00 - 16:00



TIME					AU	ГОМОВ		JNT						PEDES	TRIANS	
INTERVAL	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Ν	S	Е	W
15:00 - 15:15	1	110	7	2	97	2	1	1	1	4	0	2	0	3	5	1
15:15 - 15:30	0	95	1	0	127	1	0	0	1	8	0	4	3	2	12	1
15:30 - 15:45	1	95	8	1	96	0	0	0	2	9	0	1	0	2	6	2
15:45 - 16:00	0	80	3	0	104	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	1
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	$\begin{array}{c}431 \downarrow & \uparrow 389\\ 4 & 424 & 3\\ \leftarrow & \downarrow & \leftarrow \end{array}$	个 Memorial Ave	2% ↓ ↑ 2% 0% 2% 0% ↓ ↓ ↓	↑ N
$\begin{array}{c} 6 & 2 \\ \hline & 1 \\ \hline & 7 & 4 \end{array}$	Vehicle Count All: 869	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Heavy Vehicle %	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
个 Village Way	$\begin{array}{c c} \leftarrow & \uparrow & \leftarrow \\ 2 & 380 & 19 \\ 451 & \uparrow & 401 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \\$		 ← ↑ ← ↑ 0% 2% 0% 2% ↑ 2% ↑ 2% 0.84 ↓ ↑ 0.86 0.50 0.83 0.38 ← ↓ ↓ ↓ 	Veterans Way \downarrow
	Peds / Cyclists All: 44/2	$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{\hat{L}} & 0 \\ \leftarrow & 0 \\ \mathbf{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} & 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 29 \\ \mathbf{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} & 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.50 & 0.50 & \mathbf{\hat{J}} \\ \bullet & 0.25 & \mathbf{\boldsymbol{\neg}} \\ 0.58 & 0.50 & \mathbf{\boldsymbol{\bar{J}}} \end{array} $	PHF Peak Hour Factor All: 0.92	$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{\hat{L}} & 0.44 & 0.63 \\ \leftarrow & 1.00 \\ \mathbf{\hat{F}} & 0.64 & 0.58 \end{array}$
	$ \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow 1 \uparrow \leftarrow \\ \bullet 0 0 2 \\ \leftarrow 7 \end{array} $	Memorial Ave ↓	← ↑ ► 0.50 0.86 0.59 0.83 ↑ 0.85	

Project#:	08-23-	0012		Weath	er:	Sun		Analys	is Peric	od:	13:45	- 14:45				
Date:	11-16-	2023		Road C	Cond:	Dry		Interse	ection P	eak:	13:45 -	- 14:45		b		
Notes:	Patterr	n of peo	destriar	n mover	nent w	as obse	rved in	which	people	were ci	rossing					
	multip	le inter	section	s and co	oming l	back in t	the sam	ne direc	tion like	e they v	vere sti	rolling		Qass	ociate	25
TIME					AU	томов	SILE CO	UNT						PEDEST	RIANS	
INTERVAL	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Ν	S	Е	W
13:45 - 14:00	7	84	5	3	57	23	17	5	15	0	4	10	0	18	9	1
14:00 - 14:15	7	63	5	5	57	28	16	1	15	0	0	10	0	14	9	6
14:15 - 14:30	15	58	3	2	66	29	22	4	14	2	2	5	0	11	8	7
14:30 - 14:45	8	69	5	4	62	21	16	4	16	2	1	10	0	10	7	8
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

			357	, 1	380				\uparrow				3%	,	† 2%			Ν
			101	242	14		Ν	1emc	orial	A١	/e		0%	4%	0%			
			L L	Ļ	4								┙	ţ	L)			
145	71	Ţ			9	Ĺ	35	46	0%	6	0%	Ţ			R	Ĺ	0%	₩0%
	14	\rightarrow	Veh	icle Co	unt	↓	7	\rightarrow		•	0%	\rightarrow	Heav	/y Veh	icle %	+	0%	
145	60	ļ	All:	8	77	Ļ	4	46	1%	6	2%	ļ	All:	2	2%	L	0%	0%
			Ļ	1	1								Ļ	1	Þ			
	q		37	274	18								0%	2%	0%			
_	ch R		306	, 4	329								3%	,	2%		St	<u>ح</u>
$\mathbf{\nabla}$	arle		_	0	<u> </u>								0.92	,	0.86		Fir	~
	Т		0	0	0								0.87	0.92	0.70			
			┙	Ļ	L ,								┙	Ļ	L,			
\uparrow	0	Ţ	N.	- 2	¥	Ĺ	0	\uparrow	0.7	9	0.81	Ţ	F	Н	F	Ĺ	0.88	0.82
22	0	\rightarrow	Ped	s / Cyc	lists	←	0	33			0.70	\rightarrow	Peak	Hour	■ Factor	+	0.44	
	, 0	ļ	All:	108	8/0	L	0	\downarrow	0.9	1	0.94	ļ	All:	0	.95	L	0.50	0.77
			Ĵ	1	1								4	1	⊢			
			0	0	0		Ν	1emc	orial	A١	/e		0.62	0.82	0.90			
				53					\downarrow				0.93	,	0.86			

Memorial Ave @ First Ave – Qualicum Beach, BC

Memorial Ave @ W 2nd Ave - Qualicum Beach, BC



Weather:

08-23-0012

11-16-2023

Sun Road Cond: Dry

Analysis Period: 15:00 - 16:00 Intersection Peak: 15:00 - 16:00



TIME					AU	гомов	BILE COU	JNT						PEDEST	FRIANS	
INTERVAL	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Ν	S	Е	W
15:00 - 15:15	20	93	0	0	77	30	11	0	18	0	0	0	10	24	0	1
15:15 - 15:30	21	60	0	0	67	28	12	0	4	0	0	0	21	62	0	13
15:30 - 15:45	10	69	0	0	67	28	14	0	14	0	0	0	11	33	0	5
15:45 - 16:00	14	56	0	0	64	34	11	0	13	0	0	0	9	19	0	11
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

			395 120	275	326 0		N	1emo	个 orial Ar	ve		5% 3%	5%			1	Ň
			┙	Ļ	ц,							┙	Ļ	L.			
185	48	Ĺ			A A	Ĺ	0	0	3%	4%	Ţ			2	Ĺ	0%	0%
	0	\rightarrow	Veh	, icle Co	unt	←	0			0%	\rightarrow	Heav	/y Vehi	cle %	←	0%	
97	49	ļ	All:	83	35	L	0	0	2%	0%	ļ	All:	4	%	t	0%	0%
			Ţ	1	t							Ļ	1	t			
	e		65	278	0							3%	4%	0%			
_	d Av		324	,	343							5%	,	4%		Ē	<u>ح</u>
	/ 2n		<──	51								0.92	,	0.78		Z	
	5		4	0	0							0.88	0.89	1.00			
			┙	Ļ	L ,							┙	↓	L,			
	2	Ţ	Ņ.	- 2	۶	Ĺ	0	\uparrow	0.93	0.86	Ţ		PH	F	Ĺ	1.00	1.00
30	0	\rightarrow	Ped	s / Cyc	lists	←	0	0		1.00	\rightarrow	Peak	Hour F	actor	←	1.00	
	, 0	ļ	All:	219	9/8	L	0	\downarrow	0.84	0.68	ļ	All:	0.	84	t	1.00	1.00
			4	1	L)							4	1	┍→			
			0	0	2		N	1emo	orial A	ve		0.77	0.75	1.00			
				138	\rightarrow				\downarrow			0.85	,	0.76			

Fern Road @ Memorial Ave – Qualicum Beach, BC

Sun

Dry

Project#: Date: Notes: 08-23-0012 Weather: Nov 16, 2023 (Thu) Road Cond:
 Analysis Period:
 13:45 - 14:45

 Intersection Peak:
 13:45 - 14:45



TIME					AU	ГОМОВ	SILE CO	JNT						PEDES	TRIANS	
INTERVAL	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Ν	S	Е	W
13:45 - 14:00	0	75	1	12	41	9	17	19	7	6	19	17	12	21	7	3
14:00 - 14:15	12	51	6	22	44	13	21	19	11	7	17	7	11	16	22	4
14:15 - 14:30	15	50	6	19	29	11	23	22	13	8	23	15	9	9	17	6
14:30 - 14:45	8	55	5	21	41	10	16	25	20	4	26	15	8	16	8	11
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		272	↑ [1% † 1%	↑ N
		43 155 74 ← ↓ └→	Fern Road	0% 2% 1% ← ↓ └→	
163 77 ← 85 → 213 51	⊥ →	Vehicle Count All: 933	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Heavy Vehicle %	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
个 Memorial Ave		$\begin{array}{c c} \bullet & \bullet \\ 35 & 231 & 18 \\ 231 & \bullet & 284 \\ \hline \bullet & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \end{array}$		 ← ↑ ← ↑ 0% 1% 0% 2% ↓ ↑ 0.86 ↓ ↑ 0.83 0.88 0.84 ← ↓ ↓ 	Memorial Ave \downarrow
24 0 0	⊥ ← ↓	Peds Cyclists All: 180 / 4	$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{L} & 0 \\ \leftarrow & 1 \\ \mathbf{\Gamma} & 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 54 \\ \mathbf{I} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.83 & 0.84 & \mathbf{I} \\ \bullet & 0.85 & \mathbf{I} \\ 0.87 & 0.64 & \mathbf{I} \end{array}$	PHF Peak Hour Factor All: 0.95	 1 0.79 0.89 ↓ 0.82 ↓ 0.78 0.85
		$ \begin{array}{c c} \leftarrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \leftarrow \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ 62 \end{array} $	↓	← ↑ ⊢ 0.58 0.77 0.75 0.89 ↑ 0.93	

Memorial Ave @ Fourth Street – Qualicum Beach, BC

Sun

Dry

Project#: Date: Notes: 08-23-0012 Weather: Nov 16, 2023 (Thu) Road Cond:

 Analysis Period:
 15:00 - 16:00

 Intersection Peak:
 15:00 - 16:00



TIME					AU	гомов	BILE CO	JNT						PEDES	FRIANS	
INTERVAL	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Ν	S	Е	W
15:00 - 15:15	5	73	5	11	67	1	4	0	5	5	0	5	5	2	0	2
15:15 - 15:30	4	63	5	2	64	7	2	0	10	2	0	9	3	2	3	2
15:30 - 15:45	5	55	2	1	53	2	3	0	6	3	0	7	4	0	1	4
15:45 - 16:00	3	62	3	2	66	6	1	0	1	4	1	8	1	0	3	0
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

			282	1	292				↑ 			4%		2 %		1	`N
			16 ←	250 ↓	16 14		IV	lemo	orial A	ve		0%	5% ↓	0%			
34	10 0	⊥ ←			9	Ĺ ←	29 1	4 4	0% ◀	10% 0%	± ←				Ĺ ←	0% 0%	0%
32	22	ļ	All:	64 64	unt 13	t	14	→ 31	3%	0%	ļ	All:	y veni 3	s%	t	0%	→ 0%
÷	th Street		← 17 286 ↓	13 1								• 1 0% 4%	↑ 2%	→		th Street	\rightarrow
	Four		• ب	2 ↓	→ ○ ♪							0.57	0.93 ↓	0.36		Four	
8	0 0 0	t ← ↓	Peds All:	2 5 / Cyc 32	iists / 6	Ĺ ← ₽	0 2 0	7	0.77 	0.63 1.00 0.55	t ← ↓	Peak All:	Hour F	actor	1 ← ₽	0.81 0.25 0.70	0.85
¥			• - 0 •	↑ 2 4	۲ ٥		N	lemo	orial A ↓	ve		← 0.85 0.93	↑ 0.87	 → 0.75 10.86 			

	CLE:	55 -	Qua	ncui	пре	acn,	ЫС				
Weath	er:	Sun		Analys	is Peric	d:	13:30 -	· 14:30			
Road C	Cond:	Dry		Interse	ection P	eak:	13:30 -	14:30		b	hht
n the w	est of c	old scho	ol arts (centre v	when fa	cing to	wards r	north		& ass	sociates
	AU.	томов	ILE CO	UNT						PEDES	TRIANS
SBL	AU SBT	SBR	EBL	UNT EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	N	PEDES S	E E
SBL 0	AU SBT 0	SBR 0	EBL 0	EBT 0	EBR 2	WBL 1	WBT 0	WBR 0	N 0	PEDES S O	E 0
SBL 0 0	AU SBT 0 0	SBR 0 0	EBL 0 0	UNT EBT 0 0	EBR 2 0	WBL 1 0	WBT 0 0	WBR 0 0	N 0 0	PEDES S 0 4	E 0 0
SBL 0 0 0	AU SBT 0 0 0	SBR 0 0 0	EBL 0 0 0	UNT EBT 0 0 0	EBR 2 0 0	WBL 1 0 1	WBT 0 0 0	WBR 0 0 0	N 0 0 0	PEDES S 0 4 4	E 0 0 0 0

Fern Road @ West Site Access – Qualicum Beach, BC

Project#: Date: Notes:

TIME

08-23-0012 11-22-2023

R This intersection is on t

INTERVAL	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Ν	S	Е	W
13:30 - 13:45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:45 - 14:00	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
14:00 - 14:15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0
14:15 - 14:30	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
											0%	, ,	0%		1	N
		0	0	0			(J			0%	0%	0%			

	0↓ ↑ 0	\uparrow	0%↓ ↑ 0% ↑ N
	0 0 0	0	0% 0% 0%
	┙╻┕		$\leftarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$
0 →	Vehicle Count		Heavy Vehicle %
4 4 7	All: 11	↓ 2 4 0% 0% ↓	All: 0% Г 0% 0%
	← ↑ ┍→		← ↑ ↑ ┍→
	1 0 4		0% 0%
۲ Rd	6 ↓ ↑ 5		
Ferr	<>		1.00 t 1.00
	0 0 0		1.00 1.00 1.00
	┙↓┕		$\leftarrow \downarrow \vdash$
t o↑	ふる		
0 0 →	Peds / Cyclists	$\leftarrow 0 0 1.00 \rightarrow$	Peak Hour Factor
	All: 9/0	↓ 0.50 0.50 ↓	All: 0.69 「 0.50 0.50
	←┐↑┍→		← ↑ ↑ ┍→
	0 0 0	0	0.25 1.00 0.50
	9	\checkmark	0.50

	-		-		-				-	-	-				-			-
	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- •	-	-	- 0%	-	-	-	-	-
_				• ↓ • ↓	0 ↓	₀ ↓			Fer	n Rd			0% 0% ←	0% ↓	0%			
-	12 18	0 0 18	→ † ⊢	Vehi All:	icle Co 7	ount 7	1 ← ₽	0 0 26	26 	0% 	0% 0% 0%	⊥ → ↓	Heav All:	y Vehi	Cle % %	t ← ₽	0% 0% 0%	0% 0%
	÷	Fern Rd		↓ 12 44 ↓	↑ 0 0 0								 ← 0% 0% 1.00 1.00 	↑ 0% , 1.00	 ► 0% 0% 1.00 1.00 		Fern Rd	\rightarrow
	0	0 0 , 0	⊥ + ↓	Peds	↓ / Cyc 22	∫ jists /0	1 ← ↓	0 0 0	0	0.50 0.50	1.00 1.00 0.50	t ← ↓	Peak	Hour F	→ F actor 80	1 ← ⊊	1.00 1.00 0.72	0.72
				• •	↑ 0 22	°			Fer	n Rd ↓			• 1 0.50 0.61	1 .00	C→ 0.66 0.75			

Fern Rd @ East Site Access – Qualicum Beach, BC

SBT

0

0

0

0

_

_



TIME

INTERVAL

13:30 - 13:45

13:45 - 14:00

14:00 - 14:15

14:15 - 14:30

_

_

08-23-0012 11-22-2023

NBT

0

0

0

0

-

_

-

NBR

4

5

8

4

-

_

-

SBL

0

0

0

0

_

NBL

6

1

3

2

-

-

_

Weather: Sun Road Cond: Dry

AUTOMOBILE COUNT

SBR

0

0

0

0

-

_

EBL

0

0

0

0

-

_

EBT

0

0

0

0

-

_

 Analysis Period:
 13:30 - 14:30

 Intersection Peak:
 13:30 - 14:30

WBL

5

8

4

9

-

_

EBR

3

1

5

9

-

-

_

-

This intersection is on the East of The old school arts centre when facing towards north

WBT

0

0

0

0

-

-

-

WBR

0

0

0

0

-

-

_

Ν

0

0

0

0

-

_

-

bunt Stassociates

PEDESTRIANS

Е

0

0

0

0

_

S

8

7

6

1

-

_

-

W

0

0

0

0

-

-

_

-

Fern Road @ Qualicum Foods East Access – Qualicum Beach, BC

Project#: Date: Notes: 08-23-0012 Weather: Sun Nov 22, 2023 (Wed) Road Cond: Dry

 Analysis Period:
 13:45 - 14:45

 Intersection Peak:
 13:45 - 14:45



TIME					AU	томое	SILE CO	JNT						PEDES	TRIANS	
INTERVAL	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Ν	S	Е	W
13:45 - 14:00	0	0	0	3	0	16	9	0	0	0	0	12	19	0	24	0
14:00 - 14:15	0	0	0	11	0	17	9	0	0	0	0	13	19	0	31	0
14:15 - 14:30	0	0	0	10	0	17	5	0	0	0	0	12	23	0	35	0
14:30 - 14:45	0	0	0	12	0	12	14	0	0	0	0	15	21	0	34	0
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

			98 62	†	89 36			Fern	↑ Road			0%	, 0%	↑ 0% 0%			N
			┙	Ļ	╘							┙	Ļ	Ц			
62 ←	37	t L			5	Ĺ	52	52	0% ◀	0%	t	Į,		9	Ĺ	0%	0%
\rightarrow	0		Veh	icle Co	unt	-	0	\rightarrow		0%		Heav	/y Vehi	cle %		0%	→
37	0	Ŧ	All:	18	37	Ŧ	0	36	0%	0%	Ŧ	All:	U	1%	¥	0%	0%
	ast		4	1	⊢							4	1	⊢		ast	
	s Ë		0	0	0							0%	0%	0%		S	
∠	Food		0		0							0%	, '	0%		Food	\rightarrow
`	Ę		/	82	,							0.88	, · ·	0.77		Ę	
	alicu		0	0	0							0.91	1.00	0.75		alicu	
	Qua		₊	Ļ	ц,							┙	↓	L,		Qua	
	0	Ĺ	Ň.	- 2	۶	Ĺ	0	1	0.91	0.66	Ţ	F	H	F	Ĺ	0.87	0.87
0	0	\rightarrow	Peds	/ Cyc	lists	←	0	124		1.00	\rightarrow	Peak	Hour F	actor	+	1.00	
	, 0	ļ	All:	206	5/0	L	0	\checkmark	0.66	1.00	ļ	All:	0.	88	t	1.00	1.00
			4	1	t						4	1	⊢				
			0	0	0			Fern	Road			1.00	1.00	1.00			
			<	0	\rightarrow				\downarrow			1.00	, '	1.00			

Fern Road @ Qualicum Foods West Access – Qualicum Beach, BC

Project#: Date: Notes: 08-23-0012 Weather: Sun Nov 22, 2023 (Wed) Road Cond: Dry

 Analysis Period:
 13:45 - 14:45

 Intersection Peak:
 13:45 - 14:45



TIME					AU	томое	BILE CO	JNT						PEDES	TRIANS	
INTERVAL	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Ν	S	E	W
13:45 - 14:00	0	0	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	6	0
14:00 - 14:15	0	0	0	12	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10	0
14:15 - 14:30	0	0	0	12	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	3	0
14:30 - 14:45	0	0	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	7	0
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

			75 ↓ ↑ 0 35 0 40	Ferr	↑ n Road	0% ↓ ↑ 0% 0% 0% 0%	↑ N
			┙╻┕			↓ ↓ ↓	
35	0	ţ		L 0 0	0% 0% 1		℃ 0% 0%
→	0	\rightarrow	Vehicle Count		$ \rightarrow 0\% \rightarrow$	Heavy Vehicle %	← 0% →
0	0	Ţ	All: 75	F 0 40	0% 0% 7	All: 0%	F 0% 0%
	/est		← ↑ ┌→			←┐↑┍→	/est
	ds ∧					0% 0% 0%	As M
∠	000		o↓ To			0% ↓ T 0%	
$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Ш		43			0.82	, m
	alicu		0 0 0			0.80 1.00 0.83	alicu
	Qui		┙↓┕			← ↓ ┕	Qui
1	0	Ţ	、文	£ ∘ 1	0.80 1.00	PHF	1 1.00 1.00
0	0	\rightarrow	Peds / Cyclists	← 0 26	1.00 →	Peak Hour Factor	← 1.00
	, 0	ļ	All: 69 / 0	₣∘↓	1.00 1.00 7	All: 0.82	L 1.00 1.00
			← ↑ ┌→				
			0 0 0	Ferr	n Road	1.00 1.00 1.00	
			0		\checkmark	1.00 1.00	

The attached information is provided to support the agency's review process and shall not be distributed to other parties without written consent from Bunt & Associates Engineering Ltd.

APPENDIX B

Synchro Reports

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			1		4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	1	4	23	0	7	2	380	19	3	424	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	1	4	23	0	7	2	380	19	3	424	4
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	1	4	25	0	8	2	413	21	3	461	4
Pedestrians		5			29			7			3	
Lane Width (m)		3.6			3.6			3.6			3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.2			1.2			1.2			1.2	
Percent Blockage		0			2			1			0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								246				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	912	941	475	937	932	456	470			463		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	912	941	475	937	932	456	470			463		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	99	100	99	89	100	99	100			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	243	255	584	229	258	589	1087			1072		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	7	33	436	468								
Volume Left	2	25	2	3								
Volume Right	4	8	21	4								
cSH	368	269	1087	1072								
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.12	0.00	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.5	3.3	0.0	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	15.0	20.2	0.1	0.1								
Lane LOS	В	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	15.0	20.2	0.1	0.1								
Approach LOS	В	С										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay		0.9										
Intersection Capacity Uti		Err%	1	CU Lev	el of Ser	vice		Н				
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	ħ			\$			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	76	14	78	5	7	36	37	289	18	14	329	108
Future Volume (Veh/h)	76	14	78	5	7	36	37	289	18	14	329	108
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Hourly flow rate (vph)	80	15	82	5	7	38	39	304	19	15	346	114
Pedestrians		22			33			53				
Lane Width (m)		3.6			3.6			3.6				
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.2			1.2			1.2				
Percent Blockage		2			3			4				
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								194				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	888	889	478	1000	936	346	482			356		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	888	889	478	1000	936	346	482			356		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	64	94	85	97	97	94	96			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	223	256	551	156	240	677	1061			1170		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1							
Volume Total	80	97	50	362	475							
Volume Left	80	0	5	39	15							
Volume Right	0	82	38	19	114							
cSH	223	468	426	1061	1170							
Volume to Capacity	0.36	0.21	0.12	0.04	0.01							
Queue Length 95th (m)	12.4	6.2	3.2	0.9	0.3							
Control Delay (s)	30.0	14.7	14.6	1.3	0.4							
Lane LOS	D	В	В	А	А							
Approach Delay (s)	21.6		14.6	1.3	0.4							
Approach LOS	С		В									
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay		4.9										
Intersection Capacity Uti		53.0%	I	CU Lev	el of Ser	vice		А				
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٠	7	1	t	ţ	1		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	Y			é.	f)			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	48	49	65	297	288	124		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	48	49	65	297	288	124		
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	52	53	71	323	313	135		
Pedestrians	30			138	51			
Lane Width (m)	3.6			3.6	3.6			
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.2			1.2	1.2			
Percent Blockage	3			12	4			
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type				None	None			
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)				114				
pX, platoon unblocked	0.97							
vC, conflicting volume	926	548	478					
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	908	548	478					
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1					
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2					
p0 queue free %	80	89	93					
cM capacity (veh/h)	256	462	1052					
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	105	394	448					
Volume Left	52	71	0					
Volume Right	53	0	135					
cSH	330	1052	1700					
Volume to Capacity	0.32	0.07	0.26					
Queue Length 95th (m)	10.7	1.7	0.0					
Control Delay (s)	20.9	2.2	0.0					
Lane LOS	С	А						
Approach Delay (s)	20.9	2.2	0.0					
Approach LOS	С							
Intersection Summary								
			3.2					
Intersection Canacity Liti	lization		66 0%	1		of Servic	<u>م</u>	C
Analysis Period (min)			15	I.				0

	-	+	t	ŧ
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBT	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	224	172	299	355
v/c Ratio	0.25	0.33	0.34	0.46
Control Delay	8.0	8.8	10.3	11.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	8.0	8.8	10.3	11.6
Queue Length 50th (m)	3.3	4.3	9.2	11.2
Queue Length 95th (m)	11.2	17.6	35.8	44.9
Internal Link Dist (m)	53.3	103.0	82.4	90.0
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1596	931	1135	1002
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.14	0.18	0.26	0.35
Intersection Summary				

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 4: Memorial Ave & Fern Rd

	٠	-	7	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		d'h			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	77	85	51	25	85	54	35	231	18	91	192	54
Future Volume (vph)	77	85	51	25	85	54	35	231	18	91	192	54
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.2			5.2	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.98			0.98			1.00			0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.99			1.00			0.99	
Frt		0.96			0.96			0.99			0.98	
Flt Protected		0.98			0.99			0.99			0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3237			1721			1827			1775	
Flt Permitted		0.84			0.91			0.93			0.83	
Satd. Flow (perm)		2754			1586			1704			1498	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	81	89	54	26	89	57	37	243	19	96	202	57
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	40	0	0	42	0	0	4	0	0	12	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	184	0	0	130	0	0	295	0	0	343	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	40		62	62		40	24		54	54		24
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1						2
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		9.8			9.8			17.8			17.8	
Effective Green, g (s)		9.8			9.8			17.8			17.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.26			0.26			0.47			0.47	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.2			5.2	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		714			411			802			705	
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		0.07			c0.08			0.17			c0.23	
v/c Ratio		0.26			0.32			0.37			0.49	
Uniform Delay, d1		11.1			11.3			6.4			6.9	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.2			0.4			0.3			0.5	
Delay (s)		11.3			11.7			6.7			7.4	
Level of Service		В			В			A			A	
Approach Delay (s)		11.3			11.7			6.7			7.4	
Approach LOS		В			В			A			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Dela		8.7	H	ICM 200	00 Leve	l of Serv	/ice	A				
HCM 2000 Volume to C	ratio	0.43										
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			37.8	S	Sum of le	ost time	(s)		10.2			
Intersection Capacity Ut		74.4%	[(CU Leve	el of Sei	vice		D				
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	٠	-	7	-	-	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	10	0	22	14	1	29	17	253	15	16	250	16
Future Volume (Veh/h)	10	0	22	14	1	29	17	253	15	16	250	16
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89
Hourly flow rate (vph)	11	0	25	16	1	33	19	284	17	18	281	18
Pedestrians		8			7			4			13	
Lane Width (m)		3.6			3.6			3.6			3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.2			1.2			1.2			1.2	
Percent Blockage		1			1			0			1	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)											106	
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	711	680	302	692	680	312	307			308		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	711	680	302	692	680	312	307			308		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	96	100	97	95	100	95	98			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	306	358	730	332	357	716	1245			1245		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	36	50	320	317								
Volume Left	11	16	19	18								
Volume Right	25	33	17	18								
cSH	513	515	1245	1245								
Volume to Capacity	0.07	0.10	0.02	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.8	2.6	0.4	0.4								
Control Delay (s)	12.5	12.7	0.6	0.6								
Lane LOS	В	В	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	12.5	12.7	0.6	0.6								
Approach LOS	В	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.0									
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		33.8%	I	CU Lev	el of Ser	vice		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	-	7	4	-	1	1		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Lane Configurations	1.			វ	M			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	207	4	2	168	1	4		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	207	4	2	168	1	4		
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	225	4	2	183	1	4		
Pedestrians		-			9			
Lane Width (m)					3.6			
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.2			
Percent Blockage					1			
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type	None			None				
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)				118				
pX. platoon unblocked								
vC conflicting volume			238		423	236		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					•			
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol			238		423	236		
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)					•	•		
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %			100		100	99		
cM capacity (veh/h)			1319		582	797		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1					
Volume Total	229	185	5					
Volume Left	0	2	1					
Volume Right	4	0	4					
cSH	1700	1319	742					
Volume to Capacity	0.13	0.00	0.01					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.2					
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	9.9					
Lane LOS		A	А					
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	9.9					
Approach LOS			A					
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.2					
Intersection Capacity Uti	ilization		21.7%	IC	CU Leve	l of Serv	ice	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

	٠	→	+	*	4	~		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	eî 🗍		Y			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	37	174	108	52	36	62		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	37	174	108	52	36	62		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop			
Grade		0%	0%		0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	45	212	132	63	44	76		
Pedestrians			26		43			
Lane Width (m)			3.6		3.6			
Walking Speed (m/s)			1.2		1.2			
Percent Blockage			2		4			
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type		None	None					
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)			101					
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	238				534	206		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	238				534	206		
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %	96				90	91		
cM capacity (veh/h)	1281				461	804		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	257	195	120					
Volume Left	45	0	44					
Volume Right	0	63	76					
cSH	1281	1700	632					
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.11	0.19					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.9	0.0	5.6					
Control Delay (s)	1.6	0.0	12.0					
Lane LOS	А		В					
Approach Delay (s)	1.6	0.0	12.0					
Approach LOS			В					
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			3.3					
Intersection Capacity Uti	ilization		39.5%	IC	CU Leve	el of Servi	ce A	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

	-	7	1	-	1	1		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Lane Configurations	t.			វ	M			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	192	18	26	148	12	21		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	192	18	26	148	12	21		
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	209	20	28	161	13	23		
Pedestrians					22			
Lane Width (m)					3.6			
Walking Speed (m/s)					12			
Percent Blockage					2			
Right turn flare (veh)					_			
Median type	None			None				
Median storage veh)	TTOTIO			TTOTTO				
Upstream signal (m)				77				
pX. platoon unblocked								
vC. conflicting volume			251		458	241		
vC1. stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol			251		458	241		
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2		
tC. 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %			98		98	97		
cM capacity (veh/h)			1290		539	783		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1					
Volume Total	229	189	36					
Volume Left	0	28	13					
Volume Right	20	0	23					
cSH	1700	1290	673					
Volume to Capacity	0.13	0.02	0.05					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.5	1.4					
Control Delay (s)	0.0	1.3	10.7					
Lane LOS		A	В					
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.3	10.7					
Approach LOS			В					
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			1.4					
Intersection Capacity Uti	ilization		35.0%	IC	CU Leve	el of Servi	се	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

/ _ + < \ /

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		4	ţ,		M		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	34	32	0	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	0	34	32	0	
Sign Control	Ū	Free	Free	• •	Stop	•	
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0.02	0.02	0.02	37	35	0.02	
Pedestrians	Ű	Ŭ	Ŭ	01	00	Ū	
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)		110110	110110				
Unstream signal (m)							
nX nlatoon unblocked							
vC. conflicting volume	37				18	18	
vC1_stage 1 conf vol	57				10	10	
vC2_stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	37				18	18	
tC single (s)	1 1				6.4	62	
tC_{2} stage (s)	7.1				0.4	0.2	
tE(s)	22				35	33	
n0 queue free %	100				0.0	100	
cM capacity (yeb/b)	1574				000	1060	
	1374				999	1000	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	0	37	35				
Volume Left	0	0	35				
Volume Right	0	37	0				
cSH	1700	1700	999				
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.02	0.04				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.9				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	8.7				
Lane LOS			А				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	8.7				
Approach LOS			А				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			4.2				
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		13.3%	IC	CU Leve	el of Servic	e
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	٠	-	7	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			1		\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	1	4	23	0	7	2	406	19	3	459	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	1	4	23	0	7	2	406	19	3	459	4
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	1	4	25	0	8	2	441	21	3	499	4
Pedestrians		5			29			7			3	
Lane Width (m)		3.6			3.6			3.6			3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.2			1.2			1.2			1.2	
Percent Blockage		0			2			1			0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								246				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	978	1007	513	1003	998	484	508			491		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	978	1007	513	1003	998	484	508			491		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	99	100	99	88	100	99	100			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	219	233	556	207	236	568	1053			1046		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	7	33	464	506								
Volume Left	2	25	2	3								
Volume Right	4	8	21	4								
cSH	339	244	1053	1046								
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.14	0.00	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.5	3.7	0.0	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	15.8	22.0	0.1	0.1								
Lane LOS	С	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	15.8	22.0	0.1	0.1								
Approach LOS	С	С										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			0.9									
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		Err%	10	CU Lev	el of Ser	vice		Н			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٠	-	7	1	-	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	ţ,			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	76	14	90	11	7	36	48	315	23	14	364	108
Future Volume (Veh/h)	76	14	90	11	7	36	48	315	23	14	364	108
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Hourly flow rate (vph)	80	15	95	12	7	38	51	332	24	15	383	114
Pedestrians		22			33			53				
Lane Width (m)		3.6			3.6			3.6				
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.2			1.2			1.2				
Percent Blockage		2			3			4				
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								194				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	980	983	515	1104	1028	377	519			389		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	980	983	515	1104	1028	377	519			389		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	58	93	82	90	97	94	95			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	190	223	525	125	210	651	1028			1137		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1							
Volume Total	80	110	57	407	512							
Volume Left	80	0	12	51	15							
Volume Right	0	95	38	24	114							
cSH	190	443	304	1028	1137							
Volume to Capacity	0.42	0.25	0.19	0.05	0.01							
Queue Length 95th (m)	15.3	7.7	5.4	1.3	0.3							
Control Delay (s)	37.1	15.8	19.6	1.6	0.4							
Lane LOS	E	С	С	А	А							
Approach Delay (s)	24.8		19.6	1.6	0.4							
Approach LOS	С		С									
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			5.7									
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		60.8%	10	CU Leve	el of Ser	vice		В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	•	7	1	†	ŧ	~		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	M			្ដ	1.			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	48	55	81	339	341	124		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	48	55	81	339	341	124		
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	52	60	88	368	371	135		
Pedestrians	30			138	51			
Lane Width (m)	3.6			3.6	3.6			
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.2			1.2	1.2			
Percent Blockage	3			12	4			
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type				None	None			
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)				114				
pX, platoon unblocked	0.95							
vC, conflicting volume	1064	606	536					
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	1042	606	536					
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1					
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2					
p0 queue free %	75	86	91					
cM capacity (veh/h)	205	429	1001					
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	112	456	506					
Volume Left	52	88	0					
Volume Right	60	0	135					
cSH	284	1001	1700					
Volume to Capacity	0.39	0.09	0.30					
Queue Length 95th (m)	14.4	2.3	0.0					
Control Delay (s)	25.7	2.5	0.0					
Lane LOS	D	A						
Approach Delay (s)	25.7	2.5	0.0					
Approach LOS	D							
Intersection Summarv								
Average Delav			3.8					l
Intersection Capacity Uti	ilization		71.8%	l	CU Leve	el of Servic	е	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
	-	+	Ť	ŧ				
------------------------	------	-------	------	------				
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBT	SBT				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	284	186	359	416				
v/c Ratio	0.31	0.35	0.42	0.53				
Control Delay	8.3	9.9	11.1	12.4				
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Total Delay	8.3	9.9	11.1	12.4				
Queue Length 50th (m)	4.5	5.6	12.4	14.5				
Queue Length 95th (m)	13.4	19.8	44.8	54.6				
Internal Link Dist (m)	53.3	103.0	82.4	90.0				
Turn Bay Length (m)								
Base Capacity (vph)	1677	952	1042	968				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.17	0.20	0.34	0.43				
Intersection Summary								

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 4: Memorial Ave & Fern Rd

	٠	-	7	1	-	*	1	t	1	1	ŧ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		đ þ			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	106	93	70	31	91	54	55	260	26	91	221	83
Future Volume (vph)	106	93	70	31	91	54	55	260	26	91	221	83
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.2			5.2	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.98			0.98			1.00			0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.99			1.00			1.00	
Frt		0.96			0.96			0.99			0.97	
Flt Protected		0.98			0.99			0.99			0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3215			1727			1820			1765	
Flt Permitted		0.83			0.88			0.88			0.84	
Satd. Flow (perm)		2725			1535			1621			1494	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adi, Flow (vph)	112	98	74	33	96	57	58	274	27	96	233	87
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	55	0	0	38	0	0	5	0	0	17	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	229	0	0	148	0	0	354	0	0	399	0
Confl Peds (#/hr)	40	220	62	62		40	24	001	54	54	000	24
Confl Bikes (#/hr)	10		1			1	- ·		0.	0.		2
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	Perm		170	Perm		2/0	Perm		2/0	Perm		2,0
Protected Phases	T CHII			1 CIIII	8		T CITI	2		T CIIII	6	
Permitted Phases	Δ	-		8	0		2	2		6	0	
Actuated Green G (s)		95		0	95		2	17 4		0	17 <i>4</i>	
Effective Green g (s)		9.5			9.5			17.4			17.4	
Actuated q/C Ratio		0.26			0.26			0.47			0.47	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.2			5.2	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0			3.0	
		607			202			760			700	
v/s Ratio Prot		097			393			700			700	
v/s Ralio Flot		0.09			o0 10			0.22			o0 27	
		0.00			0.20			0.22			0.57	
Uniform Dolay, d1		11.2			11 /			6.7			7.1	
Dragrossion Easter		1 00			11.4			1.00			1.0	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Delay (a)		0.3			12.0			0.5			1.1	
Delay (S)		II.5 D			12.0			7.1			8.3	
Level of Service		B			40.0			A			A	
Approach Delay (s)		II.5 D			12.0			7.1			8.3	
Approach LUS		В			В			A			A	
Intersection Summary									•			
HCM 2000 Control Dela	у		9.2	F	ICM 20	00 Leve	l of Serv	/ice	A			
HCM 2000 Volume to C	apacity	ratio	0.50	_								
Actuated Cycle Length (s)		37.1	S	Sum of l	ost time	(s)		10.2			
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		77.2%		CU Leve	el of Ser	vice		D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	٠	-	7	1	-	*	1	T.	1	1	ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			\$			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	55	0	33	14	1	29	29	265	15	16	261	60
Future Volume (Veh/h)	55	0	33	14	1	29	29	265	15	16	261	60
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89
Hourly flow rate (vph)	62	0	37	16	1	33	33	298	17	18	293	67
Pedestrians		8			7			4			13	
Lane Width (m)		3.6			3.6			3.6			3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.2			1.2			1.2			1.2	
Percent Blockage		1			1			0			1	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)											106	
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	790	758	338	783	784	326	368			322		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	790	758	338	783	784	326	368			322		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	77	100	95	94	100	95	97			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	268	318	697	280	308	703	1183			1231		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	99	50	348	378								
Volume Left	62	16	33	18								
Volume Right	37	33	17	67								
cSH	348	466	1183	1231								
Volume to Capacity	0.28	0.11	0.03	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	9.2	2.9	0.7	0.4								
Control Delay (s)	19.4	13.7	1.0	0.5								
Lane LOS	С	В	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	19.4	13.7	1.0	0.5								
Approach LOS	С	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			3.6									
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		42.2%	I	CU Leve	el of Ser	vice		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	-	7	1	+	1	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	ţ,			÷.	¥		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	198	31	78	161	18	72	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	198	31	78	161	18	72	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	215	34	85	175	20	78	
Pedestrians					9		
Lane Width (m)					3.6		
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.2		
Percent Blockage					1		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)				118			
pX, platoon unblocked				-			
vC, conflicting volume			258		586	241	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			258		586	241	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			93		95	90	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1297		438	792	
Direction Lane #	ER 1	\//R 1	NR 1				
Volume Total	2/0	260					
	249	200 95	30				
Volume Pight	24	00	20				
	1700	1207	690				
Volume to Canacity	0.15	0.07	0.14				
Oucus Longth 05th (m)	0.15	0.07	0.14				
Control Doloy (a)	0.0	1.7	4.0				
Long LOS	0.0	3.0	II.Z				
Lane LOS	0.0	A 2.0	D				
Approach LOS	0.0	3.0	II.Z				
			В				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			3.1				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		40.9%	10	CU Leve	el of Serv	ice
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	۶	→	+	*	1	4		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		÷.	ħ		Y			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	37	233	177	52	36	62		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	37	233	177	52	36	62		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop			
Grade		0%	0%		0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	45	284	216	63	44	76		
Pedestrians			26		43			
Lane Width (m)			3.6		3.6			
Walking Speed (m/s)			1.2		1.2			
Percent Blockage			2		4			
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type		None	None					
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)			101					
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	322				690	290		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	322				690	290		
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %	96				88	89		
cM capacity (veh/h)	1193				373	722		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	329	279	120					
Volume Left	45	0	44					
Volume Right	0	63	76					
cSH	1193	1700	537					
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.16	0.22					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.9	0.0	6.8					
Control Delay (s)	1.4	0.0	13.6					
Lane LOS	А		В					
Approach Delay (s)	1.4	0.0	13.6					
Approach LOS			В					
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			2.9					
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		43.4%	IC	CU Leve	el of Servic	е	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

	-	7	1	-	1	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	ţ,			स्	Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	269	0	0	229	0	0	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	269	0	0	229	0	0	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	292	0	0	249	0	0	
Pedestrians					22		
Lane Width (m)					3.6		
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.2		
Percent Blockage					2		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)				77			
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			314		563	314	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			314		563	314	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			100		100	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1223		479	713	
Direction Lane #	FR 1	W/R 1	NR 1				
Volume Total	202	2/0	0				
Volume Left	292	249	0				
Volume Bight	0	0	0				
	1700	1223	1700				
Volume to Canacity	0 17	0.00	0.05				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.17	0.00	0.05				
Control Dolay (c)	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Long LOS	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Approach Doloy (c)	0.0	0.0					
Approach LOS	0.0	0.0	0.0				
			А				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.0				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		17.5%	IC	CU Leve	el of Servi	се
Analysis Period (min)			15				

メ → ← < `> イ

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		đ	ħ		¥			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	90	85	0		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	0	90	85	0		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop			
Grade		0%	0%		0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	0	0	98	92	0		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (m)								
Walking Speed (m/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type		None	None					
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	98				49	49		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	98				49	49		
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %	100				90	100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	1495				960	1020		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	0	98	92					
Volume Left	0	0	92					
Volume Right	0	98	0					
cSH	1700	1700	960					
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.06	0.10					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	2.5					
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	9.1					
Lane LOS			А					
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	9.1					
Approach LOS			А					
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			4.4					
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		16.9%	IC	CU Leve	el of Servio	ce A	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

	-	+	t	Ļ
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBT	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	284	186	359	416
v/c Ratio	0.66	0.65	0.67	0.87
Control Delay	32.9	38.7	29.1	43.7
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	32.9	38.7	29.1	43.7
Queue Length 50th (m)	18.4	24.6	46.3	57.3
Queue Length 95th (m)	32.8	47.9	82.5	#116.1
Internal Link Dist (m)	42.4	103.0	82.4	90.0
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	612	407	673	598
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.46	0.46	0.53	0.70
Intersection Summary				

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

	٠	-	7	1	-	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4 P			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	106	93	70	31	91	54	55	260	26	91	221	83
Future Volume (vph)	106	93	70	31	91	54	55	260	26	91	221	83
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.2			5.2	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.95			0.96			1.00			0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.97			0.98			1.00			0.99	
Frt		0.96			0.96			0.99			0.97	
Flt Protected		0.98			0.99			0.99			0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3068			1664			1816			1759	
Flt Permitted		0.70			0.88			0.86			0.78	
Satd. Flow (perm)		2177			1483			1572			1379	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	112	98	74	33	96	57	58	274	27	96	233	87
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	42	0	0	19	0	0	3	0	0	11	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	242	0	0	167	0	0	356	0	0	405	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	40		62	62		40	24		54	54		24
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1						2
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		13.8			13.8			26.5			26.5	
Effective Green, g (s)		13.8			13.8			26.5			26.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.18			0.18			0.34			0.34	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.2			5.2	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		385			262			534			468	
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		0.11			c0.11			0.23			c0.29	
v/c Ratio		0.63			0.64			0.67			0.86	
Uniform Delay, d1		29.7			29.8			22.0			24.1	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		3.2			5.0			3.1			15.3	
Delay (s)		32.9			34.8			25.1			39.3	
Level of Service		С			С			С			D	
Approach Delay (s)		32.9			34.8			25.1			39.3	
Approach LOS		С			С			С			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Dela	у		33.1	H	ICM 20	00 Leve	l of Serv	vice	С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Ca	apacity	ratio	0.49									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)		78.0	S	Sum of l	ost time	(s)		13.2			
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		66.3%](CU Leve	el of Sei	vice		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

The attached information is provided to support the agency's review process and shall not be distributed to other parties without written consent from Bunt & Associates Engineering Ltd.

APPENDIX C

Swept Path Analysis



Exhibit 1 Passenger Vehicle Circulation - Option 3





Naked Natural Foods Site Plan 08-23-0012 March 2024 Scale 1:250 on Letter Prepared by KQ Page 228 sociates



WB-20 Maneuvers - Outbound - Option 3



Naked Natural Foods Site Plan 08-23-0012 March 2024 Scale 1:500_1 on Letter

Prepared by KQ



WB-15 Maneuvers - Inbound - Option 3



Naked Natural Foods Site Plan 08-23-0012 March 2024 Scale 1:500_1 on Letter Prepared by KQ



WB-15 Maneuvers - Outbound - Option 3



08-23-0012 March 2024 Scale 1:500_1 on Letter

Naked Natural Foods Site Plan

Prepared by KQ

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH STAFF REPORT

TO: Luke Sales, Director of Planning

FOR: Regular Council Meeting

DATE: July 17, 2024

FROM: Liz Pollock, Planner

SUBJECT: Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. THAT Council endorses the report titled "Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan" dated April 19, 2024.
- 2. THAT Council directs staff to prepare a report outlining next steps in the implementation of the Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan for consideration during the 2025-2029 Budget process.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide the final Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan for Council endorsement and to direct a further report from staff outlining implementation next steps for consideration during the 2025-2029 Budget process.

BACKGROUND

In 2018, the Town of Qualicum Beach purchased the historic St. Andrew's Lodge property on the Qualicum Beach waterfront. The property is approximately 9,800m² (2.4 acres) in size, has over 70m of waterfront and is in a key location at Buller Road and Highway 19A.

In June 2019, the Town undertook a comprehensive public consultation and visioning process led by Lanarc Consultants. The Plan was undertaken with the following objectives:

- Learn about the site's existing conditions and assets;
- Engage with stakeholders, members of the public, staff, and Council;
- Understand key issues and develop potential options to address these issues;
- Develop a strong vision; and
- Outline recommendations that support the vision and allow the Town to plan, prioritize, and budget for park improvements over time.

The Town adopted the "Former St. Andrew's Waterfront Park" Conceptual Plan on February 5, 2020. The full Conceptual Plan can be found on the Town's website: <u>https://www.qualicumbeach.com/saahtlam</u>

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024 Regular Council Meeting – Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan Page 2 of 3

DISCUSSION

Public engagement and the finalization of a detailed Park Plan for Saahtlam Park was initiated by the Town in May 2023. The feedback from these engagements resulted in a refined vision and updated Park Plan Illustration for Saahtlam Park. This work builds off the "Former St. Andrew's Waterfront Park Plan". Several key decisions have been made since 2020 that also informed the next phase:

- 1. The St. Andrew's Lodge building will remain and be restored by a not-for-profit society.
- 2. There will be a public washroom in the St. Andrew's Lodge building that is accessible from the outside.

The Phase 1 Engagement Summary, updated Park Plan Illustration, and Refined Vision Statement became publicly available in early December 2023. Staff circulated the updated Park Plan Illustration and refined Vision Statement to interest groups and provided a second Feedback Form as an opportunity for the public to comment on the revisions.

Lanarc consultants presented the updated Park Plan Illustration showing the proposed spatial arrangement and relationships of site amenities and provided an outline of changes made since the first round of stakeholder and public engagement at the December 13, 2023 Committee of the Whole Meeting. Following this presentation to the Committee of the Whole, further refinements were made to reflect Council comments.

Following Council approval, the Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan can move into the next phase which would include detailed costing and consideration of implementation.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The 2024-2028 Financial Plan includes a total budget provision of \$600,000 with \$250,000 budgeted in 2025 and \$350,000 budgeted in 2026.

NOTE: There is an additional budget provision of \$20,000 in 2024 for the removal of the bocce ball court and installation of a fence. Beyond this, it is anticipated that staff will actively pursue grant opportunities to secure funding aimed at facilitating the detailed design and construction costs associated with improvements to Saahtlam Park.



PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPECTRUM (IAP²)

Public Participation Framework developed by the International Association for Public Participation – IAP2 International.

CONSULT, INVOLVE, INFORM:

- To date the project has included extensive public participation (consult and involve).
- The consultation process for the design is now complete.
- Next steps will include informing the public as further information is available (inform).

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024 Regular Council Meeting – Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan Page 3 of 3

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

Council's Strategic Plan Focus Area(s) supported by this initiative:

- <u>Good Governance</u>: To govern for the public interest of our community while managing competing interests, ensuring availability of transparent and accessible information, fostering respectful public engagement, and demonstrating ethical values.
- <u>Community Health & Wellbeing</u>: To improve the health and wellbeing of people who live, work, and play in the Town.

SUMMARY

In 2018, the Town of Qualicum Beach acquired the historic St. Andrew's Lodge property on the waterfront, a key 2.4-acre property located at Buller Road and Highway 19A. Starting in June 2019, a public consultation and visioning process was led by Lanarc Consultants, focusing on understanding the site's conditions, engaging stakeholders, and developing a vision for future park improvements. The "Former St. Andrew's Waterfront Park" Conceptual Plan was adopted on February 5, 2020. Lanarc Consulting has completed the Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan and Council is now in a position to direct staff to prepare a report speaking to detailed costing and consideration of implementation.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

1. THAT Council directs staff to provided alternative direction to staff.

APPROVALS

Report respectfully submitted by Liz Pollock, Planner

Liz Pollock Planner *Report Author*

Lou Varela, MCIP, RPP Chief Administrative Officer *Concurrence*

The fil

Luke Sales Director of Planning *Concurrence*

Raj Hayre Director of Finance *Concurrence*

REFERENCES

Attachment 1: Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan File: 6520-20-St. Andrew's Park N:\0100-0699 ADMINISTRATION\0550 COUNCIL MEETINGS\0550-20 MEETINGS\2024\07 17 RC\1. DRAFTS FOR CORP ADMIN REVIEW/memo.Plan.Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan. July 17 2024.docx









ATTACHMENT NO. 1

SAAHTLAM PARK DETAILED PLAN



APRIL 2024







DETAILED PLAN

1 INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 Purpose of the Plan 2 1.2 Using This Document 3 1.3 Summary of Recent Developments 3 1.4 Planning Process Overview 5 1.5 Community Engagement 5 1.6 Park Vision Statement 7 1.7 Guiding Principles 8 2 RECOMMENDATIONS 11 2.1 Refined Concept Illustration 12

2.1	Refined Concept Illustration	12
2.2	Summary List of Recommendations	12
2.3	Recommendations	14

1 | INTRODUCTION

CONTENTS

1.1	PURPOSE OF THE PLAN	2
1.2	USING THIS DOCUMENT	3
1.3	SUMMARY OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS	3
1.4	PLANNING PROCESS OVERVIEW	5
1.5	COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT	5
1.6	PARK VISION STATEMENT	7
1.7	GUIDING PRINCIPLES	. 8

1

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE PLAN

The Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan (the Plan) is an update to be used as an amendment (not a consolidation or replacement) of the 2020 Former St. Andrews Concept Plan. The 2020 Concept Plan was initiated by the Town of Qualicum Beach following the acquisition of the property in 2018. This Plan aims to bring together previous work alongside recent developments to form a cohesive and unified plan for the future of this important waterfront park.

This Plan provides an opportunity to reconsider the site today, two years after it was opened as a community park. This Plan identifies priorities for short-term (1-3 years), medium-term (3-10 years), and ongoing that will create a resilient park for the community to enjoy for generations to come.

This Plan was undertaken with the following objectives:

- Explore how the site's existing conditions and assets have changed since the 2020 Concept Plan;
- ▶ Re-engage with interest groups, members of the public, staff, and Council;
- Investigate key issues and challenges;
- Revise the 2020 Concept Plan vision statement, site plan illustration, and recommendations to reflect key changes and support the Town to plan, prioritize, and budget for park improvements over time.

1.2 USING THIS DOCUMENT

The Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan, in conjunction with the 2020 Former St. Andrews Concept Plan, is considered a living document that will continue to evolve as needs shift and opportunities arise. Recommendations in this plan are intended to be reviewed annually and adjusted in 5-10 years to reflect evolving park and community needs.

This Plan does not illustrate an exact or final layout for all potential improvements, rather it provides a vision and strategies for planning, assessing, and implementing desired changes over time.

All capital projects recommended in this Plan will be considered within the Town of Qualicum Beach's broader planning and budgeting processes, and will be subject to individual consideration and approval by Council prior to proceeding.

This Plan sets a framework for positive change — success will depend on the continued contributions from the Town, St. Andrews Lodge Historical and Cultural Society (SALHACS), and the broader community.

1.3 SUMMARY OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Since the 2020 Concept Plan's adoption the park has undergone key developments that informed the need for the 2024 Detailed Plan. Recent developments include:

- ▶ 2021: Park opens to the public.
- 2022: Council decides to retain the Lodge building in support of community aspirations.
- 2022: The St. Andrews Lodge Historical and Cultural Society (SALHACS) forms and begins planning for Lodge improvements and programming special events in the park.
- 2022: Park use is increasing including several successful events and community gatherings in the park and programming such as yoga and exercise classes.
- 2023: Qualicum First Nation gifts the name "Saahtlam", meaning "the green at the mouth of the river".



Figure 1: Process Diagram

4

1.4 PLANNING PROCESS OVERVIEW

The Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan process was conducted in two phases. The process was initiated in Summer 2023 and concluded in Winter 2024. Each phase involved community engagement and feedback. Community input was fundamental to refining the plan directions.

- PHASE 1 This phase met with stakeholders, developed a refined concept illustration, vision statement, and draft list of directions, and then gathered community input and feedback.
- PHASE 2 Based on feedback from Phase 1, this phase revised the concept illustration, recommendations list, and vision statement for further input from Council, interest groups, and the community prior to developing the Plan document.

1.5 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

As illustrated in the Process Diagram (Figure 1), community input was gathered during both phases of the project. Engagement activities included:

ROUND #1:

- Interest Groups Meeting: The project team met with interest groups in the park to review the former plan and to discuss opportunities, challenges, and priorities to be addressed in the Detailed Plan.
- Farmer's Market Pop-Up Event: The Town of Qualicum Beach staff ran a booth at the Qualicum Beach Farmer's Market to gather community input on park plan refinements, answer questions, and share information about the detailed plan update process.
- Community Feedback Form: A community feedback form was available at the pop-up event, Town Hall, and online throughout October. The focus of the community feedback form was to share updates on the Saahtlam Park Detailed Plan process and receive feedback on the updated concept plan and potential park improvements.

ROUND #2:

Community Referral (Round #2 Feedback Form): A feedback form was available at Town Hall and online. The feedback form shared the revised materials with the community for further comment. The material refinements were a reflection of the feedback heard in Round #1.



ROUND #1 WHO PARTICIPATED?

286 Completed Community Feedback Forms

∼ I 00 Visited the Farmer's Market Pop-Up Event

ROUND #2

WHO PARTICIPATED?

> 207 Completed Round #2 Feedback Forms



1.6 PARK VISION STATEMENT

The vision describes a long-term future for this waterfront park in the Town of Qualicum Beach. It provides a reminder about the spirit and intent for this public space and encourages planning and development to make progress towards this community aim. A vision helps to guide decisions about future park changes and development.

2020 Vision Statement:

The 2020 Park Concept Plan was adopted with the following vision statement:

The Town of Qualicum Beach's former St. Andrews waterfront park offers a natural respite among mature trees and is a highlight of the Town's waterfront character. This park serves to connect people with nature, community, family, and site history. Visitors of all ages are invited here to relax, socialize, be active outdoors, enjoy the waterfront, and celebrate natural coastal features for generations to come.

2024 Refined Vision Statement:

The following is the updated vision statement that includes the following refinements:

- Uses the park name "Saahtlam Park"
- Enhances reference to "culture and heritage"
- Reflects the park's role as a gathering place to "celebrate with community"
- Identifies the importance of "stewardship" here
- Clarifies the active role for "restoring" the natural coastal features

VISION STATEMENT:

Saahtlam Park offers a natural respite among mature trees and is a highlight of the Town's waterfront character. This park serves to connect people with nature, community, family, culture, and heritage. Visitors of all ages are invited here to relax, celebrate with community, enjoy the waterfront, be active stewards, and join in restoring the natural coastal features for generations to come.



1.7 GUIDING PRINCIPLES

Guiding principles support realization of the vision by providing guidance for future projects within the park. These principles act as a "checklist" for proposed park improvements; if a potential project meets these objectives it will support the spirit and focus of the Plan.

Protect and Enhance Natural Areas

- Retain healthy, significant trees and vegetation
- Maintain a naturalized foreshore that is receptive and resilient to coastal processes
- Plan park improvements to best respond to projected sea level rise
- Protect a healthy marine ecosystem that is not threatened by human interference
- Encourage opportunities for local stewardship of the park
- Restore and enhance the park's natural features through removal and management of invasive species and implementation of plantings that encourage wildlife and pollinators



Improve Access

- Create pathways that support accessible travel to the beach and park
- Link beyond the park's boundaries to the surrounding neighbourhood and across the highway
- Provide routes that feel safe and inviting and encourage universal accessibility and inclusivity
- Create a wayfinding and signage system that is clear and engaging
- Integrate clear park entry points that include arrival amenities
- Establish viewpoints to key park features and the waterfront
- Encourage active transportation users by providing end-of-trip facilities (e.g., secure bike parking, e-bike charging)
- Seek opportunities for parking to support park access and use



Celebrate Site History

- Provide park visitors opportunities to see and learn about cultural and ecological features of the park
- Work with Qualicum First Nation to celebrate local Indigenous culture and heritage
- Integrate the legacy of the site's recent history as a lodge and vacation destination
- Pursue opportunities to connect park users to the past through use of materials or naming of park features
- Encourage amenities that attract both residents and visitors throughout the year



Encourage a Healthy, Active Community

- Encourage park visitors of all ages and abilities to be active outdoors
- Provide a setting for positive social interactions and community connections
- Promote features and amenities that are unique from other parks in Town
- Strengthen access to amenities that are family-friendly and exciting
- Offer opportunities for social gathering, both day-to-day and special events
- Integrate flexible, multi-use spaces that encourage a wide range of activities



2 | RECOMMENDATIONS

CONTENTS

12	REFINED CONCEPT ILLUSTRATION	2.1
12	SUMMARY LIST OF RECOMMENDATIONS	2.2
	RECOMMENDATIONS	23

2.1 REFINED CONCEPT ILLUSTRATION

The adjacent Refined Concept Illustration summarizes the proposed vision for the park. This illustration shows how the park Vision and Guiding Principles could be incorporated in the future and is used to set planning directions, recommendations, and current priorities.

The illustration and corresponding list are informed by:

- » The 2020 Concept Plan;
- » Use of the Lodge and Park since the Park's opening in 2021;
- » Council motions;

- » Input from interest groups;
- » Input from the community;
- » Input from Qualicum First Nation; and
- » Technical review by the consulting team and Town.

2.2 SUMMARY LIST OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Refer to the summary list of recommendations below, which is also the legend for the Refined Concept Illustration. Refer to the adjacent illustration for locations of improvements.

* Indicates improvement is not shown on the Concept Illustration.

QUALICUM FIRST NATION

Relationship Building with Qualicum First Nation

UPLAND PARK AMENITIES

- Park Washroom
- 2 Play Area
- 3 Performance + Audience Space
- 4 Upland Pathways
- Outdoor Seating + Picnic Areas
- Multi-Purpose Open Space
- Art Opportunity
- Bood Truck Parking & Amenities

ACCESS & PARKING

- Orosswalk + Trail Connection
- 10 Cyclist Amenities
- 11 Service Vehicle Access
- 12 Accessible Parking
- 13 Drop-off / Loading Zone / Bus Pull-Out
- Roadside Day Parking
- 15 Future Expansion Parking
- 16 Park Signage + Wayfinding

NATURAL AREAS & TREE CANOPY

- Designated Beach Paths
- 13 Former Foreshore Area
- 19 Upland Planting Areas
- 20 Tree Management*
- 21 Bat Boxes

OPERATIONS

- 22 Plan Review*
 - Operations & Maintenance Budgeting*
- 24 Staffing*
- 23 Life-Cycle Planning*
- 20 Dogs On-Leash*
- 20 Events & Programming*
- 28 Park Stewardship Group*
- 29 Plant Management Plan*
- 30 Manage Fire Risk*
- Maintain Sightlines*
- Outdoor Storage*
- SALHACS Lease Area Boundary**

All upgrades shown within this boundary (including St. Andrew's Lodge, accessibility ramps, stairs, etc.), with the exception of the Park Washroom ((1), are the responsibility of SALHACS.





2.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.3.1 Recommendation Format

Each recommendation is organized to include the following information:

- Actions: A summary of key steps required to complete the recommendation.
- Timeline: Identifies the relative phasing for implementation of the recommendation:
 - » 1-3 year (short-term)
 - » 3-10 year (medium-term)
 - » Ongoing: Recurring, no defined time frame
- Preliminary Capital Cost Estimate: Identifies an estimated cost allowance for planning purposes.
 - » Estimates are developed using unit costs and quantities based on general assumptions and historical construction cost data from similar projects, and are provided to assist with long-range budget planning.
 - » Costs for infrastructure can vary widely depending on site constraints, design, market forces or other variables.
 - » Cost Breakdown:
 - » \$: \$0 to \$15,000
 - » \$\$: \$15,000 to \$50,000
 - » \$\$\$: \$50,000 to \$150,000
 - » \$\$\$\$:\$150,000+

QUALICUM FIRST NATION

RELATIONSHIP BUILDING WITH QUALICUM FIRST NATION

ACTIONS		CAPITAL ESTIMATE
A.1 Explore opportunities with Qualicum First Nation at a government to government level for Saahtlam Park to be a place for building trust, respect, and Reconciliation.	Ongoing	n/a

UPLAND PARK AMENITIES

PROVIDE A PARK WASHROOM

ACTIONS			CAPITAL ESTIMATE
1.1	Provide a public washroom in the Lodge to support general park visitation. The public washroom will be accessible, efficient, and connected with a direct entrance from the park.	Short	\$\$\$

2) PLAY AREA

ACTIONS		TIMELINE	BUDGET ESTIMATE
2.1	 Complete a detailed design process and construct a play area with a natural character that is inclusive for all ages and abilities. Amenities to include: Play features for ages 2-5 and 5-12 Climbing feature Supporting elements: wobbler, spinner, ride-on spring toy, etc. Engineered wood fibre or pour-in-place rubber safety surfacing 2-3 benches and informal seating (logs, seat boulders) Cedar rail fencing Tree planting for shade 	Short	\$\$\$\$



Inspiration: Play features can take many different forms. The images above are inspiration for built play features that relate to the natural character of the park.

③) PERFORMANCE + AUDIENCE SPACE

АСТ	ONS		TIMELINE	BUDGET ESTIMATE
3.1	Con	plete a detailed design process to design a performance space between the two		
	ceda	ar trees directly north of the Lodge. This location is buffered from the ambient		\$\$ - \$\$\$
	vehi	cle noise on Hwy 19A. Key amenities to provide:		
	»	Secure outdoor electrical connection		
	»	Temporary or permanent mounting locations for speakers / audio equipment	Medium	
	»	Temporary or permanent cover for weather protection and to improve acoustics		
	»	Root protection for the two cedar trees		
	»	Open space north of the cedar trees for audiences to gather		
	»	Consideration for atmosphere lighting (e.g., posts for string lights)		



Images: Saahtlam Park has become a place for the community to enjoy and support local musical talent and performance. The location between the two cedar trees adjacent to the Lodge is recommended as the focal point for performance due to its protected location relative to Hwy 19A and the foreshore.

Inspiration: Decking is one strategy to provide root protection (as well as drainage and permeability) to support tree longevity with increased use of this area.

④ UPLAND PATHWAYS

ACTIONS		TIMELINE	BUDGET ESTIMATE
4.1	Provide accessible paved pathways to formalize circulation through the park (see		
	Concept Illustration for locations). Path surfacing material(s) to be confirmed through	Medium	\$\$
	detailed design.		

OUTDOOR SEATING

ACTIONS		TIMELINE	BUDGET ESTIMATE
5.1	Incorporate seating throughout the park that is located with a focus on natural and programmed park features and desirable views.	Medium	\$
5.2	Continue to include memorial benches as part of park seating.	Medium	\$
5.3	Provide additional picnic tables including accessible tables on pads accessible directly from park pathways. Provide waste receptacles and access to potable water nearby picnic areas.	Medium	\$
MAINTAIN MULTI-PURPOSE OPEN SPACE

АСТ	IONS	TIMELINE	BUDGET ESTIMATE
6.1	Reserve open lawn space to support a variety of uses both informal (e.g., picnic, frisbee) and formal (e.g., yoga class, local market [25-35 temporary exhibitor tents], community events, small gatherings, etc.).	Short / Ongoing	\$

ART OPPORTUNITY

ACT	IONS	TIMELINE	BUDGET ESTIMATE
7.1	Explore opportunity with Qualicum First Nation or other local artists for the installation of a commissioned art piece to support the visibility and celebration of local arts and culture. (The form and location for an art piece should be determined through a site specific process).	Medium / Ongoing	\$\$

Inspiration: An art feature provides an opportunity for cultural expression. Arts and culture are key components to community gathering places like Saahtlam Park. The above images are inspiration from other sites and are shown for general reference only.

IFOOD TRUCK PARKING & AMENITIES

ACTIONS		TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
8.1	Provide two designated food truck parking locations in the park — one near the Lodge and one at the northwest corner off Buller Road. Provide secure power and	Medium	\$\$
	water connections accessible to each location.		

ACCESS & PARKING

OROSSWALK + TRAIL CONNECTION

ΑCTI	IONS	TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
9.1	Provide a painted crosswalk with pedestrian crosswalk warning lights across Hwy 19A at Challoner Road for traffic calming, safe crossing from parking, and to connect the Laburnum Trail to Saahtlam Park.	Medium	\$\$
9.2	Complete an engineering, transportation, and emergency services review for a second painted crosswalk to Saahtlam Park (at Buller Road). If supported, proceed with implementation.	Medium	\$\$

(D) CYCLIST AMENITIES

ΑΟΤΙ	ONS	TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
10.1	Provide a designated bike parking area near the Lodge building (and visible from Hwy 19A).	Short	\$
10.2	Provide a covered area with e-bike charging station. Consider including a simple bike repair area.	Medium	\$\$

SERVICE VEHICLE ACCESS

ΑΟΤΙ	IONS	TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
11.1	Maintain the existing service / emergency vehicle access from Hwy 19A. Formalize two additional service vehicle access points: one from Buller Road, and one from Challoner Road.	Medium	\$

ACCESSIBLE PARKING

ΑΟΤΙ	ACTIONS 12.1 Provide signage and markings to clearly designate (3) accessible parkings stalls		CAPITAL ESTIMATE
12.1	Provide signage and markings to clearly designate (3) accessible parkings stalls adjacent to the Lodge building and southwest park entry. Coordinate with SALHACS to integrate heritage hitching post reference in this same area.	Short	\$

B DROP-OFF / LOADING ZONE / BUS PULL-OUT

ACTIONS		TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
13.1	Formalize a 15 minute drop-off / loading zone off Hwy 19A fronting the Lodge to improve convenience and access to the park. This area should also support a shuttle or bus pull-out.	Short	\$-\$\$

ROADSIDE DAY PARKING

ΑCTI	ONS	TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
14.1	Maintain parking areas along Hwy 19A. Improve the delineation of this parking and provide connecting pathways for circulation.	Short	\$

(B) FUTURE EXPANSION PARKING

ΑΟΤΙ	ONS	TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
15.1	Consider formalizing parking (approximately 15 stalls) in the Challoner Road right-of- way west of Hwy 19A.	Medium	\$\$\$

PARK SIGNAGE + WAYFINDING

ACTIONS		TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
16.1	Provide one primary park sign along Hwy 19A. Include secondary signage at all park entry points that includes wayfinding / directional signage, and regulatory signage to communicate codes-of-conduct information (e.g., hours of use, nature restoration zones).	Short	\$\$
16.2	Develop an interpretive signage program for the site that includes information about Indigenous culture, recent history, and natural features. Integrate the interpretive signage at Saahtlam Park with interpretive signage from other Town waterfront parks.	Short	\$-\$\$

NATURAL AREAS & TREE CANOPY

DESIGNATED BEACH PATHS

ACTIONS		TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
17.1	Designate paths with stacked cedar rail fencing to minimize trampling through the		
	former foreshore area to the beach. Provide a primary route from the centre of the	Short	\$\$
	park, a secondary route from Challoner Road end, and a connection to Buller Road.		

INTER FORESHORE AREA

ΑCTI	ONS	TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
18.1	Retain and enhance existing vegetation. Allow for natural succession of accreted foreshore area to take place (e.g., establishing Douglas fir trees).	Short / Ongoing	\$
18.2	Remove invasive species (e.g., Himalayan blackberry, Scotch broom, etc.) on an ongoing basis.	Short / Ongoing	\$
18.3	Install signage to educate park visitors about areas that are in restoration and sensitive to access and encourage visitors to remain in designated areas of the park.	Short	\$

UPLAND PLANTING AREAS

ΑΟΤΙ	ONS	TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
19.1	Retain and enhance existing upland shrub areas around the park perimeter to provide habitat value and retain the park's natural character. Plant species that are native, drought- tolerant, and pollinator friendly. Maintain plant height to provide clear sightlines into the park.	Short / Ongoing	\$\$
19.2	Supplement the native planting area around the proposed play area.	Short	\$
19.3	Preserve the heritage Gold-Bronze Rhododendrons gifted to Elizabeth Little by the Museum Society.	Ongoing	\$
19.4	Remove invasive species (e.g., Himalayan blackberry, Scotch broom, etc.) on an ongoing basis.	Short / Ongoing	\$

② TREE MANAGEMENT

ΑCTI	ONS	TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
20.1	Continue arborist review of park trees for continued tree health and park safety.	Ongoing	\$
20.2	Establish a tree planting program to support tree succession in the park that maintains a mature forest canopy in the long-term.	Short / Ongoing	\$-\$\$

④ BAT BOX PROGRAM

ACT	ONS	TIMELINE	CAPITAL ESTIMATE
21.1	Monitor existing bat boxes for activity and success. Consider additional bat boxes.	Ongoing	\$

OPERATIONS

ACTIONS	TIMELINE
PLAN REVIEW: Revisit the usage, amenities, and opportunities of Saahtlam Park every 5 years and plan for updates accordingly.	Ongoing
OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE BUDGETING: Increase operations and maintenance budgets for Saahtlam Park to accommodate new amenities, upgraded amenities, restored natural areas, and maintenance of natural areas.	Ongoing
STAFFING: As park amenities and level of service are expanded or improved, increase staffing levels to meet additional maintenance, operations, and management needs.	Ongoing
LIFE-CYCLE PLANNING: Undertake life-cycle planning and asset management to ensure park amenities are regularly inspected for safety, necessary maintenance, and renewal (e.g. play elements, furnishings, and pathways).	Ongoing
DOGS ON-LEASH: As per existing municipal bylaw, continue to designate Saahtlam Park as area for dogs on-leash to support the comfort of park users of all ages and abilities and the park's natural environment.	Ongoing
EVENTS & PROGRAMMING: Integrate Saahtlam Park into the parks and recreation network of Qualicum Beach. Support existing programming and identify opportunities for year round programming of the park and Lodge in collaboration with SALHACS and others to make Saahtlam Park an ongoing destination.	Short / Ongoing
PARK STEWARDSHIP GROUP: Promote the formation of a Saahtlam Park stewardship group (name to be determined) by coordinating with local schools, neighbourhood groups, or volunteer groups already active nearby (e.g. SALHACS, Qualicum Beach Streamkeepers).	Ongoing
PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN: With stewardship partners, develop a park plant management plan. Support volunteer stewardship efforts such as work parties to remove invasive species and garbage, undertake restoration planting, and monitor efforts.	Ongoing
MANAGE FIRE RISK: Clearly post the ban of beach fires and all forms of smoking in the park.	Ongoing
MAINTAIN SIGHTLINES: Design park furnishings and structures to avoid hidden areas. Remove the basketball hoop to improve the sightline from the park entry and lodge through the park. Support opportunities for year-round park activity to encourage consistent "eyes on the park".	Short / Ongoing
OUTDOOR STORAGE: Coordinate with SALHACS for the provision of accessible storage for event materials (e.g. tables, chairs) in close proximity to the Lodge building and outdoor performance space.	Short / Medium



TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH



то:	Luke Sales, Director of Planning
FOR:	Regular Council Meeting
DATE:	July 17, 2024
FROM:	Shinjini Mehta, Climate Action/Emergency Program Coordinator
SUBJECT:	Extreme Weather Response

RECOMMENDATIONS:

That Council receives, for information, the staff report attached to the July 17, 2024 agenda outlining the Town's response to extreme heat events when thresholds for temperature are exceeded.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Since 2001, the Town of Qualicum Beach and the City of Parkville have worked together to jointly deliver emergency management services through EMO¹. For some years, the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) was a formal partner in EMO but is not currently a signatory to the most recent renewed agreement, although staff work collaboratively in Oceanside to respond to emergencies. The 2021 EMO agreement was designed to facilitate future inclusion of the RDN and other governments.

The Province of BC granted Royal Assent to the *Emergency and Disaster Management Act* (EDMA) in November of 2023. The EDMA contains several new requirements for emergency planning, including changes that allow local governments to access emergency funding for responding to extreme weather conditions that may be hazardous to human health.

This report will discuss changes affecting the Extreme Weather Response Plan regulations and provide options for Council consideration regarding extreme heat events in summer 2024. A separate report on extreme cold weather response will be provided under a separate report this fall.

¹ <u>https://parksville.civicweb.net/filepro/documents/48457/?preview=48859</u>

BACKGROUND

Social services are a provincial responsibility and are mostly delivered through the Ministries of Housing, Health, Poverty Reduction and Mental Health and Addictions and the center for Disease Control. The Province partners with not-for-profit organizations to deliver a wide range of social supports to communities over and above this provincial responsibility. Municipalities may choose to tax residents locally to provide social services and recruit community safety officers and/or social planners, but the communities in Oceanside (Electoral Areas E, F, G & H, City of Parksville and the Town of Qualicum Beach) do not currently provide social planning services.

Outside of a seasonal cold weather program, which is a provincially managed response, recent changes to emergency management legislation expand the scope of local government responsibilities in responding to emergencies associated with extreme weather.

Local authorities must now respond to extreme weather events in summer if:

1. Environment and Climate Change Canada issues a Heat Warning or Extreme Heat Emergency affecting the local area.

Local authorities respond to extreme weather events by applying for a task number through EMCR² and receive provincial approval for expected incremental costs to meet emergency warming / cooling needs. These services are typically delivered through contracts with community/resource service providers, with payments processed by local governments and subsequently reimbursed by EMCR, provided the costs have been pre-approved by EMCR. These emergencies typically last 3 to 5 days and are expected to increase in frequency and severity due to climate change.

Current extreme weather thresholds:

Extreme Heat: For the Vancouver Island region Emergency Management and Climate Readiness (EMCR) will provide access to heat emergency funding when daytime temperatures are expected to reach 29°C or warmer for two or more consecutive days and nighttime minimum temperatures are expected to be 16°C or warmer.

Available Provincial supports for Extreme Heat Events:

The Province of BC funds several social service providers in the Oceanside area: Outreach, SOS, Orca Place and Inclusion Parksville. The Province is funding air conditioning units for eligible people including online resources for people to access before, during and after events. All libraries in BC are designated as community warming and cooling spaces and people are encouraged to access other air-conditioned indoor public spaces such as civic centres, recreation centres, malls and churches. The Province recommends staying cool outdoors by seeking breezy spots near bodies of water and treed areas such as parks.

Town's Response plan for Potential Extreme Heat Events:

For the upcoming summer season, staff will closely monitor temperature thresholds and advise Council of the need to trigger an Extreme Weather response. A task number will be obtained from EMCR for the response. A Cooling Centre will be set up at the Town Hall Foyer/ Library should the event occur between Monday-Saturday. The Community Hall will be an alternate location if the event spills over to a Sunday. Outreach will be conducted with the local vulnerable population

² Financial assistance for emergency response and recovery costs supported by EMCR can be found here: <u>Addendum-policy 5.14 (gov.bc.ca)</u>

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting – **Extreme Weather Response** Page **3** of **4**

to ensure their wellbeing, and seasonal provincial communications will be issued through the Town's social media channels (e.g.- Island Health's indicators of heat stroke/ hyperthermia, extreme heat weather forecasts etc.).

For the recent extreme heat warning issued by Environment and Climate Change Canada from 5-9 July, the Library, Town Hall foyer and Ravensong Aquatic Centre were designated as public cooling spaces. The Town also obtained a task number from EMCR to support the set up of a Cooing Centre by a community service provider in the MLA's office.

Measures have been put in place to protect outside Town workers from the effects of extreme heat during peak temperatures and reminders are provided on hydration, use of personal protective equipment such as sunscreen, head coverings and appropriate clothing. Advice for all employers is available in the Provincial Heat Alert Response System (BC HARS) Guide.

Note: In addition, staff are working with regional partners to prepare a tactical plan identifying the regional response procedure for extreme cold weather events. This will involve identifying and entering partnership arrangements with regional service providers in advance of the cold weather season. Staff will prepare a Council report addressing options for this year's warming center response for presentation to Council later in 2024.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The additional costs for extreme weather response will be covered through task numbers obtained from EMCR and therefore, are not anticipated to have any financial implications on the Town's operating expenses. Staff time will be tracked for an understanding of ineligible "in kind" service provision.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPECTRUM (IAP²)

Public Participation Framework developed by the International Association for Public Participation – IAP²



International.

• Public participation is not required for this scope of work.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

Council's Strategic Plan Focus Area(s) supported by this initiative:

• <u>Community Health & Wellbeing</u>: To improve the health and wellbeing of people who live, work, and play in the Town.

Town of Qualicum Beach July 17, 2024, Regular Council Meeting – **Extreme Weather Response** Page **4** of **4**

SUMMARY

Staff are providing this report to inform Council of the Town's tactical response strategy in anticipation of the forthcoming extreme heat conditions.

APPROVALS

Report respectfully submitted by Shinjini Mehta, Climate Action and Emergency Program Coordinator.

S. Jehra

Shinjini Mehta Climate Action & Emergency Program Coordinator *Report Writer*

Luke Sales Director of Planning *Concurrence*

Lou Varela, MCIP, RPP CAO Concurrence

Raj Hayre, CPA, CMA Director of Finance *Concurrence*

Agnieszka Warzybok, CPHR Director of Human Resources *Concurrence*



TO:Lou Varela, Chief Administrative OfficerFOR:Regular Council Meeting

DATE: July 17, 2024

FROM: Oliver Watson, Capital Projects Manager

SUBJECT: Bay Street Slope Stabilization – RFQ Award

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council approves the award of the Bay Street Slope Stabilization project to David Stalker Excavating in the amount of \$599,147 excluding GST.

PURPOSE

For Council to consider awarding the construction project of the Bay Street Stabilization Project to David Stalker Excavating.

BACKGROUND

Sections of the Bay Street slope between Elm Avenue and Highway 19A have been showing signs of failure for many years. Within this section of road, the Town has a 200mm PVC sewer main, a 200mm PVC water main, and a 200mm storm main. As the road continues to shift, the risk of one of these utilities breaking or separating at a joint increases. To protect the Town's infrastructure and adjacent properties, this project was included in the 2024 Financial Plan with a budget of \$1,978,000. \$192,400 of this budget provision was reallocated by Council resolution (#24-116) to the Beach Creek/E. Crescent Culvert Replacement project budget provision, leaving a remaining project budget of \$1,785,600, with reallocation of any unused budget back to the funding source – the Asset Replacement Reserve.

DISCUSSION

There are several methods available for improving unstable slopes. Initial considerations included combinations of soil nailing, mechanically stabilized earth retaining walls and various piling techniques.

In the spring of 2024, after monitoring well data and performing a road subbase soil investigation, engineers determined that a less ambitious method could be undertaken in phases and was more cost-effective than other methods. Moving forward with the project, slope stabilization will include:

• Re-establishing the existing one-way road.

Town of Qualicum Beach

July 17, 2024, Regular Meeting of Council - Bay Street Slope Stabilization project -

RFQ Award

Page 2 of 3

- Establishing dedicated gravel shoulders for pedestrians.
- Replacing a large portion of road subbase.
- Securing the road subbase with geogrid.
- Narrowing the hill to reduce the static load on the outside shoulder.
- Upgrading the storm system including connecting the private property storm leads on the south side of the Bay Street slope to the storm system.
- Installing a new perforated storm pipe down the south side of the Bay Street slope to intercept ground water.
- Replacing a large portion of the road base from Crescent Road down to Highway 19A including repaying Bay Street from Crescent Road down to Highway 19A.

This proposed approach is anticipated to stabilize the slope sufficiently to protect and preserve municipal utilities in the roadway and adjacent properties.

Upon completion of the final design, the project was posted on BC Bid. The tender closed on July 3, 2024, with five (5) bids received. The bids ranged from \$599,147 to \$734,842 with David Stalker Excavating submitting the lowest bid.

Subject to Council awarding the project, the contractor will be authorized to begin any time after receipt of the Notice to Proceed and must complete the project by December 15, 2024.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The available 2024 budget provision (after the reallocation of \$192,400, as identified earlier in this report) for this project is \$1,785,600. To date, \$73,200 has been spent on engineering and soil investigation.

The \$599,147 excluding GST bid received by David Stalker Excavating does not include soft costs. Project management, geotechnical inspection supervision and other soft costs are anticipated to be \$270,000. Due to the complexity of the project, a contingency of \$60,000 has been identified.

The adjusted 2024 budget provision of \$1,785,600 is sufficient to address the anticipated project costs comprised of the bid amount for works, anticipated soft costs, and contingency for unexpected circumstances. Any unused budget provision will be returned to the Asset Replacement Reserve, which was the funding source for this project.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPECTRUM (IAP²)



Public Participation Framework developed by the International Association for Public Participation – IAP² International.

Page 3 of 3

INFORM:

 This report informs the public of the project scope and works associated with the Bay Street Slope Stabilization project RFQ award.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

Council's Strategic Plan Focus Area(s) supported by this initiative:

• <u>Progressive Infrastructure</u>: To ensure infrastructure for energy, water, wastewater and storm water, solid waste and multi-modal transportation is efficient and effective at advancing the Town's sustainability goals.

SUMMARY

The slope stabilization of Bay Street is necessary to reduce the risk of either the water, storm, or sanitary sewer mains from separating and causing a spill onto the properties below. After reviewing monitoring well data and the road subbase soil investigation results, engineers determined that the slope could be stabilized by replacing the road subbase, securing it with geogrid and upgrading the storm system. Once works associated with this project have been completed, Bay Street will be restored and stabilized from Crescent Road West down to Highway 19A. Staff are now seeking Council's approval to award the contract to David Stalker Excavating for the bid price of \$599,147 excluding GST. Following the award of the contract, staff will issue the Notice to Proceed.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 1. THAT Council does not award the contract to the low bidder and directs staff to select another respondent for the Bay Street Slope Stabilization project.
- 2. THAT Council directs staff to postpone the Bay Street Stabilization project.

APPROVALS

Report respectfully submitted by

Oliver Watson, Manager of Capital Projects Report Author

Lou Varela, MCIP, RPP, CAO *Concurrence* NMI/

Rob Dickinson, Director of Infrastructure Services Concurrence

Raj Hayre, CPA CMA Director of Finance *Concurrence*

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH STAFF REPORT

то:	Lou Varela, Chief Administrative Officer
FOR:	Regular Council Meeting
DATE:	July 17, 2024
FROM:	Peter Cornell, Fire Chief

Fire Training Facility License of Use Agreement

RECOMMENDATIONS:

THAT Council authorizes staff to enter into a License of Use Agreement for the Fire Training Facility located at 130 Rupert Road, Qualicum Beach between the Town of Qualicum Beach, the Regional District of Nanaimo and the Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department for a five-year term.

PURPOSE

SUBJECT:

To enter into a Fire Training Facility License of Use Agreement with the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) and the Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department for the Fire Training Facility located at the Qualicum Beach Fire Station.

BACKGROUND

In 2019, Qualicum Beach, Coombs-Hilliers, and Dashwood Fire Departments received a Union of BC Municipalities (UBCM) grant to fund composite Fire Department equipment and training. The Town of Qualicum Beach applied for and managed the grant, which was used to purchase a modular Fire Training facility that would be installed behind the Qualicum Beach Fire Station. This location was selected due to its central location and large training area.

The Fire Training Facility was completed and located in the fall of 2020 and has been used multiple times a week by one of the three Fire Departments. It regularly hosts large training sessions attended by firefighters from around the province and Washington State.

Town of Qualicum Beach June 26, 2024 Regular Council Meeting – Fire Training Facility License of Use Agreement Page 2 of 3

DISCUSSION

In 2023, it was identified by the partnering Fire Departments that there was no formal agreement in place for the Fire Training Facility maintenance and more importantly, liability associated with its use. The Town of Qualicum Beach, Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department and the RDN, on behalf of the Coombs-Hilliers Volunteer Fire Department, agreed that a License of Use Agreement would mitigate the liability and clarify ownership and costs associated with maintenance and future upgrades.

The License of Use Agreement was prepared with input from the RDN and all three partnering Fire Departments. The term of the agreement is five years [effective upon the execution date of the Agreement] and renews automatically. Language in the agreement clarifies termination, indemnification, insurance requirements, and facility scheduling.

The License of Use Agreement was brought before the RDN board on May 14, 2024, and endorsed for signing.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial implications are anticipated from this report, as regular facility maintenance is already included in the Fire Department's training budget.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPECTRUM (IAP²)



Public Participation Framework developed by the International Association for Public Participation – IAP² International.

INFORM:

Residents will be informed through this report.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

Council's Strategic Plan Focus Area(s) supported by this initiative:

- <u>Good Governance</u>: To govern for the public interest of our community while managing competing interests, ensuring availability of transparent and accessible information, fostering respectful public engagement, and demonstrating ethical values.
- <u>Community Health & Wellbeing</u>: To improve the health and wellbeing of people who live, work, and play in the Town.

Town of Qualicum Beach June 26, 2024 Regular Council Meeting – **Fire Training Facility License of Use Agreement** Page 3 of 3

SUMMARY

The shared Fire Training Facility was purchased through a joint UBCM grant in 2020 and is used multiple times a week by either Dashwood, Coombs-Hilliers or Qualicum Beach Fire Departments. The License of Use Agreement for the Fire Training Facility is required to clarify maintenance costs and reduce liability to the Town of Qualicum Beach.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

1. THAT Council directs staff not to sign the Fire Training Facility License of Use Agreement.

NOTE: If the alternative option is advanced, Council is aware and informed that there would not be an agreement in place for the use of the shared Fire Training Facility, which may increase both risk and liability to the Town of Qualicum Beach and user conflict between Dashwood, Coombs-Hilliers and Qualicum Beach Fire Departments.

APPROVALS Report respectfully submitted by Peter Cornell, Fire Chief

Peter Cornell, ECFO Fire Chief Report Author

Lou Varela, MCIP, RPP Chief Administrative Officer *Concurrence*

Raj Hayre, CPA, CMA Director of Finance *Concurrence*

The fil

Luke Sales, MCIP, RPP Director of Planning *Concurrence*

REFERENCES Attachment 1:

License of Use Agreement Fire Training Facility

LICENSE OF USE AGREEMENT Fire Training Facility

BETWEEN:

THE TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH ("TOWN") P.O. Box 130 Qualicum Beach, BC V9K 1S7

AND

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF NANAIMO ("RDN") (for Coombs-Hilliers Volunteer Fire Department) 6300 Hammond Bay Road Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

AND

DASHWOOD VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT ("DVFD")

230 Hobbs Road Qualicum Beach, BC V9K 2B2

(Collectively the "Parties")

WHEREAS:

- A. The Town of Qualicum Beach is the registered owner in fee simple of the lands located at 130 Rupert Road East, Qualicum Beach, BC, V9K 1R3, legally described as PID: 029-317-487 Lot A, District Lot 78, Newcastle District, Plan EPP38935 (the "Lands");
- B. A fire department training facility structure is located on the Lands, as shown in bold outline on the attached Schedule "A" (the "Facility");
- C. The Facility was funded through a joint grant application between the Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department, the RDN's Coombs-Hilliers Volunteer Fire Department and Qualicum Beach Fire Rescue.
- D. The Town's municipal fire department, the Qualicum Beach Fire Rescue Department (QBFR) manages the Facility on behalf of the Town and facility partners RDN & DVFD;

FACILITY USE AGREEMENT – 130 Rupert Rd E, Qualicum Beach QUALICUM BEACH FIRE TRAINING Page 2 of 9

E. The Town agrees to grant a non-exclusive license to the RDN and DVFD to occupy and use the Facility, based on the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE in consideration of the payment of one dollar (\$1.00) and other good and valuable consideration, from each the RDN and the DVFD, to the Town, the receipt and sufficiency is hereby acknowledged, the Parties covenant and agree as follows:

1. Grant of License

- 1.1. The Town hereby grants to the Parties, and its employees, volunteers, contractors and invitees a non-exclusive license to use and occupy the Facility, with or without equipment and machinery, for the sole purpose of training it's fire personnel (the "Permitted Use"), on the terms and conditions set out in this Agreement.
- 1.2. The Parties shall only use the Facility for the Permitted Use and for no other purpose.
- **1.3.** The Parties acknowledge that the rights granted under this Agreement are non-exclusive and that the Town may use, and may license others to use, the Facility during the Term.

2. Term

- **2.1.** The term of this Agreement is five (5) years, effective upon the execution date of this Agreement by the Parties (the "Term").
- **2.2.** The License shall be automatically renewed for an additional five (5) year term, unless terminated or updated in agreement with the other parties.

3. Facility Scheduling

- **3.1.** The Parties shall make all effort to provide to the QBFR, in writing, by December 15th the dates and times it wishes to use the Facility during the following Term (the "Schedule").
- 3.2. The Parties will at all times make effort to mitigate any scheduling conflicts with other Parties.
- **3.3.** The Parties agree and acknowledge that the QBFR may not be able to accommodate the Schedule requested by the remaining Parties.

4. Equipment

- **4.1.** The Parties shall, at its sole cost, provide any tools and equipment that may be necessary for the Permitted Use.
- **4.2.** The Parties shall not use equipment likely to damage the facility in, on, or around the facility or the lands on which the facility sits.

5. RDN's Representations and Warrantees

5.1. The Parties represent and warrant to the Town that the Parties and their instructors have the expertise, knowledge, experience and resources necessary to provide the Permitted Use in a professional and competent manner.

6. Termination

- **6.1.** Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, any of the Parties may terminate the Agreement by providing ninety (90) days' written notice to the other party.
- **6.2.** The Town shall not be liable to compensate the Parties for damages, costs or losses resulting from the exercise of this right of termination or any termination of this Agreement.
- **6.3.** The Parties shall not be liable to compensate the Town for damages, costs or losses resulting from the exercise of this right of termination or any termination of this Agreement.
- **6.4.** The Town, in its sole discretion, may terminate this Agreement immediately by providing the Parties with written notice if the Parties are in default of one of the terms of this Agreement and that default persists for 30 days after written notice by the Town or the QBFR.

7. Facility Closure

7.1. In the event that the Town is required to close any part of the Facility for any reason during the Term, including due to equipment failure, health hazard, budget reason, emergency, or physical deterioration of any kind, the QBFR shall, where possible, provide the Parties with advance notice of such closure by email or phone call on behalf of the Town.

8. Instructors

- **8.1.** The Parties shall be responsible for supplying instructors for the Permitted Use at the Facility, and if any of the Parties chooses to do so, the following shall apply:
 - 8.1.1. The Parties shall properly screen its instructors per industry standards related to the Permitted Use. The Parties shall provide the QBFR with proof that it has properly screened its instructors and firefighters upon request by the QBFR; and
 - **8.1.2.** The QBFR shall require the Parties' instructors to complete an orientation session prior to being permitted to teach in the Facility.
 - **8.1.3.** The Parties' instructors shall perform the Permitted Use in accordance with industry standards related to the Permitted Use, and in compliance with all applicable federal, provincial and municipal laws and enactments.

9. Safety

- **9.1.** The Parties' instructors shall, before each use of the facility, do a safety walkthrough of the facility.
- **9.2.** The Parties' instructors shall, before each use, ensure all employees, volunteers, contractors and invitees using the facility have participated in a facility orientation.
- **9.3.** The Parties shall exercise the utmost care in its use and occupation of the Facility and shall take all reasonable precautions to ensure the safety of all persons using the Facility.
- **9.4.** During the use of the faculty the Parties' employees, firefighters, contractors and invitees shall follow all applicable legislation, regulations including Workers Compensation act and related regulations.
- **9.5.** The Parties shall promptly report the occurrence of any safety incidents or accidents to the QBFR.
- **9.6.** The Parties shall promptly report any and all deficiencies in the facility or lands that may pose a safety risk to persons using the facility.
- **9.7.** The QBFR shall promptly assess any reported deficiencies or safety concerns to determine if the facility must be closed until repaired and shall make prompt repairs to the facility to reduce facility closures for the Parties.

10. Facility Use, Condition and Access

10.1. The Parties confirm they have inspected the Facility and accepted it for its intended use, accepts the Facility "as is," and acknowledges that the Town has not made any representations or warranties respecting the Facility, including its suitability for the Permitted Use.

11. Costs

11.1. The QBFR shall be responsible for all costs incurred in relations to regular maintenance of the facility.

12. Upgrades

- 12.1. The Parties may be responsible for upgrades to the facility as mutually agreed upon.
- **12.2.** The Parties shall be responsible for one third of the cost for agreed upon upgrades to the training facilities.

13. Facility Maintenance

- **13.1.** The Parties shall keep the Facility in a reasonably clean and neat condition during it's use of the Facility, including keeping the Facility free of any rubbish, litter and debris.
- **13.2.** The Parties shall be responsible for repairs stemming from improper use of the facility or any uses of the Facility outside of the permitted use.
- **13.3.** The Parties shall promptly repair any damage to the Facility arising out of its improper use of the Facility to the satisfaction of the QBFR Fire Chief or designate.

13.4. The Parties shall pay any additional staff and maintenance costs incurred by the Town in connection with repairing damage directly caused by the Parties' improper use of the facility.

14. Condition on Termination

14.1. At the termination of this Agreement, the Parties shall cease all use and occupation of the Facility.

15. Compliance with Laws and Guidelines

- **15.1.** The Parties shall carry on the Permitted Use in the Facility in compliance with any and all laws, statutes, enactments, bylaws, regulations, Town policies, orders, and the Parks and Recreation Department Code of Conduct, as may be in force from time to time, and shall obtain all required approvals and permits thereunder.
- **15.2.** The Parties shall follow the QBFR operational guidelines, rules and policies regarding the use of the Facility, which is attached hereto as Schedule "B" (the Training Building and Tower Use Guideline").

16. Alterations

16.1. The Parties shall not make any alterations, improvements, additions, installations, renovations or modifications or changes to the Facility without the prior written consent of the QBFR.

17. Risk

17.1. The Parties agree that during the Term, they shall use the Facility at their own risk, and the Town shall not be liable in respect of any loss of life, personal injury, damage to property, loss of property or other loss or damage suffered by the Parties, its directors, officers, contractors, subcontractors, agents, invitees, employees or any other person arising out of this Agreement or the use and occupation of the Facility by the Licensee.

18. Indemnity

- 18.1. The Parties agree that they shall indemnity, defend, and save harmless the Town, and any of its elected officials, officers, employees, agents, successors and assigns (the "Indemnified Parties") from and against all liabilities, actions, causes of action, claims, suits, proceedings, judgements, damages, expenses, costs, legal costs on a solicitor and own client basis, demands and losses (the "Losses"), suffered, made, incurred, sustained, brought, prosecuted, threatened to be brought or prosecuted, in any manner caused, based upon, occasioned by or attributable to:
 - 18.1.1. any personal injury or death;
 - 18.1.2. damage to or loss of property; and
 - 18.1.3. wilful or negligent acts or omissions or other actionable wrongs arising directly or indirectly from or in connection with the granting of the license under this Agreement, any breach or default of the Parties under this Agreement, the performance or non-performance of any of the Parties' obligations under this Agreement, the use and occupation of the Facility by the Parties, or any of its directors, officers, employees,

volunteers, contractors, agents, invitees or anyone for whom the Parties are responsible at law, or any incident, occurrence, wrongful act, omission or negligence of the Parties or the Parties' employees, volunteers, contractors, except where such Losses result from any negligence on the part of the Town. This indemnity survives the expiry or earlier termination of this Agreement.

19. Release

19.1. The Parties shall release and discharge the Indemnified Parties from any and all Losses arising from, caused by, or in any way connected to the Permitted Use, the Parties' occupation and use of the Facility, or the performance of this Agreement. This release shall survive the expiry or earlier termination of this Agreement.

20. Insurance

20.1. The Parties shall obtain and maintain during the Term, at its own cost, comprehensive liability insurance in respect of claims by third parties for personal injury, death, and property damage arising from the Parties' use of the Facility under this Agreement, in an amount of not less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00) per incident (the "Insurance Policy").

20.2. The Insurance Policy shall:

- 20.2.1. Name the Town as an additional insured;
- **20.2.2.** Be issued by an insurance company entitled to carry on the business of insurance under the laws of British Columbia;
- 20.2.3. Contain a cross liability clause and a waiver of subrogation clause in favour of the Town;
- **20.2.4.** Contain a clause to the effect that any release from liability entered into by the Town prior to any loss shall not affect the right of the Town to recover; and
- **20.3.** All insurance required to be maintained by the Parties hereunder shall be on terms and with insurers satisfactory to the Town, acting reasonably.
- **20.4.** The Parties shall provide the Town with a certificate of insurance evidencing the Insurance Policy within 30 days of being requested by the Town.

21. Assignment

21.1. The Parties shall not assign or transfer this Agreement or any of its rights under this Agreement.

22. Notices

Any notice, demand, request, consent, approval or communication given pursuant to this Agreement shall be sufficiently given if it is emailed, or in writing and delivered by hand or mailed by prepaid registered mail in writing addressed to the other party at the addresses as follows; or such address as may have been specified by notifying the other party of the change of address.

22.1. To the Town of Qualicum Beach: 201 – 660 Primrose St, Qualicum Beach, BC, V9K 1S7 Attention: Heather Svensen, Corporate Administrator (<u>hsvensen@qualicumbeach.com</u>)

22.2. To the RDN:

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC, V9T 6N2 Attention: Manager of Emergency Services (emergencyservices@rdn.bc.ca)

- **22.3.** To the Dashwood Volunteer Fire Department 230 Hobbs Rd., Qualicum Beach, BC V9K 2B2 Attention: Fire Chief (firechief@dashwoodvfd.com)
- 22.4. A notice shall be deemed to be received on the day it is delivered, if delivered by email or hand, or 3 days after the date it was mailed or if that day is not a business day, the next day that is a business day. If mailed, should there be at the time of mailing or between the time of mailing and the deemed receipt of the notice, a mail strike or slowdown, labour or other dispute which might affect the delivery of such notice by the mails, then such notice shall only be effective if delivered by hand or sent by facsimile transmission.
- **23. Enurement.** This Agreement shall enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the Parties and their heirs, executors, administrators, permitted successors and assigns.
- 24. Waiver. Waiver by the Town of any breach by the Parties of any of its obligations under this Agreement shall not be considered to be a waiver of any subsequent default or continuing default by the Parties.
- **25.** Severability. In case any one or more of the provisions contained in this Agreement shall for any reason be held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, such invalidity, illegality or unenforceability shall not affect any other provision hereof, and this Agreement shall be construed as if such invalid, illegal or unenforceable provision had never been contained herein.
- 26. No Lease The Parties acknowledge and agree that this Agreement is a license and is not a lease. Nothing herein contained shall be deemed or construed by the parties or by any third party as creating the relationship of landlord and tenant between the parties.
- **27. No Effect on Laws or Powers** Nothing contained or implied herein prejudices or affects the Town's rights and powers in the exercise of its functions pursuant to the *Local Government Act* or the *Community Charter* its rights and powers under any enactment to the extent the same are applicable to the Facility, all of which may be fully and effectively exercised in relation to the

FACILITY USE AGREEMENT – 130 Rupert Rd E, Qualicum Beach QUALICUM BEACH FIRE TRAINING Page 8 of 9

Facility as if this Agreement had not been fully executed and delivered.

- 28. Time of the Essence. Time is of the essence in this Agreement.
- **29. Joint and Several.** If any party hereto is comprised of more than one person, all covenants and obligations of those persons shall be joint and several.
- **30. Headings -** Section headings are inserted for ease of reference and are not to be used in interpreting this Agreement.
- **31. Entire Agreement.** The entire agreement between the parties hereto is contained in this Agreement and the exhibits hereto, and this Agreement supersedes all of their previous understandings and agreements, written and oral, with respect to this transaction. This Agreement may be amended only by written instrument executed by the parties subsequent to the date hereof.

31.1. This agreement shall not have any impact on any other agreements between the parties.

32. Counterparts and Electronic Delivery. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts and delivered by e-mail, each of which shall be deemed to be an original and all of which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one and the same instrument, provided that any party delivering this Agreement by e-mail shall also deliver to the other party an originally executed copy of this Agreement.

FACILITY USE AGREEMENT – 130 Rupert Rd E, Qualicum Beach QUALICUM BEACH FIRE TRAINING Page 9 of 9

33. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

TOWN OF QUALICUM BEACH by its authorized signatories:

Corporate Officer:

. *

٦

Date: _____

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF NANAIMO, by its authorized signatories:

Name:

Name:

Date: _____

DASHWOOD VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, by its authorized signatories:

Name:

Name:

Date: _____



Town of Qualicum Beach FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lou Varela, CAO

FOR: Regular Council Meeting July 17, 2024

FROM: Peter Cornell, Fire Chief

SUBJECT: Fire Rescue Department Report for Quarter 2 2024

PURPOSE

To provide Council with an update regarding Fire Rescue Department activities within Quarter 2.

Q2 CALLS FOR SERVICE AND INCIDENT STATISTICS

- Incident Hours: 60 hours, 10 minutes
- Average # of Personnel responding: 9.82 (Full Time: 2.31| On-Call: 7.51)
- Incident Staff Hours: 298 hours, 55 minutes



INCIDENTS BY TYPE



CALLS FOR SERVICE - TOTAL NUMBER, YEAR OVER YEAR

Q2 OPERATIONS/ADMINISTRATION

- Captain Sumando and Golob were each awarded their Fire Officer 4 certification from the Justice Institute of BC.
- Staff worked with Diamond Head Consulting on the completion of the Community Wildfire Resiliency Plan.
- Staff attended the Initial meeting of the Oceanside FireSmart and Resilience Committee.
- Members participated in the Family Day Parade.
- Recruitment of Paid On-Call firefighters is building with a booth at Family Day and attendance at the Saturday Farmers Market.
- Two wildfire response trailers were purchased and outfitted as part of a joint UBCM grant application with the RDN, Nanoose, Dashwood and Coombs-Hilliers Fire Departments.
- All Fire Apparatus had Commercial Vehicle Inspection completed.
- All frontline apparatus underwent annual pump servicing and testing. During this testing, each apparatus is required to flow up to 1750 Imperial Gallons per minute for 60 minutes to ensure it will perform during a fire.
- Annual ground ladder testing and cleaning was completed.

NUMBER OF PERSONNEL

Career Staff Members:	4
Paid On-call Members:	29
(excludes non-operational and Career staff)	
Change from previous quarter:	-2

	NNEL	RV	TVDF
PERSU	ININEL	DI	ITPE

REGULAR DUTY		NON-OPERATIONAL		
Officer:	6	Special Duty (Assistant FFs):	2	
Full-Service:	9			
Interior:	5			
Exterior:	0			
Recruits:	9			

Q2 TRAINING

	SESSIONS	SUBJECTS	SESSION	INSTRUCTOR	STAFF
			HOURS	HOURS	HOURS
Q2 Total:	72	74	335	154	1,551
Q1 Total:	75	80	330	244	1,634
Annual Total:	147	154	665	398	3,185

TRAINING HIGHLIGHTS

- Training subjects covered in Quarter 2 included: tender shuttle drills, emergency vehicle operations, wildfire training, first responder medical endorsements, hose and nozzle work, auto extrication, salvage and overhaul, and technical rope rescue.
- The Fire Department hosted 60 firefighters from 20 different fire departments for Nozzle Forward, a 24-hour nozzle and hose handling training program.
- Two members attended an Advanced Heavy Rescue Symposium in Olds, Alberta.

Q2 FIRE PREVENTION

62 Inspections; 146 YTD | 1 Public Education Events |7 Public Outreach | 3 Fire Smart Assessments | 0 Pre-Incident Plans | 11 Construction Site Inspection

Peter Cornell, ECFO Fire Chief Report Writer

Lou Varela, RPP, MCIP CAO Concurrence